

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Applied Catalysis B: Environmental



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apcatb

# Selective oxidation of CH<sub>4</sub> to CH<sub>3</sub>OH through plasma catalysis: Insights from catalyst characterization and chemical kinetics modelling



Yanhui Yi<sup>a,b,\*,1</sup>, Shangkun Li<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Zhaolun Cui<sup>b</sup>, Yingzi Hao<sup>a</sup>, Yang Zhang<sup>c</sup>, Li Wang<sup>d</sup>, Pei Liu<sup>e</sup>, Xin Tu<sup>f</sup>, Xianming Xu<sup>g</sup>, Hongchen Guo<sup>a</sup>, Annemie Bogaerts<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, School of Chemical Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, 116024, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Research Group PLASMANT, Department of Chemistry, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, BE-2610, Wilrijk, Antwerp, Belgium

<sup>c</sup> School of Materials Science and Engineering, Center of Advanced Analysis & Gene Sequencing, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450001, PR China

<sup>d</sup> College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Dalian Maritime University, Dalian, 116026, Liaoning, PR China

<sup>e</sup> In-situ Center for Physical Sciences, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, PR China

<sup>f</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering and Electronics, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L693GJ, UK

<sup>g</sup> Daqing Chemical Research Center, China National Petroleum Corporation, Daqing, 163714, PR China

ARTICLE I	Ν	F	0
-----------	---	---	---

Keywords: Methane conversion Plasma catalysis Selective oxidation Methanol synthesis Plasma chemistry

# ABSTRACT

The selective oxidation of methane to methanol (SOMTM) by molecular oxygen is a holy grail in catalytic chemistry and remains a challenge in chemical industry. We perform SOMTM in a  $CH_4/O_2$  plasma, at low temperature and atmospheric pressure, promoted by Ni-based catalysts, reaching 81 % liquid oxygenates selectivity and 50 %  $CH_3OH$  selectivity, with an excellent catalytic stability. Chemical kinetics modelling shows that  $CH_3OH$  in the plasma is mainly produced through radical reactions, i.e.,  $CH_4 + O(1D) \rightarrow CH_3O + H$ , followed by  $CH_3O + H + M \rightarrow CH_3OH + M$  and  $CH_3O + HCO \rightarrow CH_3OH + CO$ . The catalyst characterization shows that the improved production of  $CH_3OH$  is attributed to abundant chemisorbed oxygen species, originating from highly dispersed NiO phase with strong oxide support interaction with  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, which are capable of promoting  $CH_3OH$  formation through E-R reactions and activating H<sub>2</sub>O molecules to facilitate  $CH_3OH$  desorption.

#### 1. Introduction

Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), abundant in natural gas, shale gas, coalbed gas, biogas and dry gas (i.e., emission of chemical industry), has become not only an important source of clean fossil energy, but also a feedstock for the chemical industry. At present, the industrial utilization of CH<sub>4</sub> is initiated by high temperature steam reforming to syngas (CO and H<sub>2</sub>), which is then transformed into hydrocarbons through the Fischer-Tropsch process, or into methanol (CH<sub>3</sub>OH), through a high-pressure reaction over Cu-Zn-Al catalyst. CH<sub>3</sub>OH is a versatile molecule for the production of many bulk chemicals, such as ethylene, propylene and aromatics [1]. However, due to the strong C–H bond energy (439 kJ/mol), the negligible electron affinity and low polarizability of CH<sub>4</sub>, as well as thermodynamic limitations, the syngas pathway is energy intensive and costly, which stimulates researchers to develop novel approaches for the conversion of CH<sub>4</sub>. Thus, the selective oxidation of methane to methanol (SOMTM) is attracting more and more attention

