

Activation of CO₂ on Copper Surfaces: The Synergy between Electric Field, Surface Morphology, and Excess Electrons

Amin Jafarzadeh,* Kristof M. Bal, Annemie Bogaerts, and Erik C. Neyts

Cite This: *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2020, 124, 6747–6755

Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: In this work, we use density functional theory calculations to study the combined effect of external electric fields, surface morphology, and surface charge on CO₂ activation over Cu(111), Cu(211), Cu(110), and Cu(001) surfaces. We observe that the binding energy of the CO₂ molecule on Cu surfaces increases significantly upon increasing the applied electric field strength. In addition, rougher surfaces respond more effectively to the presence of the external electric field toward facilitating the formation of a carbonate-like CO₂ structure and the transformation of the most stable adsorption mode from physisorption to chemisorption. The presence of surface charges further strengthens the electric field effect and consequently causes an improved bending of the CO₂ molecule and C–O bond length elongation. On the other hand, a net charge in the absence of an externally applied electric field shows only a marginal effect on CO₂ binding. The chemisorbed CO₂ is more stable and further activated when the effects of an external electric field, rough surface, and surface charge are combined. These results can help to elucidate the underlying factors that control CO₂ activation in heterogeneous and plasma catalysis, as well as in electrochemical processes.



INTRODUCTION

Because of the alarming amount of greenhouse gas emissions in recent years and their widely believed impact on the climate, the need for finding more efficient and novel ways to mitigate these effects is felt more than ever. Efficient conversion of CO₂ as the major produced greenhouse gas to value-added chemicals, such as hydrocarbons and alcohols, and thus reducing the anthropogenic carbon emission, would not only facilitate a sustainable way to tackle environmental issues caused by global industrialization, but also will help to revamp renewable energy resources.^{1–3}

Cu surfaces have been widely used for the purpose of CO₂ reduction. However, it is known that activation and further reduction of CO₂ over Cu surfaces are not straightforward without the help of electrocatalytic conditions, for example, an applied external potential and the corresponding occurrence of electric fields and charges in the electrochemical double layer. For instance, Chernyshova et al.⁴ showed that a clean Cu(111) surface in the presence of an aqueous electrolyte is not able to activate CO₂ without the presence of a relaxed Na⁺ cation hydrated by eight water molecules on top of the linear CO₂ molecule. Also, Garza et al.⁵ concluded that the formation of a negative carbonate-like structure of CO₂ on Cu(111) in an electrolyte medium is not favorable until the effect of the applied electrochemical potential is considered. Indeed, the applied potentials can lead to significant changes in the thermodynamics of catalytic reactions: it has been reported that electric fields stronger than 0.1 V/Å can alter the energies

of molecular orbitals of adsorbates,^{6,7} which in turn leads to changing chemisorption patterns, as well as different activation energies of reactions over metal surfaces.

Although electrochemical systems have shown successful performance toward CO₂ reduction over metal catalysts, accurate simulation of the interface between the electrodes and an electrolyte is highly challenging, mostly because of the existence of a complex electrolyte environment and limitations for applying the electrochemical potential.⁸ It is known that explicit approaches used for elucidation of the electrolyte are computationally demanding.⁹ On the other hand, it is difficult to assess the accuracy of the description for the solid–liquid interface using implicit methods that treat the electrolyte medium using continuum models.⁹

Moreover, in an electrochemical double layer, as found in the electrochemical activation of CO₂, many phenomena potentially contributing to the reaction are taking place at the same time (e.g. electric fields, excess electrons, solvent molecules, solvated ions, changes in surface morphology, and so on). As a result, it is very difficult to pinpoint the precise

Received: January 28, 2020

Revised: February 28, 2020

Published: March 12, 2020

effect of each individual phenomenon or understand if and how any synergy can arise between them. For this reason, it would be useful to alternatively study a pure gas-facing system to focus on the respective roles of the field and excess electrons, and not take any electrolyte into account. Not only does such a set-up dramatically simplify the surface physicochemistry—and thus allow for a more focused exploration of the role of excess electrons and electric fields—but also is a physically sensible model of certain phenomena arising at the plasma–catalyst interface.

Indeed, plasma provides a non-equilibrium and reactive environment for chemical reactions, thanks to the ions, electrons, radicals, and (vibrationally and electronically) excited species present.¹⁰ The plasma can also affect a catalyst, for example, by generating strong electric fields near the surface, or by depositing excess electrons. In contrast to electrochemical systems, where the electric field and charge distributions are intertwined phenomena that directly depend on the applied potential and the nature of the electrolyte solution, these effects can vary significantly in plasma setups, which means that a potentially much more diverse chemistry can be accessed.¹¹ In addition, the Debye length in common plasmas is typically on the order of μm or larger (as opposed to nm in electrochemical systems). Therefore, the electronic effect of the double layer can easily be incorporated in microscopic models in the form of a net charged slab and an external electric field without the need to take into account solvent molecules or specific microscopic countercharge distributions. Thus, a simple model of a gas-facing catalyst also represents a true physical aspect of a plasma-catalytic setup, besides being of purely theoretical interest.

