

Numerical Stability of the Halley-Iteration for the Solution of a System of Nonlinear Equations

Author(s): Annie A. M. Cuyt Source: *Mathematics of Computation*, Vol. 38, No. 157 (Jan., 1982), pp. 171-179 Published by: American Mathematical Society Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/2007473</u> Accessed: 28/10/2009 07:02

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=ams.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Mathematical Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Mathematics of Computation.

Numerical Stability of the Halley-Iteration for the Solution of a System of Nonlinear Equations

By Annie A. M. Cuyt*

Abstract. Let $F: \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^q$ and x^* a simple root in \mathbb{R}^q of the system of nonlinear equations F(x) = 0.

Abstract Pade approximants (APA) and abstract Rational approximants (ARA) for the operator F have been introduced in [2] and [3]. The adjective "abstract" refers to the use of abstract polynomials [5] for the construction of the rational operators.

The APA and ARA have been used for the solution of a system of nonlinear equations in [4]. Of particular interest was the following third order iterative procedure:

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + \frac{a_i^2}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}F_i'^{-1}F_i''a_i^2},$$

with F'_i the 1st Fréchet-derivative of F in x_i , $a_i = -F'_i F_i$ the Newton-correction where $F_i = F(x_i)$, F''_i the 2nd Fréchet-derivative of F in x_i where $F''_i a_i^2$ is the bilinear operator F''_i evaluated in (a_i, a_i) , and componentwise multiplication and division in \mathbb{R}^q . For q = 1 this technique is known as the Halley-iteration [6, p. 91]. In this paper the numerical stability [7] of the Halley-iteration for the case q > 1 is investigated and illustrated by a numerical example.

1. Numerical Stability of Iterations. We consider the numerical solution of the equation

$$F(x) = 0$$

with F: $\mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^q$: $x \to F(x)$, abstract analytic in 0 [5]. Assume that (1) has a simple root x^* .

We briefly repeat the definition of condition-number given by Woźniakowski [7]. The condition-number should measure the sensitivity of the solution (output) with respect to changes in the data (input). We assume that F depends parametrically on a vector $d \in \mathbf{R}^{p}$, called data vector

$$F(x)=F(x;d),$$

and instead of the exact value F(x; d) we only have the computed value fl(F(x; d))in t digit floating-point binary arithmetic. At best we can expect that fl(F(x; d)) is the exact value of a slightly perturbed operator at slightly perturbed data

(2)
$$fl(F(x; d)) = (I + \Delta F)F(x + \Delta x; d + \Delta d),$$

where I is the $q \times q$ unit-matrix and

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta x|| &\leq C_1 \rho ||x||, \qquad ||\Delta d|| &\leq C_2 \rho ||d||, \\ ||\Delta F|| &\leq C_3 \rho \quad (\Delta F \, a \, q \times q \text{ matrix}), \end{aligned}$$

Received May 27, 1981.

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 65G05.

^{*} Aspirant of the Belgian National Fund for Scientific Research (NFWO).

^{© 1982} American Mathematical Society 0025-5718/82/0000-0478/\$03.25

for constants C_1 , C_2 , C_3 (only depending on the dimensions of the problem) and with $\rho = 2^{-t}$ the relative computer precision [8]. By introducing the Landau-symbol O, we could also write

$$\Delta x = O(\rho), \quad \Delta d = O(\rho), \quad \Delta F = O(\rho),$$

where the constants in the Landau-notation depend on x, d and the dimensions. We will always, for a given F, define the data vector so that (2) holds and so that the condition number (see Definition 1.1) is minimized. Let fl(d) denote the t digit binary representation of the vector d in floating-point arithmetic

$$\|fl(d) - d\| \le C\rho \|d\|$$
, i.e. $fl(d) - d = O(\rho)$.

Since d is represented by fl(d), we solve in fact F(x; fl(d)) = 0 instead of F(x) = 0, independent of the method used to solve (1). Let F'_x and F'_d denote the partial Fréchet-derivatives of F, respectively with respect to x and d.