# [<mark>2,3</mark>].

SOMTM is being studied by homogeneous catalysis, in strong acid media (sulfuric and trifluoroacetic acid), using complex catalysts with noble metals (Pt and Pd) as central atoms [4–6]. Alternatively, SOMTM can also be realized by impressive heterogeneous catalysis, e.g. iron-based zeolites [7,8] and copper-based zeolites [9–11], or supported noble metals, such as Au, Pd and Rh [12,13]. However, numerous works in homogeneous or heterogeneous catalysis adopted high price oxidants such as N<sub>2</sub>O or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, which made this process economically infeasible in large-scale application. Using the abundant and cheap molecular oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) as oxidant, (R1), would be highly desirable in industrial application.

$$CH_4 + 1/2O_2 \rightarrow CH_3OH \quad \Delta H^0_{298K} = -126.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$
 (R1)

SOMTM by  $O_2$ , R1, has been extensively studied. Colloidal Au-Pd nanoparticles exhibited high CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity (92 %) in aqueous solution at mild temperatures on SOMTM with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> as oxidants.

\* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.120384

Received 2 March 2021; Received in revised form 13 May 2021; Accepted 18 May 2021 Available online 21 May 2021 0926-3373/© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

E-mail address: yiyanhui@dlut.edu.cn (Y. Yi).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally (shared first authors).

More oxygenated products were formed than the amount of  $H_2O_2$ consumed, suggesting that the controlled breakdown of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> activates methane, which subsequently incorporates molecular oxygen through a radical process [12]. CeO<sub>2</sub>/Cu<sub>2</sub>O catalysts were able to activate methane at room temperature, and water addition could generate centers on the catalyst surface with special electronic properties, on which methane can directly interact to yield methanol [14,15]. Recently, highly active Au-Pd nanoparticles were encapsulated inside zeolites and modified with a hydrophobic sheath, which can considerably enhance the oxidation of methane to methanol [16]. The silanes appeared to allow diffusion of H<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> to the catalyst active sites, while confining the in-situ generated H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> decomposition, which provided a high local peroxide concentration to facilitate methanol production, with 17.3 % methane conversion and 92 % methanol selectivity. Additionally, chemical looping was also proposed to inhibit methane overoxidation on Cu- or Fe- containing zeolite catalysts [17].

Although great progress has been reported, SOMTM is, currently, still being considered as a dream reaction in chemical industry and a holy grail in catalytic chemistry [3,17,18]. Generally, it has to overcome two challenges, caused by thermodynamics and kinetics, respectively. The first is how to improve the CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity. Thermodynamically, CH<sub>3</sub>OH is not the favorable product, as CO and CO<sub>2</sub> are more stable than CH<sub>3</sub>OH. Specifically, as shown in Fig. S1, a low temperature (< 890 K) favors the production of  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$ , while a high temperature ( > 890 K) favors CO and H<sub>2</sub>. In other words, due to the higher reactivity of CH<sub>3</sub>OH than the feedstock CH<sub>4</sub>, the catalytic sites, capable of oxidizing CH<sub>4</sub> into CH<sub>3</sub>OH, can also further oxidize CH<sub>3</sub>OH into CO or CO<sub>2</sub> before CH<sub>3</sub>OH can desorb from the catalyst surface. The second challenge is how to reduce the kinetic energy barrier (Ea) of SOMTM by O2 at ambient conditions. The Ea of SOMTM by O2 is much higher than for SOMTM using N<sub>2</sub>O or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as oxidants, because both N<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> can more easily release an oxygen atom, as the main species to trigger the oxidation of CH<sub>4</sub> to CH<sub>3</sub>OH. Therefore, when using O<sub>2</sub> as oxidant, high temperature and high activity catalysts are needed to overcome the Ea of SOMTM, which unfortunately leads to deep oxidation.