Using density functional theory (DFT) calculations, we have already shown that the presence of excess electrons improves the activation of CO_2 on supported transition metal clusters.^{12,13} In these works, however, we did not attempt to disentangle the role of electric field and surface charge. In the present study, we therefore systematically assess the respective contributions of electric fields and excess charges on well-defined catalytic copper surfaces. Moreover, by considering different surface facets, we can also reveal how the morphology of the catalyst controls the response of the surface chemistry to any of these electronic effects.

In this study, we developed methodologies to efficiently study the effect of external electric fields and excess electrons on molecular adsorption. First, we will consider the effect of the two different electronic effects in isolation and evaluate the role of the surface structure. Subsequently, we will simultaneously consider the electric field and excess electrons, and we will explain how their combined effect can improve the activation of CO_2 over Cu surfaces with different morphologies. Besides its direct relevance to the understanding of surface chemistry in plasma catalysis, our results also provide fundamental insights into charge-based phenomena, as found in electrochemical systems.

■ COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

All calculations are performed on four different Cu surfaces [(111), (211), (110), and (001)] by means of DFT calculations. A lattice constant of 3.615 \AA ¹⁴ is used for making the slabs. The (111), (110), and (211) surfaces consist of four atomic layers, while we chose five layers for the more compact structure of (001). Further details, including the dimensions of

the supercell used for each slab, are provided in the [Supporting Information](#).

The Quickstep¹⁵ module of the CP2K¹⁶ package is used for all calculations, employing molecularly optimized (MOLOPT)¹⁷ double- ζ valence plus polarization basis sets, together with an auxiliary plane wave basis set with 800 Ry cutoff for the expansion of Kohn–Sham orbitals. The electron exchange and correlation effects are dealt with using the general gradient approximation Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof¹⁸ functional, while applying Grimme’s D3 approximation together with Becke–Johnson damping for dispersion corrections.^{19,20} The inner shell electrons are taken into account by using Goedecker–Teter–Hutter pseudopotentials,^{21,22} while for the upper shell electrons of Cu, C, and O, we consider 11, 4, and 6 valence electrons, respectively. Geometry optimizations are performed using the Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno scheme with a maximum relaxation force tolerance of 0.02 eV/\AA between atoms. Partial charges on atoms are achieved using the Hirshfeld-I scheme,²³ as implemented in CP2K. We performed test calculations both with k -point sampling of the Brillouin zone by using a $4 \times 4 \times 1$ Monkhorst–Pack mesh²⁴ and k -point sampling, which was limited to the Γ -point only. We found that sampling with the Γ -point only is significantly faster compared to k -point sampling with a $4 \times 4 \times 1$ Monkhorst–Pack mesh, while there are only minor differences in adsorption energies and all the trends stayed the same. We therefore carried out calculations considering sampling of the Brillouin zone restricted to the Γ -point only. Full periodic calculations are performed along the surface (only x and y directions) in order to avoid unrealistic interactions with replicated pictures along the z direction.²⁵

For modeling the electric field effect, we use two different methods: a constant potential method for the field-only effects and the charged plate (or dipole sheet) method for considering the combined effects of the electric field and excess electrons. As we will show in the following paragraphs, both methods are capable of yielding equivalent energetics for the field-only calculations, but only the latter can be used in systems carrying a net charge. In this study, the direction of the electric field in all calculations is chosen to be normal to the surface of the metal slab and in the $-\vec{z}$ direction. Therefore, all the positive numbers reported here for the electric field represent its absolute value or strength.

The constant potential method is based on using an implicit (generalized) Poisson solver,²⁶ by employing Dirichlet boundary conditions to simulate the applied voltage on the system without using dipole sheets. The main advantage of using Dirichlet boundary conditions is that this method makes it possible to directly apply a specified potential, together with the elimination of the need for considering large supercells. Also, it maintains the neutrality of the supercell during the calculations. In the charged plate approach, we use a dipole sheet with some modifications to include the combined effect of the electric field and the excess electrons. This method requires localized (atom-centered) basis sets, and thus is not applicable in packages using plane-waves only. In this approach, we use two charged plates as electrodes, and we employ the Martyna–Tuckerman Poisson solver.²⁷ Charged plates consist of atomic cores with modified charges in a way that both plates are charged with opposite signs, making a dipole sheet in the middle of the simulation box. Using this novel technique has made it possible to fine-tune the amount

of charge on the plates and the corresponding electric field strength between dipole sheets. In the case of considering the combined effect of an electric field and one excess electron, we can add one unit of implicit extra charge to the system together with the charged plates. A schematic picture of both the abovementioned methods is provided in Figure 1.




