

Evaluation of Branched Continued Fractions using Block-Tridiagonal Linear Systems

ANNIE CUYT

*Department of Econometrics, University of Tilburg, Postbus 90153, NL-5000 LE
Tilburg, The Netherlands*

AND

BRIGITTE VERDONK

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Universiteit Antwerpen
(UIA), Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium*

[Received 12 December 1986 and in revised form 30 June 1987]

The convergent of an ordinary continued fraction can be computed by solving a tridiagonal linear system for its first unknown. In this paper, this approach is generalized to branched continued fractions, and it is shown how the convergent of a branched continued fraction can be considered as the first unknown of a block-tridiagonal linear system. Hence algorithms for the solution of such systems of equations can be used for the computation of convergents of branched continued fractions, which have applications in approximation theory, systems theory, etc. In future research, special attention will be paid to the use of parallel algorithms.

IN the case of ordinary continued fractions

$$B_i = b_0^{(i)} + \frac{a_1^{(i)}}{b_1^{(i)}} + \frac{a_2^{(i)}}{b_2^{(i)}} + \dots \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots), \quad (1)$$

forward evaluation of and determinant formulae for

$$C_n^{(i)} = b_0^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j^{(i)}}{b_j^{(i)}}$$

are well-known. If we let $C_n^{(i)} = P_n^{(i)}/Q_n^{(i)}$, then $P_n^{(i)}$ and $Q_n^{(i)}$ can be computed by the three-term recurrence relation [5]

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P_k^{(i)} &= b_k^{(i)}P_{k-1}^{(i)} + a_k^{(i)}P_{k-2}^{(i)} \\ Q_k^{(i)} &= b_k^{(i)}Q_{k-1}^{(i)} + a_k^{(i)}Q_{k-2}^{(i)} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (k = 1, \dots, n) \quad (2)$$

with $P_{-1}^{(i)} = 1 = Q_{-1}^{(i)}$, $P_0^{(i)} = b_0^{(i)}$, and $Q_0^{(i)} = 0$. Using this three-term recurrence relation, one can prove that $P_n^{(i)}$ and $Q_n^{(i)}$ are also given by the determinant

formulae [4]

$$P_n^{(i)} = \begin{vmatrix} b_0^{(i)} & -1 & & & 0 \\ a_1^{(i)} & b_1^{(i)} & -1 & & \\ & a_2^{(i)} & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & -1 \\ 0 & & & a_n^{(i)} & b_n^{(i)} \end{vmatrix}, \quad Q_n^{(i)} = \begin{vmatrix} b_1^{(i)} & -1 & & & 0 \\ a_2^{(i)} & b_2^{(i)} & -1 & & \\ & a_3^{(i)} & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & -1 \\ 0 & & & a_n^{(i)} & b_n^{(i)} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Hence, if $Q_n^{(i)} \neq 0$, then $C_n^{(i)} = b_0^{(i)} + x_1^{(i)}$, where $x_1^{(i)}$ is the first unknown of the tridiagonal system

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1^{(i)} & -1 & & & 0 \\ a_2^{(i)} & b_2^{(i)} & -1 & & \\ & a_3^{(i)} & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & -1 \\ 0 & & & a_n^{(i)} & b_n^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{(i)} \\ \vdots \\ x_n^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1^{(i)} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Let us now generalize (3) and (4) for branched continued fractions [3, 6]:

$$B_0 + \frac{a_1}{B_1} + \frac{a_2}{B_2} + \dots, \quad (5)$$

where each of the B_i is an ordinary continued fraction as in (1). A convergent of (5) is denoted by

$$C_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} = C_{m_0}^{(0)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j}{C_{m_j}^{(j)}}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$C_{m_j}^{(j)} = b_0^{(j)} + \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \frac{a_k^{(j)}}{b_k^{(j)}}.$$