One approach to overcome the above-mentioned challenges is a stepwise process, i.e., stoichiometric chemical looping, which involves three separate steps: (1) activation of the metal-zeolite catalyst by an oxidant at a relative high temperature (250-500 °C), (2) methane reaction at a relative low temperature (25-200 °C), and (3) methanol extraction using a solvent or steam at a relative low temperature (25-200 °C), and (3) methanol extraction using a solvent or steam at a relative low temperature (25-200 °C), (9,19,20]. Currently, Cu and Fe exchanged zeolites have been extensively studied, and significant attention was given to the elucidation of the nature of copper-oxo and iron- oxo active sites [17, 20]. However, the state-of-the-art conversion of methane to methanol via chemical looping stays a factor ~50 below the industrial threshold in an overall production rate, and improvement on material productivity and decreased cycle time are highly needed for this process [21].

Another approach to overcome the above-mentioned challenges is plasma catalysis. Non-thermal plasma (NTP), which is an ionized gas with clear non-equilibrium character, offers a distinct approach to activate molecules by energetic electrons instead of heat, and thus triggers chemical reactions at low temperature [22-27]. Generally, the gas temperature in NTP remains near room temperature, while the generated electrons exhibit a typical temperature of 1-10 eV (~  $10^4$  – 10<sup>5</sup> K), which is sufficient to activate feed gas molecules (e.g., CH<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>) into reactive species, including radicals, excited atoms and molecules, and ions. Several scientists have studied SOMTM by O2 through plasma and/or plasma catalysis [28-36], but only a few have reported satisfying CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity. Nozaki applied a microplasma and obtained a CH<sub>4</sub> conversion to synthetic fuels with maximum organic liquid selectivity of 70 % without catalysts (plasma alone) [28], but the CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity was below 15 %. Indarto realized CH<sub>3</sub>OH synthesis with optimum selectivity of 23 % using a dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) reactor with Ni metal doped over yttria-stabilized zirconia as catalyst [29]. Chawdhury used a packed bed DBD reactor, in which glass beads

provided an optimal CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity of 35.4 % [30], while further work reported the best CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity of 37 % using CuO/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst [31]. Recently, Cu/y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ni/y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Fe/y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts were compared for plasma-catalytic methane to value-added liquid fuels and chemicals, in which the highest liquid oxygenate ( $\sim 71$  %) were achieved, with Fe/y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst exhibited highest methanol selectivity of 36.0 % among three different catalysts [32]. In addition, the insights from microkinetic modelling for plasma-catalytic SOMTM process were obtained on Pt(111) surface and the results showed that vibrational excitation and espicially raidcals produced from CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> NTP could enhance the turnover frequency (TOF) and improve the selectivity of CH<sub>3</sub>OH, HCOOH and C<sub>2</sub> hydrocarbons [33]. In general, this field is still in the early research stages and fundamental information on the interaction of NTP with a catalyst is still lacking, and the limited CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity in most studies is attributed to the further oxidation of CH<sub>3</sub>OH into CO and CO<sub>2</sub> [34]. Additionally, the reaction pathway for the production of CH<sub>3</sub>OH and by-products (HCHO, HCOOH, CO and CO<sub>2</sub>) from CH<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> in NTP is largely unknown.

Inspired by Lustemberg's work that Ni-CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts shows excellent activity in SOMTM at moderate conditions [37], in this paper, we report SOMTM in a  $CH_4/O_2$  plasma promoted by Ni-based catalysts, with 50 % selectivity to  $CH_3OH$ , and total liquid oxygenates selectivity of 81 %, and with excellent catalytic stability. In addition, we identify the underlying reaction mechanisms by combined experiments and modeling.

# 2. Experimental section

#### 2.1. Catalyst preparation

The catalysts were synthesized by the incipient wetness impregnation method (Scheme S1). Commercial  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> pellets (1–2 mm diameter), synthesized by a hydrothermal method, were calcined at 400 °C in a muffle oven for 5 h before they were used as supports. All analytical grade chemicals were purchased from Tianjin Kemiou Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (Tianjin, China) and used without further purification. The preparation procedure of the Ni catalysts is described in Scheme S2: First, the precursor salt Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O was dissolved in deionized water, followed by the addition of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> pellets under stirring. After 12 h aging at room temperature, the sample was dried at 120 °C overnight. Finally, the sample was calcined by a muffle oven at 540 °C for 5 h in air condition, and the catalyst was noted as NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Varied nickel loading, i.e., 2, 6, 10, 15, 20, and 25 wt.% catalysts were synthesized based above method.