Figure 1. (a) Constant potential method used for the “field only” effect and (b) dipole sheet method used for the combined effect of the charge and the external field on CO_2 activation over Cu surfaces.

Using the constant potential approach, the electric field strength on a conductor surface depends on the distance between the electrodes, and correspondingly on the thickness of the metal slab ($E = \frac{\Delta V}{D-d}$); in which, E is the field strength, ΔV is the potential difference, D is the distance between the electrodes, and d is the slab thickness. This makes it feasible to consider a change in the electric field strength because of the morphology and the thickness of the surface. In other words, depending on the thickness and roughness of the used slab, the strength of the electric field arising from a specific potential would be different.

Using the dipole sheet approach, the strength of the electric field between the charged plates is given by ($E = \sigma/\epsilon_0$), in which σ is the surface charge density of the dipole sheet and ϵ_0 is the vacuum permittivity. Thus, the distance between the charged plates and the thickness of the slab does not affect the strength of the electric field achieved. However, the charged plates cannot be placed too close to the slab, to avoid explicit interactions with the dummy ions in the plates.

In order to compare Cu(111) (with a thickness of 6.26 Å) with Cu(110) (with a thickness of 8.77 Å) surfaces, we used Dirichlet boundaries to apply a 15 V potential difference on the

electrodes, resulting in different electric field strengths for each slab [1.08 V/Å for Cu(111) and 1.31 V/Å for Cu(110)]. Making the same comparison using charged plates with applying the charge density of 0.089 C/m² on dipole sheets, we get the same electric field strength for both surfaces [1.00 V/Å for Cu(111) and 1.01 V/Å for Cu(110)]. In other words, using a fixed setup in the case of Dirichlet boundaries results in different external field strength when applied to different slabs, which must be accounted for when comparing different surface morphologies. For methodological consistency, we have opted to use the same electrode settings for all considered surface slabs in all further calculations using Dirichlet boundaries. The main disadvantage of the method is that it is not possible to combine the electric field effect with the effect of excess electrons, because the method assumes charge neutrality.

Despite these differences between both approaches, we can obtain equivalent results using both methods, provided we correct for different slab size dependencies of both methods; the details of this cross-checking are provided in the Supporting Information. Adsorption energies are achieved by subtracting gas-phase CO_2 and bare slab total energies from the complex (surface + adsorbed molecule) total energy. In order to be consistent with the experiments, we have considered CO_2 in the gas phase without the presence of an electric field, while taking into account the energies of the bare slab and complex in the presence of an electric field. In this case, the CO_2 molecule is initially outside of the area influenced by the field, and once it arrives, it starts to get affected by the applied electric field while approaching the surface. Deslahra et al. have used the same approach for the study of CO chemisorption on Pt(111) in the presence of a uniform electric field.²⁸

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We first report on the calculations done using constant potential electrodes, which are meant to investigate the effect of an external electric field on bare Cu surfaces and their ability to adsorb CO_2 . Next, we will shortly report on the effect of net charges without the presence of an external electric field. Finally, by using the modified dipole sheet method, we will discuss the combined effect of the electric field and excess electrons on the redistribution of surface charges on pristine Cu surfaces and on CO_2 chemisorption patterns.

Electric Field Effect Using Constant Potential Electrodes. CO_2 adsorption on all Cu surfaces is studied first without an applied potential, and then compared with the results achieved in the presence of a negative electric field. The applied voltage range is between 0 and 25 V. Note that extreme potentials over 25 V lead to very strong electric fields, such that CO_2 gets dissociated and attached to the upper electrode, which result in unphysical energies. Also, in most cases, above 25 V, Cu atom detachment from the surface is seen as a result of “field-evaporation”. This effect has already been reported as the detachment of single atoms and even clusters from metal surfaces in response to applying very strong electric fields to the system.^{29,30}

