

THE QD-ALGORITHM FOR PADÉ-APPROXIMANTS IN OPERATOR THEORY*

ANNIE A. M. CUYT[†]

Abstract. It is well known that the quotient-difference algorithm can be used to construct univariate Padé-approximants. In this paper we see that the Padé-approximants for nonlinear operators $F: X \rightarrow Y$ where X is a Banach space and Y a commutative Banach algebra, introduced by the author, can also be obtained by means of the QD-algorithm and can consequently be obtained as convergents of a continued fraction, if the scalar QD-algorithm is reformulated as in §1. The definition of abstract Padé-approximants will be repeated in §2, while the operator QD-algorithm will be treated in §3.

1. The scalar QD-scheme. Let us consider a nonlinear real-valued function f of one real variable x , analytic at the origin

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^k \quad \text{with } c_k = \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(0).$$

We will present the QD-algorithm in a slightly different way than usual, but the two approaches are equivalent. The advantage of this approach is that it can be generalized to the case where F is a nonlinear operator from a Banach space X to a commutative Banach algebra Y .

Let the series f be normal, i.e.,

$$\begin{vmatrix} c_n x^n & c_{n+1} x^{n+1} & \dots & c_{n+k-1} x^{n+k-1} \\ c_{n+1} x^{n+1} & & & \\ \vdots & & & \\ c_{n+k-1} x^{n+k-1} & & & c_{n+2k-2} x^{n+2k-2} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

for $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $k=1, 2, \dots$. This determinant is a monomial of degree $k(n+k-1)$ in the variable x . Demanding that this monomial be nontrivial is equivalent to demanding that this determinant evaluated at $x=1$ be nonzero.

For a normal series we can construct a double entry table of numbers $q_k^{(n)}$ and $e_k^{(n)}$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e_0^{(n)} &= 0, & n &= 0, 1, \dots, \\ q_1^{(n)} &= \frac{c_{n+1} x^{n+1}}{c_n x^n}, & n &= 0, 1, \dots, \\ e_k^{(n)} &= q_k^{(n+1)} + e_{k-1}^{(n+1)} - q_k^{(n)}, & n &= 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad k=1, 2, \dots, \\ q_{k+1}^{(n)} &= \frac{q_k^{(n+1)} e_k^{(n+1)}}{e_k^{(n)}}, & n &= 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad k=1, 2, \dots. \end{aligned}$$

From this QD-algorithm we can obtain Padé-approximants to the function f as follows.

*Received by the editors November 24, 1982, and in revised form March 1, 1983.

[†]Aspirant M.F.W.O. Department of Mathematics, Universitaire Instelling Antwerpen, Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium.

The (l, m) Padé-approximant (numerator of degree l and denominator of degree m) for $l \geq m$ is equal to the $(2m)$ th convergent K_{2m} of the continued fraction

$$c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{l-m}x^{l-m} + \frac{c_{l-m+1}x^{l-m+1}}{1} \\ - \frac{q_1^{(l-m+1)}}{1} - \frac{e_1^{(l-m+1)}}{1} - \frac{q_2^{(l-m+1)}}{1} - \frac{e_2^{(l-m+1)}}{1} - \dots$$

if $K_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{l-m} c_k x^k$, and to the $(2m+1)$ th convergent K_{2m+1} of the continued fraction

$$c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{l-m-1}x^{l-m-1} + \frac{c_{l-m}x^{l-m}}{1} - \frac{q_1^{(l-m)}}{1} - \frac{e_1^{(l-m)}}{1} - \frac{q_2^{(l-m)}}{1} - \frac{e_2^{(l-m)}}{1} - \dots$$

if $K_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{l-m-1} c_k x^k$ [1].

The terms $q_k^{(n)}$ and $e_k^{(n)}$ each contain a factor x now because of the definition of $q_1^{(n)}$. Since the series f is normal the Padé-approximants are also normal [1].