If we let $C_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} = P_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} / Q_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n}$, then clearly P_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} and Q_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} can be computed by applying the three-term recurrence relation (2) to the expression (6):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P_{k,m_0,\dots,m_k} &= C_{m_k}^{(k)} P_{k-1,m_0,\dots,m_{k-1}} + a_k P_{k-2,m_0,\dots,m_{k-2}} \\ Q_{k,m_0,\dots,m_k} &= C_{m_k}^{(k)} Q_{k-1,m_0,\dots,m_{k-1}} + a_k Q_{k-2,m_0,\dots,m_{k-2}} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (k = 1, \dots, n) \quad (7)$$

with $P_{-1} = 1 = Q_{0,m_0}$, $P_{0,m_0} = C_{m_0}^{(0)}$, and $Q_{-1} = 0$. As an immediate consequence, we have

$$P_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} = \begin{vmatrix} C_{m_0}^{(0)} & -1 & & & 0 \\ a_1 & C_{m_1}^{(1)} & -1 & & \\ & a_2 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & -1 \\ 0 & & & a_n & C_{m_n}^{(n)} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$Q_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} = \begin{vmatrix} C_{m_1}^{(1)} & -1 & & 0 \\ a_2 & C_{m_2}^{(2)} & -1 & \\ & a_3 & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & & \ddots & -1 \\ & & & a_n & C_{m_n}^{(n)} \end{vmatrix},$$

and $C_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} = C_{m_0}^{(0)} + x_1$, where x_1 is the first unknown of the tridiagonal system

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{m_1}^{(1)} & -1 & & 0 \\ a_2 & C_{m_2}^{(2)} & -1 & \\ & a_3 & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & & \ddots & -1 \\ & & & a_n & C_{m_n}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that, in the coefficient matrix of this linear system, each $C_{m_i}^{(i)}$ is itself a quotient of determinants. We shall prove in the next theorem that C_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} is also the first unknown of a block-tridiagonal linear system, where now the partial numerators and denominators $a_j^{(i)}$ and $b_j^{(i)}$, for $j = 0, \dots, m_i$ and $i = 0, \dots, n$, of the branched continued fraction (5) appear in the coefficient matrix of the system instead of the $C_{m_i}^{(i)}$. To this end, we introduce the notations

$$\mathfrak{B}_{m_j}^{(j)} = \begin{bmatrix} b_0^{(j)} & -1 & & 0 \\ a_1^{(j)} & b_1^{(j)} & -1 & \\ & a_2^{(j)} & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & & \ddots & -1 \\ & & & a_{m_j}^{(j)} & b_{m_j}^{(j)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \dim \mathfrak{B}_{m_j}^{(j)} = (m_j + 1) \times (m_j + 1),$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_j = \begin{bmatrix} a_j & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & & \end{bmatrix}, \quad \dim \mathfrak{A}_j = (m_j + 1) \times (m_{j-1} + 1),$$

$$\mathfrak{J}_j = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & & \end{bmatrix}, \quad \dim \mathfrak{J}_j = (m_j + 1) \times (m_{j+1} + 1),$$

so that $P_{m_j}^{(j)} = \det \mathfrak{B}_{m_j}^{(j)}$.

THEOREM *If $Q_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} \neq 0$ then $C_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} = C_{m_0}^{(0)} + x_0^{(1)}$ where $x_0^{(1)}$ is the first*

unknown of the block-tridiagonal linear system

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{B}_{m_1}^{(1)} & -\mathcal{I}_1 & & & 0 \\ \mathcal{A}_2 & \mathcal{B}_{m_2}^{(2)} & -\mathcal{I}_2 & & \\ & \mathcal{A}_3 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \ddots & & -\mathcal{I}_{n-1} \\ & & & \mathcal{A}_n & \mathcal{B}_{m_n}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{8}$$

with $x_j = [x_j^{(j)}, \dots, x_{m_j}^{(j)}]^T$.

For the proof we need the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 1.