Electric Field Effect on Bare Surfaces. We started with applying a potential on the upper electrode, while keeping the lower electrode at zero potential, to see how this changes the electrostatic potential inside the simulation box for bare Cu surfaces. As expected without the applied electric field, the potential rapidly falls to zero after a short distance from both sides of the surfaces. In this case, negative partial surface

charges of the top and bottom layers are present, showing a slight charge accumulation over the surfaces of the metal slab. After applying an electric field to the bare surface, the charge distribution inside the surface changes in a way that leads to the migration of the negative charge from the bottom to the above layers of the slabs (opposite to the electric field direction). The linear relationship between the electric field strength and the charge separation over the top and bottom layers of the surface is shown in Figure S2. The slope of the potential in both upper and lower sides of the metal surface resulted in the same electric field strength, considering the zero-field inside the conductor slab (Figure S3). This is in excellent agreement with the potential distribution reported by Deslahra et al.²⁸

Electric Field Effect on CO₂ Adsorption. Without the presence of an applied electric field, CO₂ does not chemisorb on Cu surfaces, no matter how rough the surface is. In all cases without an applied electric field, physisorbed CO₂ is the most stable structure on the Cu surfaces, which is consistent with the literature.^{31–33} The corresponding adsorption energies, bond lengths, and bond angles for CO₂ adsorption on Cu surfaces upon changing the applied potential are shown in the Supporting Information (Tables S3–S6).

On Cu(111), physisorption stays as the most stable mode until the applied electric field reaches a value of around 1 V/Å, when chemisorption becomes the favored mode. Figure 2 shows the most stable adsorption mode of CO₂ over the Cu surfaces as a function of the applied potential to the upper electrode. For the $\Delta V = 15$ V case, the slight increase in adsorption energy is due to improved Coulomb interaction between the carbon atom of the slightly bent molecule and the negative surface charge. Bending of the molecule is a natural result of the force applied to the positive carbon atom toward the electric field, while pushing negatively charged oxygen atoms in the opposite direction. Once the adsorption mode changes from physisorption to chemisorption, there is a dramatic change in the adsorption energy and C–O bond elongation, together with a decrease in the O–C–O angle, which all point to the CO₂ activation. We have also studied the change in the accumulated charge of the molecule as a result of increasing the applied potential. Figure 3 shows a linear relationship between the strength of the applied electric field and the increase in the accumulated charge on the adsorbed CO₂ over all Cu surfaces.

Note that considering the different thickness of the slabs, the applied voltages will lead to slightly different external electric fields at the slab surface. This is shown in Figures 4 and 5. Even though the applied potential is the same, the strength of the electric field is different for each slab configuration.

On Cu(211), as one of the rough surfaces that we have considered, CO₂ gets weakly chemisorbed with an adsorption energy of -0.33 eV when applying a potential of 5 V (equivalent to 0.41 V/Å electric field strength) (see Figures 4 and 5). By increasing the applied potential, the adsorption energy increases together with the improved C–O bond elongation and accumulated charge in comparison to the Cu(111) surface. As shown in Figure 5, at the voltage of 25 V, corresponding to an electric field of 1.94 V/Å, we have a strong adsorption energy of -1.96 eV together with the longest C–O bond length and the smallest O–C–O angle.

CO₂ adsorption patterns over Cu(110) are more or less similar to the (211) case with this difference that the physisorption to chemisorption transformation occurs after




Figure 2. Most stable CO₂ adsorption mode on Cu surfaces for different applied potentials.

applying a somewhat larger potential to the system. As shown in Figure 2, CO₂ prefers a long-bridge site for chemisorption for the applied voltage range of 10–20 V. However, for the $\Delta V = 25$ V case, we found the most stable chemisorbed CO₂ on a short-bridge site. From Figure 5, it can be seen that at 25 V applied potential, the adsorption energy is the same as on the Cu(211) surface, together with the consistent results with bond elongation and an increase in the negative partial charge of the molecule.

The Cu(001) slab shows a stronger response to the electric field toward CO₂ chemisorption in comparison to the other flat surface [Cu(111)]. In the presence of an applied electric field, chemisorbed CO₂ is more stable on (001) surfaces than on (111). This higher sensitivity is also seen in the larger partial charge accumulation on the CO₂ molecule as a result of the presence of the electric field (Figure 3). A detailed look into the projected density of states (PDOS) of both slabs reveals the underlying reason for the difference in performance. Only strong electric fields lead to a very small shift in the surface d




Figure 3. Partial charges of adsorbed CO₂ versus electric field strength.




Figure 4. Adsorption energy of CO₂ over Cu surfaces as a function of electric field strength.

states toward the valence band. However, this shift is more pronounced in the molecule's s or p states. Figures 6 and 7 show the PDOS of both surfaces upon changing the applied potential. As indicated by the blue arrows, shifting of the C and O states for the CO₂ adsorbed on the (001) surface is more noticeable in comparison to the (111) slab. This improved shifting leads to enhanced hybridization between the molecule and the metal surface states. This can also apply to the observed change in the performance of Cu(211) and Cu(110) surfaces. More details on the bonding properties between CO₂ and Cu atoms are provided in the Supporting Information.