Now F(x; fl(d)) = 0 has a root x^* in the neighborhood of x^* and $x^* - x^* = O(\rho)$ if t is sufficiently large; thus,

$$\begin{aligned} x^* - x^* &= -F'_x(x^*; d)^{-1}F'_d(x^*; d)(\mathrm{fl}(d) - d) \\ &+ \mathrm{higher order terms in } \widetilde{x^*} - x^* \mathrm{and fl}(d) - d \\ &= -F'_x(x^*; d)^{-1}F'_d(x^*; d)(\mathrm{fl}(d) - d) + O(\rho^2), \end{aligned}$$

where the constant in the Landau-notation depends on x^* , d and F.

For $x^* \neq 0$: $\|\widetilde{x^*} - x^*\| / \|x^*\| \le \|F'_x(x^*; d)^{-1}F'_d(x^*; d)\| C\rho \|d\| / \|x^*\| + O(\rho^2)$. Definition 1.1. Cond(F; d) = $\|F'_x(x^*; d)^{-1}F'_d(x^*; d)\| \cdot \|d\| / \|x^*\|$ is called the condition number of F with respect to the data vector d.

A problem is ill-conditioned if $cond(F; d) \gg 1$.

Let us now suppose that F(x; d) = 0 is solved by an iterative procedure $\Phi(x_i, F)$, where Φ can use several $F_i^{(j)}$, the *j*th Fréchet-derivative of F at x_i (if j = 1 or 2, a single or double prime is used instead of the superscript *j*). If $\{x_i\}$ is the sequence of successive approximations of x^* , we can at best expect x_i to be the representation of a computed value for $\widetilde{x^*}$,

$$\|x_i - \widetilde{x^*}\| \leq K\rho \|\widetilde{x^*}\|.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_i - x^*\| &\leq \|x_i - \widetilde{x^*}\| + \|\widetilde{x^*} - x^*\| \leq K\rho \|\widetilde{x^*}\| + C\rho \operatorname{cond}(F; d) \cdot \|x^*\| + O(\rho^2) \\ &\leq K\rho(\|\widetilde{x^*} - x^*\| + \|x^*\|) + C\rho \operatorname{cond}(F; d) \cdot \|x^*\| + O(\rho^2) \\ &\leq [K\rho + C\rho \operatorname{cond}(F; d)] \cdot \|x^*\| + O(\rho^2). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.2. An iteration Φ is called numerically stable if

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \|x_i - x^*\| \le \rho \cdot \|x^*\| \cdot (C \operatorname{cond}(F; d) + K) + O(\rho^2),$$

where the constants C and K depend on x^* , d and F.

In practice we often want to find an approximation x_i such that $||x_i - x^*|| \le \varepsilon \cdot |x^*||$. This is possible if the problem is sufficiently well-conditioned, i.e., $\circ \operatorname{cond}(F; d) = O(\varepsilon)$. In floating-point arithmetic we have

$$x_{i+1} = \Phi(x_i, F) + \xi_i$$
, where $\xi_i = fl(\Phi(x_i, F)) - \Phi(x_i, F)$.

THEOREM 1.1. A convergent iterative procedure $\Phi(x_i, F)$, i.e.

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|\Phi(x_i, F) - x^*\| = 0,$$

is numerically stable if $\lim_{i\to\infty} ||\xi_i|| \le \rho ||x^*|| (C \operatorname{cond}(F; d) + K) + O(\rho^2)$.

Proof. We simply verify the definition.