#### 2.2. Experimental setup

The experimental plasma catalysis setup is shown in Scheme S2. The plasma catalytic SOMTM by O2 was carried out using a coaxial DBD reactor with a novel water electrode (grounding electrode) at atmospheric pressure. The DBD reactor consists of a pair of coaxial quartz cylinders (inner and outer quartz tubes) in which a stainless-steel (2 mm outer diameter) electrode was placed in the center, and circulating water was pumped into the space between the inner and outer cylinder. A tungsten filament is installed in between both cylinders to connect this circulating water (flowing between this inner and outer wall) with a ground wire (outside of the reactor wall), so that the circulating water acts as a ground electrode of our DBD. The flow rate (6 L/min) and temperature of water was controlled by thermostatic baths with a circulation pump and external temperature controller, which can effectively remove the heat generated by the discharge and maintain a constant reaction temperature. The discharge length is 50 mm (defined by the length of the ground electrode, i.e., region of circulating water) and the inner diameter of the inner quartz cylinder is 10 mm, yielding a discharge gap of 4 mm. In the plasma catalysis experiments, the discharge space was fully packed by 1.25 g catalyst. CH<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> were

monitored by calibrated mass flow controllers and mixed homogeneously before passing through the plasma reactor. Before igniting the discharge, this gas mixture passed through the plasma reactor for about 10 min to remove air, to ensure a safe operating procedure (outside the explosion limit). The change of gas volume after the reaction was measured using a soap-film flow meter. This is needed to quantitatively analyze the gas composition, and to achieve the exact conversion (CH<sub>4</sub>) and selectivity of the gaseous products (CO and CO<sub>2</sub>). The discharge voltage and current were detected by a digital phosphor oscilloscope (Tektronix, DPO 3012) with a high voltage probe (Tektronix P6015) and a current probe (Pearson 6585).

The feedstock and gas products were analyzed by an on-line gas chromatograph (Tianmei GC-7900, TDX-01 column, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> packed column) with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and a flammable ionized detector (FID). The liquid products were cooled by a liquid trap (mixer of isopropyl alcohol and liquid nitrogen, below -120 °C) and then analyzed by GC-2014C (Shimadzu, PEG-2000 column), GC-MS (Agilent 5975C, DB-1701 column), FTIR (ThermoFisher 6700) and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (Bruker AVANCE III 500). The reaction products, including H<sub>2</sub>O, CO, CO2, CH3OH, HCHO, HCOOH, HCOOCH3, C2H5OH, CH3CHO, and CH<sub>3</sub>COOH, were analyzed using external standards. The gas products were measured by gas chromatography, while the liquid products were collected by a liquid trap and analyzed by GC, GC-MS, FTIR and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (Fig. S2). The formulas of the standard calibrated concentration curves are shown in Table S1. More details about qualitative and quantitative analysis of products on CH4/O2 NTP could be found in supporting information. In this work, the conversion of CH<sub>4</sub> and the selectivity of the gaseous products (CO<sub>x</sub>, H<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>) are calculated as follows. Note that the selectivity of CO<sub>x</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> is calculated based on carbon, while the selectivity of H2 and H2O is calculated based on hvdrogen.