In summary, the results indicate that a negative electric field has a strong impact on the adsorption of CO₂, and more specifically on its chemisorption as the first step toward activation. The rougher surfaces respond more strongly to the electric field. This is partially because of the local electric field enhancement resulting from morphological differences. Also, less coordinated atoms in rougher surfaces, like (211) and




Figure 5. Adsorption energy of CO₂ over Cu surfaces as a function of applied potential between both electrodes.

(110), are more prone to make a stable bond with CO₂ than the highly coordinated Cu atoms in flatter surfaces.

Excess Electron Effect on CO₂ Adsorption Patterns. In order to make a consistent comparison between the individual effect of each parameter and the combined effects, we also need to consider the presence of excess electrons only, without any external electric field applied to the system. In this case, we first optimized the CO₂ adsorption (using both initially physisorbed and chemisorbed configurations) on all surfaces without any charge or electric field applied. Then, we re-optimized the most stable structure by adding one electron to the supercell. We found that the lone added charge gets evenly distributed on both sides of the slab, as expected from electrostatic theory, and does not lead to any noticeable change in the adsorption energy of the molecule. In all cases, the re-optimized structure with the excess electron has almost the same stability as the neutral structure (with differences in adsorption energies less than 0.02 eV), as shown in Figure 8.

For the Cu(110) surface without excess electrons, same as the other surfaces, the most stable structure is the linear physisorbed CO₂. Once the excess electron is added, chemisorption becomes the most stable adsorption mode, although the adsorption energy increases as 0.01 eV. In other words, on the negatively charged surface of Cu(110), the physisorption mode is less stable than the chemisorption mode, in contrast to the neutral case. For all the other surfaces, the most stable neutral and re-optimized charged structures are linear physisorbed CO₂. These results show that without the presence of an external electric field, the presence of excess electrons has a negligible effect on the adsorption properties of CO₂ on the Cu surfaces studied here. This is in line with our recent findings for CO₂ chemisorption on charged semiconductors; therefore, the adsorption enhancement due to the presence of excess electrons is linearly correlated with the work on charging or, more generally, the band gap.³⁴ As a result, the chemistry on metallic surfaces can also be expected to be mostly unaffected by negative charging.

Combined Effect of Electric Field and Excess Electrons Using the Modified Dipole Sheet Approach. We have devised a modified version of the dipole sheet approach that is able to explain the combined effects occurring in plasma catalysis as a result of an electric field and plasma-




Figure 6. PDOS for CO₂ adsorption on Cu(001).




Figure 7. PDOS for CO₂ adsorption on Cu(111).

induced excess electrons. As in the previous section, we first study the combined effects on bare surfaces and then extend it to the adsorption of CO₂ over Cu surfaces.

Combined Effect on Bare Surfaces. Using a dipole sheet induces a uniform electric field between the plates, independent of the thickness of the employed metal surface. This is different from the case of the constant potential electrode approach, in which the electric field strength varies with the size of the vacuum area between electrodes (which changes when metal surfaces with different thicknesses and morphologies are placed between the electrodes). In order to apply the intended electric field between the dipole sheets, the electric charge on the plates should be adjusted. Tables S1 and S2 in the [Supporting Information](#) show values of the charge and the surface charge density for the corresponding strengths of the external electric field.

Once we add an excess electron to the system together with applying the electric field, the distribution of the electrons on both the upper and lower surfaces of the slab will be changed. This will lead to altered electric fields in the regions on the top and bottom of the metal slab, as is seen from the results that the slope of the potential in cases with the combined effect of the electric field and excess electrons is different in the upper and lower regions of the slab ([Figure S9](#)). Also, it is seen that the presence of an excess electron increases the accumulated partial negative charges on both sides of the slab ([Figure S8](#)). This makes the upper layer more negative and the bottom layer less positive, which in turn increases/decreases the electric field strength in the upper/lower vacuum regions of the metal slab. This effect is more pronounced by using a stronger electric field, which increasingly leads to charge separation on both sides of the slab.




Figure 8. Adsorption energy of CO₂ on Cu surfaces as a function of both electric field and excess electrons.




Figure 9. Partial charge of adsorbed CO₂ over different Cu surfaces as a function of both the electric field and excess electrons.