2. Abstract Padé-approximants for operators. We briefly repeat the definition of Padé-approximant in operator theory and a determinantal formula for the calculation. More details can be found in [3]. Let X be a Banach space and Y a commutative algebra (0 denotes the unit for addition and I the unit for multiplication). Let $F: X \rightarrow Y$ be analytic in the open ball $B(0, r)$ with centre $0 \in X$ and radius $r > 0$ [5, p. 113]

$$F(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} F^{(k)}(0) x^k \quad \text{for } \|x\| < r,$$

where $F^{(k)}(0)$ is the k th Fréchet-derivative of F in 0 and thus a symmetric k -linear bounded operator, and where $(1/0!)F^{(0)}(0)x^0 = F(0)$.

DEFINITION 2.1. $F(x) = O(x^k)$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) if nonnegative constants $r < 1$ and K exist such that $\|F(x)\| \leq K \|x\|^k$ for $\|x\| < r$.

Write $D(F) = \{x \in X | F(x) \text{ is regular in } Y, \text{ i.e., there exists } y \in Y: F(x) \cdot y = I\}$.

DEFINITION 2.2. An *abstract polynomial* is a nonlinear operator $P: X \rightarrow Y$ with $P(x) = A_n x^n + A_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + A_0$, where A_i is a symmetric i -linear bounded operator ($i = 0, \dots, n$) [5, p. 194].

When we have two abstract polynomials P and Q , we can construct an abstract rational operator $Q^{-1} \cdot P$ where $Q^{-1}(x)$ is the inverse element of $Q(x)$ for the multiplication in the Banach algebra Y . Of course division by $Q(x)$ can only be performed when x is in $D(Q)$.

DEFINITION 2.3. The pair of abstract polynomials $(P(x), Q(x)) = (\sum_{i=0}^l A_{lm+i} x^{lm+i}, \sum_{j=0}^m B_{lm+j} x^{lm+j})$ such that the abstract power series $(F \cdot Q - P)(x) = O(x^{lm+l+m+1})$ is called a *solution of the Padé-approximation problem of order (l, m)* .

The shift of degrees by $l \cdot m$ provides us with many nice properties [2], [3] and will also provide us with an abstract QD-scheme.

Let us denote by $Q_{\Delta}^{-1} \cdot P_{\Delta}$ a reduced form of the abstract rational operator $Q^{-1} \cdot P$; in other words $P = P_{\Delta} \cdot T$ and $Q = Q_{\Delta} \cdot T$ and we have cancelled this abstract polynomial T in both numerator and denominator. Different solutions of the Padé-approximation problem and different reduced forms are equivalent (denoted by \simeq); i.e., they satisfy the relation

$$(P, Q) \simeq (R, S) \Leftrightarrow P(x) \cdot S(x) = Q(x) \cdot R(x) \quad \forall x \in X.$$

DEFINITION 2.4. The *abstract Padé-approximant of order (l, m)* for F is the equivalence class containing all the pairs (P, Q) satisfying Definition 2.3 and all the pairs (P_Δ, Q_Δ) which are the numerator and denominator of a reduced form of $Q^{-1} \cdot P$.

Let us write $C_k = (1/k!)F^{(k)}(0)$. We call the series F normal if there exists x in X such that

$$H_k(C_n) = \begin{vmatrix} C_n x^n & C_{n+1} x^{n+1} & \dots & C_{n+k-1} x^{n+k-1} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ C_{n+k-1} x^{n+k-1} & & & C_{n+2k-2} x^{n+2k-2} \end{vmatrix}$$

is regular in Y for $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $k=1, 2, \dots$. When the series

$$C_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (C_k x^k - C_{k-1} x^{k-1})$$

is normal, a representation of $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ satisfying Definition 2.3 is given by

$$P(x) = \begin{vmatrix} F_l(x) & F_{l-1}(x) & \dots & F_{l-m}(x) \\ C_{l+1} x^{l+1} & & & C_{l-m+1} x^{l-m+1} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ C_{l+m} x^{l+m} & & & C_l x^l \end{vmatrix},$$

$$Q(x) = \begin{vmatrix} I & I & \dots & I \\ C_{l+1} x^{l+1} & \dots & & C_{l-m+1} x^{l-m+1} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ C_{l+m} x^{l+m} & \dots & & C_l x^l \end{vmatrix},$$

where $F_l(x) = \sum_{k=0}^l C_k x^k$ [3, p. 25].