The CH<sub>4</sub> conversion was calculated by:

$$X_{CH_4} (\%) = \frac{\text{molesof}CH_4 \text{ converted}}{\text{moles of initial}CH_4} \times 100 \%$$
(1)

The selectivity of the gaseous products was calculated as :

.

$$S_{CO} (\%) = \frac{\text{molesof CO produced}}{\text{molesof CH}_4 \text{ converted}} \times 100 \%$$
(2)

$$S_{CO_2} (\%) = \frac{\text{molesofCO}_2 \text{ produced}}{\text{molesofCH}_4 \text{ converted}} \times 100 \%$$
(3)

$$S_{H_2} (\%) = \frac{\text{molesof}H_2 \text{ produced}}{2 \times \text{molesof}CH_4 \text{ converted}} \times 100 \%$$
(4)

$$S_{H_{2}O}$$
 (%) = 100 % - ( $S_{CH_{3}OH}$  +  $S_{HCHO}$  +  $S_{HCOOH}$  +  $S_{H_{2}}$  +  $S_{C_{2}}$ ) (5)

$$S_{C_{2}H_{6}} (\%) = \frac{2 \times \text{molesof}C_{2}H_{6} \text{ produced}}{\text{molesof}CH_{4} \text{ converted}} \times 100 \%$$
(6)

The selectivity of the liquid products was calculated as follows:

$$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{rcl} \mbox{Total selectivity of liquid products (\%)} &= 100 \ \% \mbox{-} (S_{CO} + S_{CO_2} \ + S_{C_2H_6}) \end{array} \tag{7}$$

The selectivity of the various oxygenates,  $C_x H_v O_z$ , can be calculated as:

$$S_{C_xH_yO_z} (\%) = \frac{X \times N_{C_xH_yO_z}}{\sum X_iN_i} \times eq5$$
(8)

Where  $N_{C_xH_yO_z}$  represents the number of moles of various oxygenates in the liquid fraction. Note that we define here the carbon-based selectivity, and thus, H<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> are not included in this formula.

The corresponding yields of these C<sub>x</sub>H<sub>v</sub>O<sub>z</sub> oxygenates are calculated as:

$$Y_{C_{x}H_{y}O_{z}}(\%) = S_{C_{x}H_{y}O_{z}}(\%) \times X_{CH_{4}}(\%)$$
(9)

Finally, we defined the energy efficiency for CH<sub>3</sub>OH formation (mol/ kwh) as :

Energy efficiency = 
$$\frac{\text{molesof methanol produced (mol/h)}}{\text{discharge power(kW)}}$$
 (10)

#### 2.3. Catalyst characterization and NTP diagnostics

The structural properties of the NiO/y-Al2O3 catalysts were investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD), conducted using a SmartLab 9 kW diffractometer with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation (240 kV, 50 mA). The H<sub>2</sub>-temperature programmed reduction (H2-TPR) was performed on a Quanta chrome ChemBET Pulsar Chemisorption instrument. Before the analysis, the samples (0.20 g) were pretreated with He from ambient temperature to 150 °C, and kept at 150 °C for 60 min. Afterward, the samples were cooled to 50 °C in He atmosphere. Finally, the H<sub>2</sub>-TPR was carried out in a flow of H<sub>2</sub>/Ar mixture (120 mL/min, 10% H<sub>2</sub>) from 100  $^{\circ}$ C to 1000  $^{\circ}$ C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted by Thermo Fisher ESCALAB  $XI^+$  with Al K $\alpha$  X-ray source. The C1s binding energy value (284.8 eV) was taken as a reference level. Nitrogen physisorption was conducted on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 instrument at -196 °C to obtain textural information. Prior to the measurement, the samples were degassed at 400 °C for 6 h. The surface area was calculated by the BET method and the pore volume was obtained by the t-plot method. The chemical composition of the NiO/y-Al2O3 catalysts with various loading was analyzed by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) on S8 TICER from Bruker AXS. Thermogravimetry was conducted by Netzsch STA 449 F3 connected to a Balzers QMG 403D mass spectrometer. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) was conducted on Tecnai G2 F30 S-Twin with 300 kV accelerating voltage. High angle annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) was performed by Titan<sup>3TM</sup> G2 60-300 with Cs-corrector configuration. The CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> NTP was investigated by optical emission spectroscopy (OES) through a spectrograph (SP2758, Princeton instrument company). A fiber was directly connected at the wall of the plasma reactor, to detect the emission, which was analyzed by a spectrograph (750 mm, 300 G/mm gratings). A CCD (PIXIS:400-BR\_eXcelon) was used to record the spectra with an on-line computer.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Catalytic performance