Combined Effect on CO₂ Adsorption. In order to study the combined effect of electric field and plasma-induced excess electrons on CO₂ adsorption over Cu surfaces, we employ two electric field strengths (1 and 1.5 V/Å), both with and without adding an excess electron, and we compare the results. In the presence of the electric field only, the results are entirely in agreement with the results achieved by the constant potential electrode approach. The most stable configurations for CO₂ adsorption over Cu surfaces in the presence of a combined electric field and excess electrons are provided in the [Supporting Information](#) (Figures S4–S7). For the case of Cu(111), without adding an excess electron, a 1 V/Å electric field is not sufficient to induce chemisorption ([Figure S4](#)), while, as shown in [Figures S5–S7](#), the chemisorbed phase of CO₂ is the most stable adsorption mode under the examined circumstances for all the other surfaces.

Adding one excess electron to the system together with applying the electric field gives rise to CO₂ creating a stable chemisorbed phase on Cu(111) and more stabilization of a previously formed chemisorbed structure on three other surfaces. By carefully analyzing the partial charges on the atoms involved in bonding (provided in the [Supporting Information](#) Tables S11–S14), we realize that once the chemisorption occurs, the Cu atom coordinating with the C atom of the molecule attains a noticeable partial charge, while there is only marginal change in the partial charge of other neighboring Cu atoms. This leads to the formation of a polar covalent bond, considering the positive partial charge of the C atom. This is the opposite for the Cu atom, which makes a bond with the O atom of the molecule. In this case, the previously negatively charged Cu atom loses all its charge to the bonded oxygen atom and remains slightly positive. The other oxygen atom of the molecule gets a pronounced partial negative charge, which indicates further activation of the molecule.

In all cases, adding an excess electron leads to an improvement of the electric field effect, correspondingly giving rise to an increase in the adsorption energy and C–O bond stretching and a decrease in the O–C–O bond angle. The trends in adsorption energy are shown in [Figure 8](#). The combined effect of an electric field and excess electrons on the partial charges of the CO₂ molecule is displayed in [Figure 9](#). We can achieve more or less the same results for the 1 V/Å + 1e and 1.5 V/Å cases, which implies that by combining the

excess electrons with the electric field we can get the same results toward the CO₂ activation as a 50% stronger field.

As already seen in the previous section, Cu(211) shows the strongest binding ability among the four different slabs studied here. The same reasoning for the improved CO₂ activation can be used here, which stresses the important role of the surface morphology in response to the charge and the electric field effect. By analyzing the PDOS (provided in the [Supporting Information](#); Figures S10–S13), the same shifting in s and p states of CO₂ as a result of applying an electric field and excess electrons is also seen. By slightly shifting the metal's d states around the Fermi level, the increased accumulated negative charge on the upper layer of the slab also helps to further hybridization between the surface and the molecule's states. The more negatively charged the surface is, the stronger the bond it makes with the positive carbon atom of the molecule. In this situation, the repulsion between the negatively charged surface and the reduced oxygen atoms leads to further bending of the molecule. As shown in [Figure 9](#), improved bent structures are accompanied by an increased accumulated partial charge on the CO₂ molecule.

Improved CO₂ activation because of the increased electric field (achieved by the combination of the electric field and a plasma-induced excess electron) suggests that the electric field enhancement could be the key factor leading to higher CO₂ conversion rates in plasma catalysis. In other words, fine-tuning the surface morphology of the catalyst together with the presence of excess electrons could be employed to adjust the required electric field strength aiming for higher conversion rates.

These results also help to explain the role of excess electrons in electrochemical CO₂ reduction. Our initial results for the isolated effect of electric fields or excess electrons seem to suggest that the primary contributor to CO₂ chemisorption is the electric field, so that the only role of the excess electrons is that of a charge carrier needed to obtain the applied potential drop. However, whenever an electric field is present, the electrons in the surface will also play a chemical role, meaning that both have a direct effect on the chemistry. Because of the nature of electrochemical systems, with the applied potential drop within an electrolyte intertwining the two phenomena, such synergistic behavior is always present; it is only through the simplified systematic approach in our study that this precise entanglement can be characterized.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we have investigated the effect of an electric field, excess electrons, as well as the combination thereof, on CO₂ adsorption over Cu surfaces. We used a new technique based on charged parallel plates, which enabled us to analyze for the first time the combined effect of electric field and excess electrons in a plasma catalytic system. The results of this study reveal that an external negative electric field induces a switch from physisorption to chemisorption of the CO₂ molecule on Cu surfaces. This effect is even stronger when an excess electron is present in the system. In contrast, excess electrons without the presence of an external electric field have no appreciable effect on CO₂ binding. Overall, an increase in adsorption energies, partial charges, and C–O bond elongations in CO₂ is seen because of applying the electric field with or without the presence of excess electrons.