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \|x_i - x^*\| \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} \left[\|\Phi(x_{i-1}, F) - x^*\| + \|\xi_{i-1}\| \right]$$
$$= \lim_{i \to \infty} \|\xi_{i-1}\| \leq \rho \|x^*\| (C \operatorname{cond}(F; d) + K) + O(\rho^2).$$

2. Abstract Padé Approximants (APA) and Abstract Rational Approximants (ARA) for the Solution of a System of Nonlinear Equations. Let x_i be the *i*th approximant of the root x^* in the iterative process, $y_i = F(x_i)$ and the Newton-correction $a_i = -F_i^{i-1}F_i$. Using the Inversion Theorem [1, p. 381] we can see that

(3)
$$x^* = x_i + a_i - \frac{1}{2}F_i^{\prime - 1}F_i^{\prime\prime}a_i^2 + O(a_i^3),$$

where $F_i'' a_i^2$ is the bilinear operator F_i'' evaluated on (a_i, a_i) . The Newton-iteration results from approximating the series in (3) by its first two terms, i.e., the (1, 0)-APA [2].

In [7] Woźniakowski proves numerical stability of the Newton-iteration under a natural assumption on the computed evaluation of F.

THEOREM 2.1. If
(a)
$$fl(F(x_i; d)) = (I + \Delta F_i)F(x_i + \Delta x_i; d + \Delta d_i) = F(x_i) + \delta F_i$$
, with
 $\delta F_i = \Delta F_i F(x_i) + F'_x(x_i)\Delta x_i + F'_d(x_i)\Delta d_i + O(\rho^2)$,

(b) fl($F'(x_i; d)$) = $F'(x_i) + \delta F'_i$, with $\delta F'_i = O(\rho)$,

(c) the computed correction $fl(a_i)$ is the exact solution of a perturbed linear system

$$(F'(x_i) + \delta F'_i + E_i) \operatorname{fl}(a_i) = -F(x_i) - \delta F_i \quad \text{with } E_i = O(\rho),$$

then the Newton-iteration is numerically stable.

Proof. In [7].

Another way to approximate x^* is to use the (1, 1)-ARA [2] for the power series (3), i.e.

(4)
$$x_{i+1} = x_i + \frac{a_i^2}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}F_i'^{-1}F_i''a_i^2},$$

where multiplication and division of the vectors in \mathbb{R}^q in the numerator and denominator of (4) are componentwise. For q = 1 the iteration (4) is the well-known Halley-iteration. We will also use the name Halley-iteration for the case $q \ge 1$. We will now prove numerical stability of this iteration under assumptions similar to the assumptions for the Newton-iteration. We will also assume that the divisions in (4) are such that

(5)
$$\left(\frac{1}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}F_i^{\prime-1}F_i^{\prime\prime}a_i^2}\right)^j O(||a_i||^{j-k}\rho^{k+l}) = O(\rho^l).$$

Condition (5) takes care of the fact that the denominator of the correction-term in (4) does not become too small in comparison with $O(||a_i||^{j-k}\rho^k)$.

The assumption of (5) is a natural generalization of the following relations:

(5a)
for
$$q = 1$$
, $\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{a_i}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}F_i^{\prime-1}F_i^{\prime\prime\prime}a_i^2} = 1$,
(5a)
and so $\exists L \in \mathbb{N} \supset \forall i \ge L$: $\left|\frac{a_i}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}F_i^{\prime-1}F_i^{\prime\prime\prime}a_i^2}\right| \le 1 + D$
(case $j = 1, k = 0, l = 0$) with $D \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$,
in a convergent process (4): $\lim_{i \to \infty} ||x^* - x_i|| = 0$, and thus
 $\lim_{i \to \infty} a_i = 0$, i.e. $\exists M \in \mathbb{N} \supset \forall i \ge M$: $a_i = O(\rho)$,
and so $\forall i \ge M$: $a_i^2 = O(||a_i||\rho)$; also
 $\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{a_i^2}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}F_i^{\prime-1}F_i^{\prime\prime\prime}a_i^2} = 0$, i.e.
 $\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \supset \forall i \ge N$: $\frac{a_i^2}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}F_i^{\prime-1}F_i^{\prime\prime\prime}a_i^2} = O(\rho)$,
(5b)
and so $\forall i \ge \max(N, M)$: $\frac{a_i^2}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}F_i^{\prime-1}F_i^{\prime\prime\prime}a_i^2} = \frac{1}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}F_i^{\prime-1}F_i^{\prime\prime\prime}a_i^2}$

$$= \frac{1}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}F_i'^{-1}F_i''a_i^2} O(||a_i||$$

= $O(\rho)$
(case $j = 1, k = 0, l = 1$).