As shown in Fig. 1A, the CH<sub>4</sub> conversion is zero when using only the  $NiO/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst in the absence of NTP, indicating that SOMTM by  $O_2$  cannot be triggered over NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst without help of NTP. In plasma alone, 4.1 % CH<sub>4</sub> conversion is achieved with 42.2 % CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity, and no hydrocarbons have been detected by the GC. Hence, plasma alone is able to quite selectively produce CH<sub>3</sub>OH in our setup, while it is generally stated in literature that it is not selective at all, and needs a catalyst for the selective production of target compounds [22, 34]. This is attributed to the short residence time, as will be explained by the modeling results below. Furthermore, the influence of NTP (CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> molar ratio, temperature of grounding electrode, discharge power and residence time) was also been studied, as shown in Figs. S3-S6. After packing by  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the CH<sub>4</sub> conversion is slightly enhanced to 4.6 %, while the CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity is reduced to 41.4 %. However, when using  $NiO/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst (10 wt.% loading), the CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity increase to 6.4 % and 49.7 %, respectively, indicating that NiO/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst has a positive effect on the CH<sub>3</sub>OH production in CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> NTP. The CH<sub>4</sub> conversion is still limited, attributed to the short residence time of the gas inside the DBD reactor (high space velocity). By tuning the flow rates and other discharge conditions, it should be possible to enhance the conversion, but in this paper, we mainly focus on inhibiting the CH<sub>4</sub> overoxidation, to increase the liquid oxygenates selectivity, especially for CH<sub>3</sub>OH production. The complete product



**Fig. 1. Experimental results of SOMTM.** (A). CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and products selectivity, using only NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, only plasma, plasma with  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> beads, and plasma with (10 wt.%) NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst (400 mL/min CH<sub>4</sub>, 200 mL/min O<sub>2</sub>, 85 °C circulating water, 1.25 g NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, 30 W discharge power and 0.375 s residence time). (B). Effect of Ni loading on CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and products selectivity, for plasma with NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. (C). Stability test of the (10 wt.%) NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst in CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> NTP during 50 h continuous operation.

distribution is shown in Figs. S7 and S8, and the total selectivity of liquid oxygenates reaches 80.7 %. This striking result is again attributed to the short residence time, as illustrated by the modeling below.

Furthermore, we studied NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts with varied loading (Fig. 1B). The highest CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity were both achieved at 10 wt.% loading. Moreover, we operated the CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> NTP with 10 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst continuously for 50 h, and the CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity remained stable (Fig. 1C), indicating

the excellent catalytic stability of the NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst in CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> NTP for CH<sub>3</sub>OH production. The results obtained in this paper have been compared with those in literature. As shown in Fig. 2A, the CH<sub>3</sub>OH productivity (27.3 mmol  $g_{cat}^{-1}$  h<sup>-1</sup>) calculated by formula (1) of the SI is two orders of magnitude higher than the best results obtained through stoichiometric chemical looping using O<sub>2</sub> as the oxidant [20,38]. As shown in Fig. 2B, the CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity is higher than the best results obtained through plasma catalysis, using various catalysts, albeit at a



**Fig. 2.** Comparison of this work with literature results. A:  $CH_3OH$  productivity by stoichiometric chemical looping using  $O_2$  as the oxidant, for different catalyst materials (calculated based on the results adapted from references [20] and [38]); B:  $CH_3OH$  selectivity by plasma catalysis using  $O_2$  as the oxidant (adapted from reference [30–32]).