We notice that the rougher surfaces respond more effectively to the presence of the electric field and excess electrons, which we attribute to the locally stronger fields and also less coordinated surface atoms in comparison to the highly coordinated atoms in flat surfaces.

Considering various synergies that arise through the combined effects, controlling the surface roughness, charge deposition, and electric field strength could be effective parameters to tune and optimize the CO₂ activation process over Cu surfaces.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.0c00778>.

Information on supercells used for the calculations; details for making the dipole sheets; cross-checking details, potential, and surface partial charge curves using the constant potential and dipole sheet methods; tables listing the bond length, bond angles, and adsorption energies for the most stable CO₂ adsorption mode as a function of various applied field/charge conditions; PDOS for the combined electric field and excess electron effect; and Hirshfeld charges of the surface atoms and adsorbed molecules using the dipole sheet method (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Amin Jafarzadeh — Research Group PLASMAN, Department of Chemistry, University of Antwerp, Antwerp 2610, Belgium; orcid.org/0000-0002-1638-6222; Phone: +32-32652360; Email: amin.jafarzadeh@uantwerpen.be; Fax: +32-32652343

Authors

Kristof M. Bal — Research Group PLASMAN, Department of Chemistry, University of Antwerp, Antwerp 2610, Belgium; orcid.org/0000-0003-2467-1223

Annemie Bogaerts — Research Group PLASMAN, Department of Chemistry, University of Antwerp, Antwerp 2610, Belgium; orcid.org/0000-0001-9875-6460

Erik C. Neyts — Research Group PLASMAN, Department of Chemistry, University of Antwerp, Antwerp 2610, Belgium; orcid.org/0000-0002-3360-3196

Complete contact information is available at:

<https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.0c00778>

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The financial support from the TOP research project of the Research Fund of the University of Antwerp (grant ID: 32249) is highly acknowledged by the authors. The computational resources used in this study were provided by the VSC (Flemish Supercomputer Center), funded by the FWO and the Flemish Government—department EWI.

REFERENCES

- (1) Song, J.; Song, H.; Kim, B.; Oh, J. Towards Higher Rate Electrochemical CO₂ Conversion: From Liquid-Phase to Gas-Phase Systems. *Catalysts* **2019**, *9*, 224.
- (2) Gao, D.; Zegkinoglou, I.; Divins, N. J.; Scholten, F.; Sinev, I.; Grosse, P.; Roldan Cuenya, B. Plasma-Activated Copper Nanocube Catalysts for Efficient Carbon Dioxide Electroreduction to Hydrocarbons and Alcohols. *ACS Nano* **2017**, *11*, 4825–4831.
- (3) Reske, R.; Mistry, H.; Behafarid, F.; Roldan Cuenya, B.; Strasser, P. Particle Size Effects in the Catalytic Electroreduction of CO₂ on Cu Nanoparticles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 6978–6986.
- (4) Chernyshova, I. V.; Somasundaran, P.; Ponnuram, S. On the Origin of the Elusive First Intermediate of CO₂ Electroreduction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2018**, *115*, E9261–E9270.
- (5) Garza, A. J.; Bell, A. T.; Head-Gordon, M. Is Subsurface Oxygen Necessary for the Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂ on Copper? *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2018**, *9*, 601–606.
- (6) Che, F.; Gray, J. T.; Ha, S.; Kruse, N.; Scott, S. L.; McEwen, J.-S. Elucidating the Roles of Electric Fields in Catalysis: A Perspective. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 5153–5174.
- (7) Kreuzer, H. J. Physics and Chemistry in High Electric Fields. *Surf. Interface Anal.* **2004**, *36*, 372–379.
- (8) Steinmann, S. N.; Sautet, P. Assessing a First-Principles Model of an Electrochemical Interface by Comparison with Experiment. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120*, 5619–5623.
- (9) Gauthier, J. A.; Ringe, S.; Dickens, C. F.; Garza, A. J.; Bell, A. T.; Head-Gordon, M.; Nørskov, J. K.; Chan, K. Challenges in Modeling Electrochemical Reaction Energetics with Polarizable Continuum Models. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 920–931.
- (10) Neyts, E. C.; Ostrikov, K.; Bogaerts, A.; Sunkara, K.; Bogaerts, A. Plasma Catalysis: Synergistic Effects at the Nanoscale. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 13408–13446.
- (11) Bogaerts, A.; Zhang, Q. Z.; Zhang, Y. R.; Van Laer, K.; Wang, W. Burning Questions of Plasma Catalysis: Answers by Modeling. *Catal. Today* **2019**, *337*, 3.
- (12) Jafarzadeh, A.; Bal, K. M.; Bogaerts, A.; Neyts, E. C. CO₂ Activation on TiO₂-Supported Cu₅ and Ni₅ Nanoclusters: Effect of Plasma-Induced Surface Charging. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2019**, *123*, 6516–6525.
- (13) Bal, K. M.; Huygh, S.; Bogaerts, A.; Neyts, E. C. Effect of Plasma-Induced Surface Charging on Catalytic Processes: Application to CO₂ Activation. *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *27*, 024001.
- (14) Smura, C. F.; Parker, D. R.; Zbiri, M.; Johnson, M. R.; Gál, Z. A.; Clarke, S. J. High-Spin Cobalt(II) Ions in Square Planar Coordination: Structures and Magnetism of the Oxsulfides Sr₂CoO₂Cu₂S₂ and Ba₂CoO₂Cu₂S₂ and Their Solid Solution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 2691–2705.
- (15) Vandevondele, J.; Krack, M.; Mohamed, F.; Parrinello, M.; Chassaing, T.; Hutter, J. Quickstep: Fast and Accurate Density Functional Calculations Using a Mixed Gaussian and Plane Waves Approach. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **2005**, *167*, 103–128.
- (16) Hutter, J.; Iannuzzi, M.; Schiffmann, F.; VandeVondele, J. Cp2k: Atomistic Simulations of Condensed Matter Systems. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2014**, *4*, 15–25.