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{B}_{m_1}^{(1)} & -\mathcal{I}_1 & & & 0 \\ \mathcal{A}_2 & \mathcal{B}_{m_2}^{(2)} & -\mathcal{I}_2 & & \\ & \mathcal{A}_3 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \ddots & & -\mathcal{I}_{n-1} \\ & & & \mathcal{A}_n & \mathcal{B}_{m_n}^{(n)} \end{vmatrix} = Q_{n, m_0, \dots, m_n} Q_{m_1}^{(1)} \dots Q_{m_n}^{(n)}.$$

Proof. For $n = 1$, the left-hand side reduces to

$$\det \mathcal{B}_{m_1}^{(1)} = P_{m_1}^{(1)}.$$

We also know from (7) that, for $n = 1$,

$$Q_{1, m_0, m_1} = C_{m_1}^{(1)} = P_{m_1}^{(1)} / Q_{m_1}^{(1)}$$

and hence that

$$Q_{1, m_0, m_1} Q_{m_1}^{(1)} = P_{m_1}^{(1)} = \det \mathcal{B}_{m_1}^{(1)}.$$

Suppose that the lemma is valid for Q_{k, m_0, \dots, m_k} ($k = 1, \dots, n$). We shall prove it then for $Q_{n+1, m_0, \dots, m_{n+1}}$. A Laplacian expansion [1] of

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{B}_{m_1}^{(1)} & -\mathcal{I}_1 & & & & \\ \mathcal{A}_2 & \mathcal{B}_{m_2}^{(2)} & -\mathcal{I}_2 & & & 0 \\ & \mathcal{A}_3 & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & & & -\mathcal{I}_n \\ 0 & & & & \mathcal{A}_{n+1} & \mathcal{B}_{m_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} \end{vmatrix}$$

along the last $(m_{n+1} + 1)$ rows reveals that the above determinant equals

$$(\det \mathcal{B}_{m_{n+1}}^{(n+1)}) \begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{B}_{m_1}^{(1)} & -\mathcal{I}_1 & & & 0 \\ \mathcal{A}_2 & \mathcal{B}_{m_2}^{(2)} & -\mathcal{I}_2 & & 0 \\ & \mathcal{A}_3 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & & -\mathcal{I}_{n-1} \\ 0 & & & \mathcal{A}_n & \mathcal{B}_{m_n}^{(n)} \end{vmatrix} + (-1)^{1+m_n} \begin{vmatrix} a_{n+1} & -1 & & & 0 \\ 0 & b_1^{(n+1)} & \ddots & & 0 \\ \vdots & a_2^{(n+1)} & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & & a_{m_n}^{(n+1)} & b_{m_n}^{(n+1)} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{B}_{m_1}^{(1)} & -\mathcal{I}_1 & & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{A}_2 & \mathcal{B}_{m_2}^{(2)} & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \mathcal{B}_{m_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} & 0 \\ 0 & & & \mathcal{A}_n & Z \end{vmatrix},$$

where

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & & & -1 \\ b_1^{(n)} & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ a_2^{(n)} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & \ddots & -1 & \\ 0 & a_{m_n}^{(n)} & b_{m_n}^{(n)} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This expression can immediately be simplified to

$$P_{m_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} Q_{n, m_0, \dots, m_n} Q_{m_1}^{(1)} \dots Q_{m_n}^{(n)} + (-1)^{1+m_n} a_{n+1} Q_{m_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} \begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{B}_{m_1}^{(1)} & -\mathcal{I}_1 & & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{A}_2 & \mathcal{B}_{m_2}^{(2)} & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \mathcal{B}_{m_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} & 0 \\ 0 & & & \mathcal{A}_n & Z \end{vmatrix}.$$

By making a Laplacian expansion along the columns of Z and using the fact that $\det Z = (-1)^{1+m_n} Q_{m_n}^{(n)}$, it can further be simplified to

$$P_{m_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} Q_{n, m_0, \dots, m_n} Q_{m_1}^{(1)} \dots Q_{m_n}^{(n)} + a_{n+1} Q_{m_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} Q_{m_n}^{(n)} Q_{n-1, m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}} Q_{m_1}^{(1)} \dots Q_{m_{n-1}}^{(n-1)}.$$

On the other hand we can deduce from (7) that

$$Q_{n+1, m_0, \dots, m_{n+1}} = \frac{P_{m_{n+1}}^{(n+1)}}{Q_{m_{n+1}}^{(n+1)}} Q_{n, m_0, \dots, m_n} + a_{n+1} Q_{n-1, m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}},$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{n+1, m_0, \dots, m_{n+1}} Q_{m_1}^{(1)} \dots Q_{m_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} \\ &= P_{m_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} Q_{n, m_0, \dots, m_n} Q_{m_1}^{(1)} \dots Q_{m_n}^{(n)} + a_{n+1} Q_{m_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} Q_{n-1, m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}} Q_{m_1}^{(1)} \dots Q_{m_n}^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this right-hand side coincides with a Laplacian expansion for