THEOREM 2.2. If

(a) fl(
$$F(x_i; d)$$
) = $(I + \Delta F_i)F(x_i + \Delta x_i; d + \Delta d_i) = F(x_i) + \delta F_i$ with
 $\delta F_i = \Delta F_i F(x_i) + F'_x(x_i)\Delta x_i + F'_d(x_i)\Delta d_i + O(\rho^2),$

(b) fl($F'(x_i; d)$) = $F'(x_i) + \delta F'_i$ with $\delta F'_i = O(\rho)$,

(c) fl($F''(x_i; d)$) = $F''(x_i) + \delta F''_i$ with $\delta F''_i = O(\rho)$,

(d) the computed correction $fl(a_i)$ is the exact solution of a perturbed linear system

$$(F'(x_i) + \delta F'_i + E_{i,1}) \operatorname{fl}(a_i) = -F(x_i) - \delta F_i \text{ with } E_{i,1} = O(\rho),$$

(e) analogously,

$$(F'(x_i) + \delta F'_i + E_{i,2}) fl(b_i) = (F''(x_i) + \delta F''_i) fl(a_i)^2$$
with $E_{i,2} = O(\rho)$ and $b_i = F'_i F''_i a_i^2$,

and (5) holds, then the iteration (4) is numerically stable.

Proof. Let $F'(x_i) + \delta F'_i + E_{i,1} = F'(x_i)(I + H_{i,1})$, where $H_{i,1} = F'(\vec{x_i})^{-1} \{ \delta F'_i + E_{i,1} \} = O(\rho)$

because of (b) and (d). So for small ρ ,

$$(I + H_{i,1})^{-1} = I - H_{i,1} + O(\rho^2).$$

Thus

(6)
$$fl(a_i) = (I - H_{i,1})F_i^{\prime - 1}(-F_i - \delta F_i).$$

Analogously

$$fl(b_i) = (I - H_{i,2})F_i'^{-1}(F_i'' + \delta F_i'')fl(a_i)^2 \quad \text{with } H_{i,2} = O(\rho).$$

Now

$$(F_i'' + \delta F_i'') \operatorname{fl}(a_i)^2 = (F_i'' + \delta F_i'') [(I - H_{i,1})F_i'^{-1}(-F_i - \delta F_i)]^2$$

= $(F_i'' + \delta F_i'')a_i^2 + 2(F_i'' + \delta F_i'')(F_i'^{-1}F_i, F_i'^{-1}\delta F_i - H_{i,1}F_i'^{-1}F_i) + O(\rho^2)$
= $(F_i'' + \delta F_i'')a_i^2 - 2F_i''(a_i, F_i'^{-1}\delta F_i - H_{i,1}F_i'^{-1}F_i) + O(\rho^2).$

Thus

$$fl(b_i) = F_i'^{-1}(F_i'' + \delta F_i'')a_i^2 - 2F_i'^{-1}F_i''(a_i, F_i'^{-1}\delta F_i - H_{i,1}F_i'^{-1}F_i) - H_{i,2}F_i'^{-1}F_i''a_i^2 + O(\rho^2).$$