- (17) VandeVondele, J.; Hutter, J. Gaussian Basis Sets for Accurate Calculations on Molecular Systems in Gas and Condensed Phases. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *127*, 114105.
- (18) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865–3868.
- (19) Grimme, S.; Ehrlich, S.; Goerigk, L. Effect of the Damping Function in Dispersion Corrected Density Functional Theory. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2011**, *32*, 1456–1465.
- (20) Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. A Consistent and Accurate Ab Initio Parametrization of Density Functional Dispersion Correction (DFT-D) for the 94 Elements H–Pu. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *132*, 154104.
- (21) Krack, M. Pseudopotentials for H to Kr Optimized for Gradient-Corrected Exchange-Correlation Functionals. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2005**, *114*, 145–152.
- (22) Goedecker, S.; Teter, M.; Hutter, J. Separable Dual-Space Gaussian Pseudopotentials. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1996**, *54*, 1703–1710.
- (23) Bultinck, P.; Van Alsenoy, C.; Ayers, P. W.; Carbó-Dorca, R. Critical Analysis and Extension of the Hirshfeld Atoms in Molecules. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *126*, 144111.
- (24) Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1976**, *13*, 5188–5192.
- (25) Bal, K. M.; Neyts, E. C. Modelling Molecular Adsorption on Charged or Polarized Surfaces: A Critical Flaw in Common Approaches. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *20*, 8456–8459.
- (26) Bani-Hashemian, M. H.; Brück, S.; Luisier, M.; VandeVondele, J. A Generalized Poisson Solver for First-Principles Device Simulations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *144*, 044113.
- (27) Martyna, G. J.; Tuckerman, M. E. A Reciprocal Space Based Method for Treating Long Range Interactions in Ab Initio and Force-Field-Based Calculations in Clusters. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *110*, 2810–2821.
- (28) Deshlahra, P.; Wolf, E. E.; Schneider, W. F. A Periodic Density Functional Theory Analysis of CO Chemisorption on Pt(111) in the Presence of Uniform Electric Fields. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2009**, *113*, 4125–4133.
- (29) Forbes, R. G. Field Evaporation Theory: A Review of Basic Ideas. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **1995**, *87–88*, 1–11.
- (30) Sánchez, C. G.; Lozovoi, A. Y.; Alavi, A. Field-Evaporation from First-Principles. *Mol. Phys.* **2004**, *102*, 1045–1055.
- (31) Muttaqien, F.; Hamamoto, Y.; Inagaki, K.; Morikawa, Y. Dissociative Adsorption of CO₂ on Flat, Stepped, and Kinked Cu Surfaces. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *141*, 034702.
- (32) Nakamura, J.; Rodriguez, J. A.; Campbell, C. T. Does CO₂ Dissociatively Adsorb on Cu Surfaces? *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **1989**, *1*, SB149.
- (33) Ou, L. Chemical and Electrochemical Hydrogenation of CO₂ to Hydrocarbons on Cu Single Crystal Surfaces: Insights into the Mechanism and Selectivity from DFT Calculations. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 57361–57371.
- (34) Bal, K. M.; Neyts, E. C. Overcoming Old Scaling Relations and Establishing New Correlations in Catalytic Surface Chemistry: Combined Effect of Charging and Doping. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2019**, *123*, 6141–6147.