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{B}_{m_1}^{(1)} & -\mathcal{J}_1 & & & \\ \mathcal{A}_2 & \mathcal{B}_{m_2}^{(2)} & -\mathcal{J}_2 & & 0 \\ & \mathcal{A}_3 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & & -\mathcal{J}_n \\ 0 & & & \mathcal{A}_{n+1} & \mathcal{B}_{m_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} \end{vmatrix},$$

our lemma is proved. \square

LEMMA 2.

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{B}_{m_0}^{(0)} & -\mathcal{J}_0 & & & \\ \mathcal{A}_1 & \mathcal{B}_{m_1}^{(1)} & -\mathcal{J}_1 & & 0 \\ & \mathcal{A}_2 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & & -\mathcal{J}_{n-1} \\ 0 & & & \mathcal{A}_n & \mathcal{B}_{m_n}^{(n)} \end{vmatrix} = P_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} Q_{m_0}^{(0)} \dots Q_{m_n}^{(n)}.$$

Proof. For $n = 0$ we know from (7) that

$$P_{0,m_0} = C_{m_0}^{(0)} = P_{m_0}^{(0)} / Q_{m_0}^{(0)}$$

and hence

$$P_{0,m_0} Q_{m_0}^{(0)} = P_{m_0}^{(0)} = \det \mathcal{B}_{m_0}^{(0)}.$$

The rest of the inductive proof is completely analogous to that of Lemma 1 and is left to the reader. \square

Let us now try to prove our main result.

Proof of the theorem. For $n = 1$, (6) reduces to

$$C_{1,m_0,m_1} = C_{m_0}^{(0)} + a_1 / \left(b_0^{(1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{m_1} \frac{a_k^{(1)}}{b_k^{(1)}} \right),$$

where $C_{1,m_0,m_1} - C_{m_0}^{(0)}$ is the first unknown $x_0^{(1)}$ of the tridiagonal linear system

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_0^{(1)} & -1 & & & 0 \\ a_1^{(1)} & b_1^{(1)} & -1 & & \\ & a_2^{(1)} & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & & -1 \\ 0 & & & a_{m_1}^{(1)} & b_{m_1}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_0^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ x_{m_1}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{B}_{m_1}^{(1)} \begin{bmatrix} x_0^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ x_{m_1}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

More generally, a Laplacian expansion of

$$P_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} Q_{m_0}^{(0)} \dots Q_{m_n}^{(n)} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{B}_{m_0}^{(0)} & -\mathcal{F}_0 & & & \\ \mathcal{A}_1 & \mathcal{B}_{m_1}^{(1)} & -\mathcal{F}_1 & & 0 \\ & \mathcal{A}_2 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & -\mathcal{F}_{n-1} \\ 0 & & & \mathcal{A}_n & \mathcal{B}_{m_n}^{(n)} \end{vmatrix}$$

along the first $(m_0 + 1)$ rows shows that this determinant also equals

$$(\det \mathcal{B}_{m_0}^{(0)}) Q_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} Q_{m_1}^{(1)} \dots Q_{m_n}^{(n)} + (-1)^{1+m_0} \begin{vmatrix} -1 & & & -1 & Y & -\mathcal{F}_1 & & & \\ b_1^{(0)} & \ddots & & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{B}_{m_2}^{(2)} & \ddots & & 0 \\ a_2^{(0)} & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots & \mathcal{A}_3 & \ddots & & & \\ & \ddots & & -1 & & & \ddots & & & -\mathcal{F}_{n-1} \\ 0 & & a_{m_0}^{(0)} & b_{m_0}^{(0)} & 0 & 0 & & & \mathcal{A}_n & \mathcal{B}_{m_n}^{(n)} \end{vmatrix},$$

