

187 Effect of temperature on chronic Ni toxicity to *Daphnia magna* along four generations

C.S. Pereira, Ghent University; C. Janssen, University of Ghent / Laboratory of Environmental Toxicology and Aquatic Ecology; R. Blust, University of Antwerp; K. De Schamphelaere, Ghent University (UGent) / Applied Ecology and Environmental Biology. Ecological risk assessment (ERA) is based on single generation ecotoxicological tests that are usually performed at a standard temperature. A previous in-house study indicated that temperature had a significant effect on chronic nickel (Ni) toxicity to *Daphnia magna*. Previous multigenerational studies performed at one standard temperature (20°C) showed that Ni toxicity increases along generations. Therefore, our goal was to investigate the effect of temperature on chronic Ni toxicity to *D. magna* along four generations. A multigenerational toxicity test was performed with *D. magna* exposed to Ni along four generations at 15, 20 and 25°C. Prior to actual Ni exposure, the organisms were acclimated during two generations to the temperature treatments. At the time of exposure, each generation started with neonates (< 24h) collected from the 3rd brood of at least three different mothers. Each generation continued until the organisms in control treatments released the 5th brood. Corroborating the previous in-house study our results showed that temperature had a significant effect on chronic Ni toxicity to *D. magna* in the 1st generation. The estimated 10% effect concentration on reproduction per individual female until the 5th brood (EC₁₀) for Ni increased 20-fold between 15 and 25°C on F0. We observed that when *D. magna* was exposed to Ni treatments ? EC₁₀s (calculated for F0) chronic Ni toxicity did not increase along generations. This was common to the three temperature treatments. For Ni treatments ? EC₁₀s (calculated for F0) chronic Ni toxicity varied along generations but a consistent trend was not observed. At relevant concentrations for ERA (i.e. EC₁₀) chronic Ni toxicity at 15, 20 and 25°C did not increase along generations. However, for F0 the EC₁₀ increased 21 fold with the increase of temperature from 15 to 25°C which indicates the need to integrate temperature as a factor in metals risk assessment.