From now on we shall denote these determinants by $P_{[l,m]}(x)$ and $Q_{[l,m]}(x)$, respectively. The pair $(P_{[l,m]}, Q_{[l,m]})$ can be considered as a representative of the abstract Padé-approximant of order (l, m) for F . If we introduce the notation

$$\Delta C_k x^k = C_{k+1} x^{k+1} - C_k x^k$$

then normality of the series $C_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (C_k x^k - C_{k-1} x^{k-1})$ is equivalent to $H_k(\Delta C_n)$ being regular in Y for some x in X and for all $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $k=1, 2, \dots$. So normality of the series $C_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta C_k x^k$ implies regularity of $Q_{[l,m]}(x) = H_m(\Delta C_{l-m+1})$ for some x and thus existence of $Q_{[l,m]}^{-1} \cdot P_{[l,m]}$.

3. The abstract QD-scheme. For a normal series F we can define the abstract QD-scheme as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_0^{(n)} &= 0, & n &= 0, 1, \dots, \\ Q_1^{(n)} &= (C_{n+1} x^{n+1}) \cdot (C_n x^n)^{-1}, & n &= 0, 1, \dots, \\ E_k^{(n)} &= Q_k^{(n+1)} + E_{k-1}^{(n+1)} - Q_k^{(n)}, & n &= 0, 1, \dots, \quad k=1, 2, \dots, \\ Q_{k+1}^{(n)} &= Q_k^{(n+1)} \cdot E_k^{(n+1)} \cdot (E_k^{(n)})^{-1}, & n &= 0, 1, \dots, \quad k=1, 2, \dots. \end{aligned}$$

The existence of all the $E_k^{(n)}$ and $Q_k^{(n)}$ is proved as in [4, pp. 610–611]. Let us construct the following continued fractions in the Banach algebra Y :

$$(1) \quad \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{l-m} C_k x^k + C_{l-m+1} x^{l-m+1}}{\frac{I - Q_1^{(l-m+1)}}{\frac{I - E_1^{(l-m+1)}}{\frac{I - Q_2^{(l-m+1)}}{\frac{I - E_2^{(l-m+1)}}{I - \dots}}}}}}$$

and

$$(2) \quad \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{l-m-1} C_k x^k + C_{l-m} x^{l-m}}{\frac{I - Q_1^{(l-m)}}{\frac{I - E_1^{(l-m)}}{\frac{I - Q_2^{(l-m)}}{\frac{I - E_2^{(l-m)}}{I - \dots}}}}}}$$

where division means multiplication by the inverse element for multiplication in Y .

We shall now prove that these continued fractions are of the same form as in the univariate case where only a factor x remains in $q_k^{(n)}$ and $e_k^{(n)}$ after division of their numerator by denominator and we shall also prove that the convergents of these continued fractions yield our abstract Padé-approximants.