where

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & -1 & & & \\ 0 & b_1^{(1)} & \ddots & & 0 \\ \vdots & a_2^{(1)} & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & & a_{m_1}^{(1)} & b_{m_1}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This expression can immediately be simplified to

$$P_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} Q_{m_0}^{(0)} \dots Q_{m_n}^{(n)} = P_{m_0}^{(0)} Q_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} Q_{m_1}^{(1)} \dots Q_{m_n}^{(n)} + Q_{m_0}^{(0)} \begin{vmatrix} Y & -\mathcal{F}_1 & & & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}_{m_2}^{(2)} & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \mathcal{A}_3 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & & -\mathcal{F}_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & & \mathcal{A}_n & \mathcal{B}_{m_n}^{(n)} \end{vmatrix}.$$

The value C_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} we are interested in is thus given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} &= \frac{P_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n}}{Q_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n}} \\ &= \frac{P_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} Q_{m_0}^{(0)} \dots Q_{m_n}^{(n)}}{Q_{n,m_0,\dots,m_n} Q_{m_0}^{(0)} \dots Q_{m_n}^{(n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2 and the last Laplacian expansion, we know that this quotient

equals

$$\frac{P_{m_0}^{(0)}}{Q_{m_0}^{(0)}} + \frac{\begin{vmatrix} Y & -\mathcal{F}_1 & & & \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}_{m_2}^{(2)} & \cdots & & 0 \\ \vdots & \mathcal{A}_3 & \ddots & & \\ & 0 & \ddots & & -\mathcal{F}_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & & \mathcal{A}_n & \mathcal{B}_{m_n}^{(n)} \end{vmatrix}}{Q_{n, m_0, \dots, m_n} Q_{m_1}^{(1)} \dots Q_{m_n}^{(n)}}.$$

Using Lemma 1, the second term in this expression is the first unknown $x_0^{(1)}$ of our block-tridiagonal linear system. \square

If we try to describe the result of the theorem, we can look upon it as follows. Formula (4) for ordinary continued fractions (1) generalizes to formula (8) for branched continued fractions (5) by the replacements

$$b_j^{(i)} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}_{m_j}^{(i)}, \quad a_j^{(i)} \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_j, \quad -1 \leftarrow -\mathcal{F}_j.$$

Continuing this idea, it is easy to see that, for two-branched continued fractions

$$B_0^{(0)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_j^{(0)}}{B_j^{(0)}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{B_0^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_j^{(i)}}{B_j^{(i)}}}$$

with

$$B_j^{(i)} = b_{j0}^{(i)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{jk}^{(i)}}{b_{jk}^{(i)}},$$

which result by inserting an ordinary continued fraction for each denominator $b_j^{(i)}$ in (5), a formula similar to (8) can be proved, where now each $b_j^{(i)}$ within $\mathcal{B}_{m_j}^{(i)}$ is in its turn replaced by a block of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{j0}^{(i)} & -1 & & \\ a_{j1}^{(i)} & b_{j1}^{(i)} & \cdots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \end{bmatrix}.$$

This procedure can be repeated k times, and so a general determinant representation can be given for the convergent of a k -branched continued fraction. It is our intention to develop parallel algorithms for the computation of (6) by introducing parallel algorithms for the solution of block-tridiagonal linear systems like (8). The computation of this type of convergent arises in approximation theory [2], systems theory, and other applications which are under investigation [3].

REFERENCES

1. AITKEN, A. C. 1967 *Determinants and Matrices*. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh.
2. CUYT, A. 1987 A recursive computation scheme for multivariate rational interpolants. *SIAM J. numer. Anal.* **24**, 228–238.

3. CUYT A. & VERDONK, B. (to appear) A review of branched continued fraction theory for the construction of multivariate rational approximants. *J. Appl. numer. Math.*
4. MIKLOŠKO, J. 1976 Investigation of algorithms for numerical computation of continued fractions. *USSR comp. Math. and math. Phys.* **16**, 1–12.
5. PERRON, O. 1977 *Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen I*. Teubner, Stuttgart.
6. SKOROBOGATKO, V. 1983 *Branched Continued Fractions and Their Applications in Mathematics* (in Russian). Nauka, Moscow.