A computed approximation x_{i+1} satisfies

$$x_{i+1} = (I + \delta I_{i,1}) \left[x_i + (I + \delta I_{i,2}) \frac{\mathrm{fl}(a_i)^2}{\mathrm{fl}(a_i) + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{fl}(b_i)} \right],$$

where $\delta I_{i,1}$ and $\delta I_{i,2}$ are diagonal matrices and $\delta I_{i,1} = O(\rho)$ and $\delta I_{i,2} = O(\rho)$. So

$$x_{i+1} = (I + \delta I_{i,1}) \left[x_i + (I + \delta I_{i,2}) \frac{a_i^2 - 2a_i \cdot (F_i^{\prime - 1} \delta F_i + H_{i,1} a_i) + O(\rho^2)}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}b_i - \delta a_i + O(\rho^2)} \right],$$

where

$$\delta a_{i} = F_{i}^{\prime-1} \delta F_{i} + H_{i,1} a_{i} - \frac{1}{2} F_{i}^{\prime-1} \delta F_{i}^{\prime\prime} a_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} H_{i,2} F_{i}^{\prime-1} F_{i}^{\prime\prime} a_{i}^{2} + F_{i}^{\prime-1} F_{i}^{\prime\prime} (a_{i}, F_{i}^{\prime-1} \delta F_{i} - H_{i,1} F_{i}^{\prime-1} F_{i}).$$

Using (6), we find

$$fl(a_i) - a_i + H_{i,1}a_i - H_{i,1}F_i^{\prime-1}\delta F_i = -F_i^{\prime-1}\delta F_i,$$

and thus, for positive constants D_1 and D_2 ,

$$||F_i'^{-1}\delta F_i|| \le D_2 \rho ||a_i||$$
 since $||f|(a_i) - a_i|| \le D_1 \rho ||a_i||$

and

$$||F_i'^{-1}|| \cdot ||F_i|| \leq ||F_i'^{-1}|| \cdot ||F_i'|| \cdot ||a_i||.$$

Thus

$$x_{i+1} = (I + \delta I_{i,1}) \left[x_i + \frac{a_i^2 - 2a_i (F_i'^{-1} \delta F_i + H_{i,1} a_i) + \delta I_{i,2} a_i^2 + O(\rho^2 ||a_i||^2)}{a_i + \frac{1}{2} b_i - \delta a_i + O(\rho^2)} \right],$$

where $\delta I_{i,2}a_i^2$ is the linear operator $\delta I_{i,2}$ evaluated in a_i^2 (componentwise square of the vector a_i). So

$$x_{i+1} = (I + \delta I_{i,1}) \left[x_i + \frac{a_i^2 - 2a_i (F_i'^{-1} \delta F_i + H_{i,1} a_i) + \delta I_{i,2} a_i^2 + O(\rho^2 ||a_i||^2)}{a_i + \frac{1}{2} b_i} \cdot c_i \right],$$

with

$$c_i = 1 + \frac{1}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}b_i} \left(\delta a_i + O(\rho^2) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}b_i} \right)^2 O\left(||a_i||^{2-k} \rho^{k+2}, k = 0, 1, 2 \right)$$

since $\delta a_i = O(\rho ||a_i||)$; in c_i we have used the notation 1 for the unit vector $(1, \ldots, 1)$.

Using (5), we conclude

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_i+\frac{1}{2}b_i}\right)^2 O(||a_i||^{2-k}\rho^{k+2}, k=0, 1, 2) = O(\rho^2).$$

For $\xi_i = x_{i+1} - \Phi(x_i, F)$, we have

$$\xi_{i} = \delta I_{i,1} x_{i} + \frac{a_{i}^{2}}{a_{i} + \frac{1}{2} b_{i}} (c_{i} - 1)$$

+
$$\frac{-2a_{i} (F_{i}^{\prime - 1} \delta F_{i} + H_{i,1} a_{i}) + \delta I_{i,2} a_{i}^{2} + O(\rho^{2} ||a_{i}||^{2})}{a_{i} + \frac{1}{2} b_{i}} \cdot c_{i}$$

+
$$\delta I_{i,1} \frac{a_{i}^{2}}{a_{i} + \frac{1}{2} b_{i}} \cdot c_{i} + O(\rho^{2}).$$