THEOREM 1. *If we write $Q_k^{(n)} = N_{q,k,n} / D_{q,k,n}$ and $E_k^{(n)} = N_{e,k,n} / D_{e,k,n}$ then $\partial N_{q,k,n} = \partial D_{q,k,n} + 1$ and $\partial N_{e,k,n} = \partial D_{e,k,n} + 1$, where ∂ indicates the degree of the abstract polynomial.*

Proof. The proof is by induction. For $k = 1$ we have

$$N_{q,k,n} = C_{n+1} x^{n+1}, \quad D_{q,k,n} = C_n x^n, \\ N_{e,k,n} = (C_{n+1} x^{n+1})^2 - C_n x^n \cdot C_{n+2} x^{n+2}, \quad D_{e,k,n} = C_n x^n \cdot C_{n+1} x^{n+1},$$

so that

$$\partial N_{q,k,n} = n + 1 = \partial D_{q,k,n} + 1, \quad \partial N_{e,k,n} = 2n + 2 = \partial D_{e,k,n} + 1.$$

Suppose the theorem holds for $Q_1^{(n)}, \dots, Q_k^{(n)}, E_1^{(n)}, \dots, E_k^{(n)}$; we shall prove it then for $Q_{k+1}^{(n)}$ and $E_{k+1}^{(n)}$.

Since $Q_{k+1}^{(n)} = Q_k^{(n+1)} \cdot E_k^{(n+1)} \cdot (E_k^{(n)})^{-1}$, we have

$$Q_{k+1}^{(n)} = \frac{N_{q,k,n+1} \cdot N_{e,k,n+1} \cdot D_{e,k,n}}{N_{e,k,n} \cdot D_{q,k,n+1} \cdot D_{e,k,n+1}} = \frac{N_{q,k+1,n}}{D_{q,k+1,n}}.$$

Thus $\partial N_{q,k+1,n} = \partial N_{q,k,n+1} + \partial N_{e,k,n+1} + \partial D_{e,k,n} = \partial D_{q,k+1,n} + 1$. For $E_{k+1,n}$ the proof is analogous.

If we write down the continued fraction that is the even contraction of (3) (i.e., a continued fraction having as convergents K_{2n} for $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$), we get

$$(4) \quad \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{l-m} C_k x^k + C_{l-m+1} x^{l-m+1}}{I - \frac{A_1^{(l-m+1)} - A_1^{(l-m+1)} B_1^{(l-m+1)}}{I - B_1^{(l-m+1)} - A_2^{(l-m+1)} - \dots}}$$

If we write down the continued fraction that is the odd contraction of (3) with $l-m$ replaced by $l-m-1$ (i.e., a continued fraction having as convergents the $P_{[l-m,0]}^{-1}(x) \cdot Q_{[l-m,0]}^{-1}(x)$, $P_{[l-m+1,1]}^{-1}(x) \cdot Q_{[l-m+1,1]}^{-1}(x)$, \dots on the descending staircase (6)), we get

$$(5) \quad \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{l-m-1} C_k x^k + C_{l-m} x^{l-m} A_1^{(l-m)}}{I - \frac{A_1^{(l-m)} - B_1^{(l-m)} - B_1^{(l-m)} A_2^{(l-m)}}{I - A_2^{(l-m)} - B_2^{(l-m)} - \dots}}$$

Because (4) and (5) have the same convergents, we have

$$A_k^{(l-m+1)} B_k^{(l-m+1)} = B_k^{(l-m)} A_{k+1}^{(l-m)}, \quad B_{k-1}^{(l-m+1)} + A_k^{(l-m+1)} = B_k^{(l-m)} + A_k^{(l-m)},$$

$k = 1, 2, \dots,$

if we put $B_0^{(l-m+1)} = 0$. So

$$A_k^{(l-m+1)} = Q_k^{(l-m+1)}, \quad B_k^{(l-m+1)} = E_k^{(l-m+1)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

This completes the proof.

Analogously we can formulate and prove the next theorem.

THEOREM 3. $P_{[l,m]}^{-1}(x) \cdot Q_{[l,m]}^{-1}(x)$ is the $(2m+1)$ th convergent of the continued fraction (2).