# lower CH<sub>4</sub> conversion [30–32].

The hydrogen-based products selectivity is shown in Fig. S9. The selectivity of CH<sub>3</sub>OH is almost 50 % in the case of "plasma + NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, i.e., over 18 % higher than in the case of plasma or plasma +  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> beads. The H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O selectivities reach 5.6 % and 29.1 %, respectively, while the selectivities of HCHO and HCOOH are around 9 % and 4.9 %, respectively, in the case of "plasma + NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst (10 wt.% loading).

Energy efficiency is a key performance indicator for plasma-catalytic SOMTM. We defined the energy efficiency for CH<sub>3</sub>OH formation by formula (7), in which the plasma power was calculated through mathematical integration using the waveform of discharge voltage (Fig. S10) and discharge current (Fig. S11). As illustrated by Fig. S12, the energy efficiency in the plasma-only case is 0.76 mol/kWh; it rises slightly to 0.95 mol/kWh with  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, but with NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, it rises dramatically to 1.4 mol/kWh. Thus, while the CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity only increase by 2.3 % and 7.5 %, respectively, in case of plasma catalysis compared to plasma alone, the energy efficiency rises by 84 %. Furthermore, the produced methanol with high concentration (1.3 mol/ L) in liquid can be condensed in the online cold-trap, without further methanol extraction using a solvent or steam, which can avoid a stepwise process on heterogeneous catalysis. This continuous operation condition under low temperature and atmosphere pressure exhibited the great potential for plasma-catalytic SOMTM by CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> NTP.

#### 3.2. Chemical kinetics modelling of CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> DBD plasma

As mentioned above, in plasma alone, we achieved 42 %  $CH_3OH$  selectivity (Fig. 1A), which is much better than most results in literature [28–36]. To explain this result, we performed chemical kinetics modelling of  $CH_4/O_2$  DBD plasma using ZDPlaskin [39]. Details about the modelling, the species (Table S2) and reactions (Tables S3–S5) in the model, are presented in SI.

The lines in Fig. 3 depict the calculated products selectivity as function of residence time, derived from the densities of the species in the plasma (Fig. S13). Initially, the calculated CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity is extremely high ( $\sim$  78 %), but it decreases gradually upon increasing residence time, until about 30 % for a residence time of 1.2 s. HCHO exhibits a similar evolution (but with maximum selectivity around 20 %), while CO, HCOOH and CO<sub>2</sub> exhibit the opposite trend. To verify the modelling, we performed experiments at varying residence time (symbols in Fig. 3). The experimental selectivities of CH<sub>3</sub>OH, HCHO, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> agree reasonably well with the modelling results (similar trends),



Fig. 3. Products selectivity in  $CH_4/O_2$  plasma, obtained by chemical kinetics modeling (lines) and experiments (symbols) as function of residence time, for the same conditions as in Fig. 1.

indicating that the model provides a realistic picture of the formation of these products in the  $CH_4/O_2$  plasma. For HCOOH, however, the agreement is not yet satisfying, suggesting that important production or loss processes for HCOOH might be missing in the model, or that their rate coefficients are not correct, but we can only rely on the input data (chemical reactions and corresponding rate coefficients) available in literature, and we don't want to tune the model to fit it to the experiments without scientific basis. However, it means that our model cannot yet be used to predict the reaction pathways for HCOOH, but we can use it for the other possible reaction pathways in the  $CH_4/O_2$  plasma. As shown in Scheme 1,  $CH_3OH$  is mainly produced from  $CH_3O$  species through the reactions  $CH_3O + H + M \rightarrow CH_3OH + M$  and  $CH_3O + HCO \rightarrow CH_3OH + CO$ .

#### 3.3. NiO/y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalysts characterization

In spite of the high CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity at short residence time, the CH<sub>4</sub> conversion is quite low (4.1 %), caused by the high space velocity. However, as shown in Fig. 1, the Ni catalyst (with 10 wt. % loading) enhances both the CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity. It is very interested that both CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity synchronously reached the highest value at 10 wt.% loading, since generally CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity decreases with the increase of CH<sub>4</sub> conversion. To reveal the unique role of the Ni-based catalysts, we characterized them by XRD, HAADF-STEM, H<sub>2</sub>-TPR, XPS, HRTEM, XRF and N<sub>2</sub> physisorption.