So

$$\begin{split} \xi_i &= \delta I_{i,1} x_i + \left(\frac{1}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}b_i}\right)^2 O(\rho ||a_i||^3, \, \rho^2 ||a_i||^2) + \frac{1}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}b_i} O(\rho^2 ||a_i||^2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}b_i} \left(-2a_i F_i'^{-1} \delta F_i + O(\rho ||a_i||^2, \, \rho^2 ||a_i||^2)\right) \cdot (1 + O(\rho)) \\ &+ O(\rho^2). \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\|\xi_i\| \le k_1 \rho \|x_i\| + k_2 \rho \|a_i\| + \left\|\frac{-2a_i}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}b_i} F_i'^{-1} \delta F_i\right\| + O(\rho^2),$$

and since

$$\frac{-2a_i}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}b_i}F_i^{\prime-1}\delta F_i = \frac{-2a_i}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}b_i}F_i^{\prime-1}(\Delta F_iF(x_i) + F_i^{\prime}\Delta x_i + F_d^{\prime}\Delta d_i + O(\rho^2))$$
$$= \frac{1}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}b_i}O(\rho||a_i||)F(x_i) - \frac{2a_i}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}b_i}\Delta x_i$$
$$- \frac{2a_i}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}b_i}F_i^{\prime-1}F_d^{\prime}\Delta d_i + \frac{1}{a_i + \frac{1}{2}b_i}O(\rho^2||a_i||),$$

we find that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|\xi_i\| \leq \rho \|x^*\| (K+C \operatorname{cond}(F; d)) + O(\rho^2)$$

for $\lim_{i\to\infty} a_i = 0 = \lim_{i\to\infty} F(x_i)$ in a convergent process and $a_i \Delta x_i = O(\rho ||a_i||)$ and $a_i F_i^{\prime-1} F_d^{\prime} \Delta d_i = O(\rho ||a_i||)$.

3. Numerical Example. Consider the following operator:

$$F: \mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}^2: (x, y) \to \begin{pmatrix} e^{-x+y} - d_1 \\ e^{-x-y} - d_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } d_1 > 0 \text{ and } d_2 > 0.$$

The operator F has a simple root $x^* = (-\frac{1}{2} \ln(d_1d_2), \frac{1}{2} \ln(d_1/d_2))$. Clearly $d = (d_1, d_2)$

is the data vector. Now

$$fl(F(x, y; d)) = \begin{bmatrix} [(1 + \varepsilon_1)e^{(-x - \Delta'x + y + \Delta'y)(1 + \theta_1)} - (d_1 + \Delta'_1 d)](1 + \kappa_1) \\ [(1 + \varepsilon_2)e^{(-x - \Delta'x - y - \Delta'y)(1 + \theta_2)} - (d_2 + \Delta'_2 d)](1 + \kappa_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $fl(x) = x + \Delta' x$, $fl(y) = y + \Delta' y$, $fl(d_1) = d_1 + \Delta'_1 d$, $fl(d_2) = d_2 + \Delta'_2 d$, θ_1 is caused by -fl(x) + fl(y), θ_2 is caused by -fl(x) - fl(y), ε_i are caused by the exponential evaluations (i = 1, 2), κ_i are caused by the subtraction of $fl(d_i)$ (i = 1, 2).

One can rewrite fl(F(x, y; d)) = (I + \Delta F)F(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y; d + \Delta d) with

$$\Delta x = x\theta_1 + \Delta' x(1 + \theta_1), \quad \Delta y = y\theta_1 + \Delta' y(1 + \theta_1), \quad \Delta d = (\Delta_1 d, \Delta_2 d),$$

$$\Delta_1 d = \frac{\Delta'_1 d - \varepsilon_1 d_1}{1 + \varepsilon_1},$$

$$\Delta_2 d = \frac{\Delta'_2 d - \varepsilon_2 d_2}{1 + \varepsilon_2} + \frac{d_2 + \Delta'_2 d}{1 + \varepsilon_2} (e^{(x + \Delta' x + y + \Delta' y)(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} - 1),$$

