





























## FACTORS AFFECTING DERMAL ABSORPTION

1 BIOLOGICAL FACTORS SKIN SOURCE

	Human	Pig	Rat
Characteristics	-Golden standard -Not easily available	-Easily available -Large surface	- <i>In vitro/In vivo</i> relationship
Hair follicles/cm <sup>2</sup>	11	11	289
Stratum corneum	10-20µm	~	$\downarrow$
Dermal absorption	Relevant	Relevant	Overestimated

HUMAN SKIN AND PIG SKIN HAVE COMPARABLE PROPERTIES



8



	FACTORS AFFI	ECTING DERMAL	ABSORPTION			
<u>()</u> [	BIOLOGICAL FACTORS	SKIN SURFACE				
	Cube side	2	4			
	Surface area	24	96			
	Volume	8	64			
	Surface area/volume	3.0	1.5			
	DIFFE	ERENCE WITH ADULT PE CE AREA/BODY WEIGH	RSON: T (VOLUME)			
	<ul><li>at birth 2.3 fold</li><li>6 months 1.8/ fold</li></ul>					
	<ul> <li>12 months 1.6 fold</li> <li>5 years 1.5 fold</li> <li>10 years 1.3 fold</li> </ul>					
	SURFACE/VOLUM	E (BODY WEIGHT) MAY SMETIC INGREDIENTS (E	AFFECT THE SAFETY EXTRA DEFAULT VALUE)			





# FACTORS AFFECTING DERMAL ABSORPTION

- **1** BIOLOGICAL FACTORS
- **2** ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS
- TEMPERATURE
- RELATIVE HUMIDITY
- OCCLUSION
- **③ COMPOUND/PRODUCT-RELATED FACTORS**

# FACTORS AFFECTING DERMAL ABSORPTION

# **(2)** ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

- Physico-chemical properties: MW, structure, pH, solubility, lipophilicity, ionisation, log Pow, partition coefficient K
- Duration of exposure, frequency
- Dilution, applied amount
- Solvents, penetration enhancers, surfactants, ....











#### **HOW TO MEASURE DERMAL ABSORPTION ?**



Dermatomed skin (subcutaneous fat removed) (600 μm)

Cutting according to diffusion cell surface



Courtesy W. Steiling







#### **HOW TO MEASURE DERMAL ABSORPTION ?**



- Application of sample in µg/cm<sup>2</sup>
- Use of \*labelled substance
- Realistic use conditions: occlusion, open application

Measurement of all relevant compartments

- Excess on skin surface
- Stratum corneum (strips!)
- Living epidermis (-SC)
   Dermis Receptor fluid

Recovery: 85-115%





[%] (SD)	aqueous solution	standard formulation	aqueous solution	standard formulation
[%] (SD)				jornalation
	93.517	91.130	87.749	90.899
[%] (SD)	3.71 (1.94)	0.957 (0.524)	<0.094 (0.000)	<0.094 (0.000
[%] (SD)	2.99 (1.91)	1.96 (1.03)	0.106 (0.106)	0.066 (0.024,
[%] (SD)	1.2 (0.805)	0.477 (0.257)	<0.094 (0.000)	<0.094 (0.00
[%] (SD)	101 (4.43)	84.3 (3.79)	88 (4.53)	91.3 (5.22)
	[%] (SD) [%] (SD) [%] (SD) [%] (SD)	[%] (SD) 3.71 (1.94) [%] (SD) 2.99 (1.91) [%] (SD) 1.2 (0.805) [%] (SD) 101 (4.43)	[%] (SD)       3.71 (1.94)       0.957 (0.524)         [%] (SD)       2.99 (1.91)       1.96 (1.03)         [%] (SD)       1.2 (0.805)       0.477 (0.257)         [%] (SD)       101 (4.43)       84.3 (3.79)	[%] (SD)       3.71 (1.94)       0.957 (0.524)       <0.094 (0.000)

### HOW TO MEASURE DERMAL ABSORPTION ?



#### **INFLUENCES ON DERMAL ABSORPTION**

- MW > 1000 → penetration unlikely
- IONISATION → highly ionised chemicals penetrate poorly
- LIPOPHILICITY → log Pow = 1-3, best penetration
- COMPATIBILITY TO THE SKIN
- VOLATILITY
- STABILITY OF COMPOUND IN VEHICLE
- SOLUBILITY IN RECEPTOR FLUID













			SPECIA	L CASE				
	(2) LOW DERMAL ABSORPTION SUSPECTED							
	CUT-OFF VALUE FOR DA: 1.3%							
	PERFORMANCE OF THE RULE SET ON THE DATA SET							
		n=70	Predicted high (%)	Predicted low (%)	TOTAL (%)			
		High DA (≥ 1.3%)	33	0	33			
		Low DA (< 1.3%)	54	13	67			
	SENSITIVITY 100% SPECIFICITY 19%							
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••							
	PERFORMANCE WHEN COMPOUNDS TRIGGER 2 ALERTS FOR CLASSIFICATION AS HIGH DA							
		n=70	Predicted high (%)	Predicted low (%)	TOTAL (%)			
		High DA (≥ 1.3%)	27	6	33			
		Low DA (< 1.3%)	26	41	67			
	SENSITIVITY 83% SPECIFICITY 62%							
VUB		➡ ↑	SPECIFICITY CAU	JSES LOSS OF SE	NSITIVITY			
VRUE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL					Ates et al., Reg. Toxico	l. Pharmacol. 76(2016)74		

		SPECIA	L CASE					
2 LOW DERMAL ABSORPTION SUSPECTED								
CUT-OFF VALUE FOR DA: 2%								
PERFORMANCE OF THE RULE SET ON THE DATA SET								
	N=70	Predicted high (%)	Predicted low (%)	TOTAL (%)				
	High DA (≥ 2%)	19	0	19				
	Low DA (< 2%)	68	13	81				
SENSITIVITY 100% SPECIFICITY 16%								
PERFORMANCE WHEN COMPOUNDS TRIGGER 2 ALERTS FOR CLASSIFICATION AS HIGH DA								
	N=70	Predicted high (%)	Predicted low (%)	TOTAL (%)				
	High DA (≥ 2%)	19	0	19				
	Low DA (< 2%)	34	47	81				
SENSITIVITY 100% SPECIFICITY 58%								
SETTING BOUNDARY CRITERIA AT 2% FOR HIGH DA & APPLYING MORE FLEXIBLE RULES OPTIMISED SENSITIVITY WITH SPECIFICITY OF 100% Ates et al., Reg. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 76(2016)74								