This can easily be seen by writing down the continued fraction (3) with $l-m$ replaced by $l-m-1$; the convergents of this continued fraction are the abstract Padé-approximants on the following descending staircase:

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{l} P_{[l-m-1,0]}^{-1}(x) \cdot Q_{[l-m-1,0]}^{-1}(x) \\ P_{[l-m,0]}^{-1}(x) \cdot Q_{[l-m,0]}^{-1}(x) \quad P_{[l-m,1]}^{-1}(x) \cdot Q_{[l-m,1]}^{-1}(x) \\ P_{[l-m+1,1]}^{-1}(x) \cdot Q_{[l-m+1,1]}^{-1}(x) \quad \dots \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

We illustrate Theorems 2 and 3 by means of a simple example. Consider

$$F: C'([0, T]) \rightarrow C([0, T]): x(t) \rightarrow e^{x(t)} \frac{dx}{dt} - (1 + d).$$

The unit in the Banach algebra $C([0, T])$ is the constant function $x(t) = 1$, so we shall write $I = 1$.

The Taylor series development of F around $x(t)=0$, is

$$F(x) = -(1+d) + \frac{dx}{dt} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} x^k(t).$$

Let us calculate, for instance, the $(l, 2)$ abstract Padé-approximants for $l \geq 0$. If we use the determinantal representation of $P_{[l,2]}(x)$ and $Q_{[l,2]}(x)$ we find that

$$P_{[l,2]}(x) = \left(\frac{dx}{dt} \right)^2 \frac{x^{2l-2}(t)}{l!(l-1)!} \left[\sum_{k=0}^l C_k x^k - \frac{2x(t)}{l+1} \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} C_k x^k + \frac{x^2(t)}{l(l+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{l-2} C_k x^k \right],$$

$$Q_{[l,2]}(x) = \left(\frac{dx}{dt} \right)^2 \frac{x^{2l-2}(t)}{l!(l-1)!} \left[1 - \frac{2x(t)}{l+1} + \frac{x^2(t)}{l(l+1)} \right].$$

Now $P_{[l,2]}(x) \cdot Q_{[l,2]}^{-1}(x)$ is the 4th convergent of the continued fraction (1). We calculate the necessary elements in the QD-table

$$Q_1^{(n)} = \frac{C_{n+1} x^{n+1}}{C_n x^n} = \frac{x(t)}{n},$$

$$E_1^{(n)} = Q_1^{(n+1)} - Q_1^{(n)} = \frac{-x(t)}{n(n+1)},$$

$$Q_2^{(n)} = \frac{Q_1^{(n+1)} \cdot E_1^{(n+1)}}{E_1^{(n)}} = x(t) \frac{n}{(n+1)(n+2)}.$$

Note that in $Q_1^{(n)}$ the quotient of an $(n+1)$ -linear operator by an n -linear operator is a linear operator, which is not true in general but which simplifies the calculations a lot.

It is easy to check that

$$P_{[l,2]}(x) \cdot Q_{[l,2]}^{-1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{l-2} C_k x^k + C_{l-1} x^{l-1} \frac{1 - Q_1^{(l-1)}}{1 - E_1^{(l-1)}} \frac{1 - Q_2^{(l-1)}}{1}.$$

where the division is here a division of continuous functions. Analogously we can see that $P_{[l,2]}(x) \cdot Q_{[l,2]}^{-1}(x)$ is also the 5th convergent of the continued fraction (2).

REFERENCES

- [1] C. BREZINSKI, *Padé-type Approximation and General Orthogonal Polynomials*, ISNM 50, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1980.
- [2] ANNIE A. M. CUYT, *The ε -algorithm and Padé-approximants in operator theory*, this Journal, 14 (1983), pp. 1009–1014.
- [3] ———, *Abstract Padé-approximants for operators: theory and applications*, Ph. D. thesis, University of Antwerp, 1982.
- [4] P. HENRICI, *Applied and Computational Complex Analysis I*, John Wiley, New York, 1974.
- [5] LOUIS B. RALL, *Computational Solution of Nonlinear Operator Equations*, Krieger, Huntington, New York, 1979.