$$\Delta F = \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \varepsilon_1)(1 + \kappa_1) - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (1 + \varepsilon_2)(1 + \kappa_2)e^{(x + \Delta' x + y + \Delta' y)(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} - 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The inverse of the Jacobian matrix in the root x^* is

$$\frac{1}{2(d_1 \cdot d_2)} \begin{pmatrix} -d_2 & -d_1 \\ d_2 & -d_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } F'_d = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition number of F with respect to the data vector d is

$$||F'_{x}(x^{*}; d)^{-1}|| \cdot \frac{||(d_{1}, d_{2})||}{||x^{*}||}$$

Using the Schur-norm $||A|| = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}^2}$ of a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ and the l_2 -norm $||a|| = \sqrt{\sum_i a_i^2}$ of a vector $a = (a_i)$, the condition number is

$$\frac{d_1^2 + d_2^2}{\sqrt{2} \, d_1 \cdot d_2 \cdot \|x^*\|}$$

Putting $d_1 = d = d_2$, the root $x^* = (-\ln d, 0)$ and the condition number is $\sqrt{2}/|\ln d|$. The problem is extremely well-conditioned if $\operatorname{cond}(F; d) \le 1$, i.e.,

$$d \in \left]-\infty, e^{-\sqrt{2}}\right] \cup \left[e^{\sqrt{2}}, +\infty\right[.$$

The problem is very ill-conditioned if $d = e^{\epsilon}$ with ϵ very small. We will now check some of the conditions of Theorem 2.2. We already know $fl(F(x, y; d)) = (I + \Delta F)F(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y; d + \Delta d)$.

Now

$$fl(F'(x, y; d)) = fl\begin{pmatrix} -e^{-x+y} & e^{-x+y} \\ -e^{-x-y} & -e^{-x-y} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$fl(e^{-x+y}) = (1 + \epsilon_1)e^{(-x-\Delta'x+y+\Delta'y)(1+\theta_1)} = (1 + \epsilon_1)e^{-x+y}e^{-\Delta x+\Delta y}$$

$$= e^{-x+y} \Big[1 + \epsilon_1 + (1 + \epsilon_1)(e^{-\Delta x+\Delta y} - 1) \Big],$$

$$fl(e^{-x-y}) = (1 + \epsilon_2)e^{(-x-\Delta'x-y-\Delta'y)(1+\theta_2)}$$

$$= (1 + \epsilon_2)e^{-x-y}e^{-\Delta x-\Delta y}e^{(x+\Delta'x+y+\Delta'y)(\theta_1-\theta_2)}$$

$$= e^{-x-y} \Big[1 + \epsilon_2 + (1 + \epsilon_2)(e^{-\Delta x-\Delta y}e^{(x+\Delta'x+y+\Delta'y)(\theta_1-\theta_2)} - 1) \Big].$$

So $fl(F'(x, y; d)) = F'(x, y; d) + \delta F'(x, y; d)$ with

$$\delta F'(x, y; d)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 + (1 + \epsilon_1)(e^{-\Delta x+\Delta y} - 1) & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_2 + (1 + \epsilon_2)(e^{-\Delta x-\Delta y}e^{(x+\Delta'x+y+\Delta'y)(\theta_1-\theta_2)} - 1) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cdot F'(x, y; d) = O(\rho).$$

We can write down an analogous formula for F''(x, y; d).

