Managing data quality in Cristin and the Norwegian Publication Indicator (NPI)

Marit Henningsen and Espen Sandøe Karlsen, Unit – The Norwegian Directorate for ICT and Joint Services in Higher Education and Research

Cristin



Success factors for high data quality

- One NPI workflow in one system
- Collaboration with stakeholders
- Unique identifiers
- Coverage
- Reusable data





Data quality I - workflow

Scholarly publications (NPI): high quality datbase due to

- Well established inclusion criteria (subset categories)
- Common reporting requirements (updated annually)
- Backed by (small) incentives
- Transparent system
- Workflow on the same record

Approval workflow (quality assurance)

Checklists for super-users

- Publications channels without level nominate
- Duplicates check and merge
- Missing metadata submit missing information
- Status NVI-reporting follow up those who are slow

To report or not to report?

Research leader/publication boards

Disagreements between institutions

Data quality II – unique identifiers

Unique identifiers

- Publication channels (NSD)
- Researchers
- Norwegian ID
 - Eventually ORCID
- Institutions
 - Member institutions: maintained and updated with faculties and institutes in Cristin
 - Link to registry of collaborating institutions
- Challenging to maintain



Data quality III - Collaboration with stakeholders

Involvement and collaboration

- Member institutions
- Universities Norway (NPI)
- NSD (Publication channels)
- RCN Norway
- NIFU



Coverage

A «complete» scholarly bibliography for Norway?

- Good representation of SSH
- Good representation of publically funded institutions involved in performance based funding, including hospitals and research institutes
- Plan to include other institutions

Other results (not NPI)

- Variable quality and completeness
 - Local incentives?
 - Visible?
 - Reuseable?

Reuseable data improves quality

- API
- Standard reports and monitoring
 - OA-monitor
- Custom data/statistics/reports for research
- Data source for evaluations







- OA-monitoring
- NVI-checklists

OA-monitoring (DUCT)

Total

Status Open Access - prosentfordeling

Det vises prosentandel av spesifisert mengde av publikasjoner per år. Rapportstatus "J" gir antall rapporterte NVI-publikasjoner. Andre valg viser NVI-kandidater. Open Access status måles for vitenskapelige artikler (ISSN). "Ikke relevante poster" i denne sammenhengen er monografier og antologikapitler. Med "deponert" menes poster som er lastet opp til arkiv via Cristin av minst en av de deltakende

institusjoner på posten.



Financed by RCN

Status Open Access - prosentfordeling

Det vises prosentandel av spesifisert mengde av publikasjoner per år. Rapportstatus "J" gir antall rapporterte NVI-publikasjoner. Andre valg viser NVI-kandidater. Open Access status måles for vitenskapelige artikler (ISSN). "Ikke relevante poster" i denne sammenhengen er monografier og antologikapitler.

Med "deponert" menes poster som er lastet opp til arkiv via Cristin av minst en av de deltakende institusjoner på posten.



GRØNN OA (deponert) GULL OA (deponert) GULL OA (ikke deponert) ikke OA (ikke deponert)

Subject-specific evaluations (RCN Norway)

Cristin available as a tool for the panels

- Research groups overview
 - Researchers (CV)
 - Institutions
 - Projects
 - Publications
- Humanities research (2017)
 - 97 research groups evaluated
- Social Science research (2018)
 - 135 research groups evaluated

Cristin as a data source for bibliometric analyses

• NPI-publications

Other work in progress

National repository

ORCID

National infrastructure for bibliometrics

REK integration and reporting on projects

Coordinating services in Unit: Research information services, education services and library services