k	× ₆	У _б	e	cond(F;e ^{10^{-k})}
0	-0.100000000000000000000000000000000000	0.3597855161523896(-18)	16	√2
1	-0.100000000000000000000000000000000000	-0.2376055789464463(-17)	16	10V 2
2	-0.100000000000001(-01)	-0.6397150159689099(-17)	15	10 ² √2
3	-0.09999999999999997(-02)	0.5077502606368951(-17)	15	10 ³ √2
4	-0.0999999999999844 (-03)	0.3913464269882279(-17)	13	10 4√2
5	-0.0999999999997470(-04)	-0.3905797959965137(-17)	12	10 ⁵ √2
6	-0.099999999986935(-05)	0.5633677343553680(-17)	11	10 ⁶ v2
7	-0.100000000174599(-06)	-0.1058449777227516(-16)	10	10 ⁷ √2
8	-0.100000000015281(-07)	0.4124494865312562(-17)	11	10 ⁸ √2
9	-0.100000007452433(-08)	-0.2449359520991520(-17)	9	10 ⁹ √2
10	-0.0999999914314586 (-09)	0.4265833288825851 (-17)	8	10 ¹⁰ √2
11	-0.100000261210709(-10)	-0.6446772724219823(-17)	7	$10^{11}\sqrt{2}$
12	-0.0999980430668081(-11)	0.3302303528672576(-17)	5	$10^{12}\sqrt{2}$
13	-0.0999761308551817(-12)	0.1322187990417560(-16)	4	$10^{13}\sqrt{2}$
14	-0.1000372750236664(-13)	-0.1182870095748150(-16)	4	1014 10
15	-0.0963108239652912(-14)	0.1398012990192197 (-17)	2	$10^{15}\sqrt{2}$
16	-0.0868560967896870(-15)	0.3349523961106902(-17)	1	10 ¹⁶ √2

We remark that the algorithm even behaves considerably well for a condition number of the order of 10^3 or 10^4 .

The two linear systems of equations are well-conditioned since the condition number of the linear systems in $x^* = \lim_{i \to \infty} x_i$ is

$$||F'_{x}(x^{*}; d)^{-1}|| \cdot ||F'_{x}(x^{*}; d)|| = 2.$$

One can prove that the use of Gaussian elimination with row pivoting for this example satisfies the conditions (d) and (e) of Theorem 2.2. So we can expect to get a reasonable approximation of the solution of F(x, y; d) = 0 using the numerically stable iterative method (4); the numerical results illustrate this. Let us at the same time follow the loss of significant digits in the root x^* as the problem becomes worse-conditioned. The calculations are performed in double precision (t = 56) on the PDP 11/45 of the University of Antwerp. We will solve the nonlinear system

F(x, y; d) = 0 for $d = e^{10^{-k}}$, k = 0, ..., 16. The root $x^* = (-10^{-k}, 0)$. For each d we give the 6th iteration-step (x_6, y_6) in the procedure (4) starting from $(x_0, y_0) = (2, 2)$, the number l of significant digits in x_6 , and the condition number cond $(F; e^{10^{-k}})$. It is also important to know that the iterative procedure stops at the 6th iteration-step, except for k = 7, 13, and 14 where, respectively, l = 11, 5, and 3 in the last iteration-step (x_7, y_7) . We have used the stop-criterion

$$\max(|x_{i+1} - x_i|, |y_{i+1} - y_i|) \le 10^{-15} \max(|x_{i+1}|, |y_{i+1}|).$$

Department of Mathematics University of Antwerp, U.I.A. Universiteitsplein 1 B-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium

1. R. G. BARTLE, The Elements of Real Analysis, Wiley, New York, 1976.

2. ANNIE A. M. CUYT, Abstract Padé Approximants in Operator Theory, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 765, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1979, pp. 61–87.

3. ANNIE A. M. CUYT, "On the properties of Abstract Rational (1-point) Approximants," J. Operator Theory, v. 5, 1981. (To appear.)

4. A. CUYT & P. VAN DER CRUYSSEN, Abstract Padé Approximants for the Solution of a System of Nonlinear Equations, Report 80-17, University of Antwerp, 1980.

5. LOUIS B. RALL, Computational Solution of Nonlinear Operator Equations, Wiley, New York, 1969; reprinted by Krieger, Huntington, New York, 1979.

6. J. F. TRAUB, Iterative Methods for the Solution of Equations, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1964.

7. H. WoźNIAKOWSKI, "Numerical stability for solving nonlinear equations," Numer. Math., v. 27, 1977, pp. 373-390.

8. D. YOUNG, A Survey of Numerical Mathematics. I, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1972.