The XRD result (Fig. 4A) reveals no evident NiO peak for Ni loadings below 10 wt.%, indicating the high dispersion of the NiO particles on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. However, a group of NiO diffraction peaks gradually appears upon increasing metal loading, showing the formation of larger NiO particles. The NiO crystal size is estimated by the Debye – Scherrer equation, presented in Table S6. It is observed that NiO particles on NiO/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with Ni loading from 15 to 25 % are in the range of 10.3–22.1 nm. In addition, the adsorption-desorption isotherm and pore size distribution curve of the catalysts are shown in Fig. S14, and the corresponding surface values are presented in Table S6. Clearly, surface area of NiO $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts gradually declined with the increasing of Ni loading, and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support shows the highest surface area (216.5 m<sup>2</sup>/ g). By correlating the surface area (Table S6) with the reaction performance (Fig. 1), it can be concluded that surface area is not the key factor



**Scheme 1.** Reaction pathways for the formation of  $CH_3OH$  and other oxygenates in the  $CH_4/O_2$  plasma, predicted by chemical kinetics modelling (ZDPlaskin). Red color indicates reaction intermediates and blue color with rectangles means stable products. The size of the products is approximately proportional to their selectivity and the thickness of the arrow lines is proportional to the net rate of that reaction. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).



**Fig. 4.** Characterization results of the NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts with varying loadings. (A) XRD patterns; (B) H<sub>2</sub>-TPR profiles; (C) HAADF-STEM image of NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst with 2 wt.% loading; (D)HAADF-STEM image of NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst with 10 wt.% loading; (E) HAADF-STEM image of NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst with 25 wt. % loading.

in determining catalytic performance of  $\rm NiO/\gamma\text{-}Al_2O_3$  catalysts in DOMTM.

Fig. 4C–E shows HAADF-STEM images of 2 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 10 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 25 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts, respectively. Clearly, the NiO particle size in 2 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 10 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is very small (< 5 nm), but the size in 25 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is bigger (> 10 nm). Fig. S15 shows the HAADF-STEM mapping results of 6 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 15 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 20 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. It can be seen that NiO was uniformly dispersed in 6 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 20 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. In 15 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 20 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 20 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

(Fig. S16) show similar results. These morphology results indicate that NiO was highly dispersed on the surface of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with low loading (2, 6 and 10), and also demonstrate the larger NiO particles at higher Ni loadings. Furthermore, a lattice space of 0.21 nm and 0.24 nm, attributed to the (200) and (111) planes, was observed by HRTEM (Fig. S17), and similar results were also obtained from fast Fourier transformation (FFT) of NiO particles in the HAADF-STEM images (Fig. 4C–E), consistent with the XRD results (Fig. 4A).

The H<sub>2</sub>-TPR profiles of the NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts are shown in Fig. 4B (NiO was used as a reference), and quantitative TPR results are presented in Fig. S18 and Table S7. Five reducible peaks, at 280–350 °C,

390–510 °C, 510–690 °C, 690–790 °C and 790–840 °C, were detected, attributed to the reduction of five kinds of NiO species, i.e., bulk NiO (without interaction with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), α-type NiO (weak oxide-support interaction, WOSI),  $\beta_1$ -type NiO (strong oxide-support interaction (SOSI), with Ni abundant on surface),  $\beta_2$ -type NiO (SOSI, with Al abundant on surface) and  $\gamma$ -type NiO (nickel aluminum spinel; strongest

interaction with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), respectively [40–42]. Obviously,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  and  $\gamma$ -type NiO are present in all NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts. On the other hand,  $\alpha$ -type and bulk NiO only appear for Ni loadings above 10 wt.%. This corresponds to the XRD results, where obvious diffraction peaks of NiO (larger particles) were formed at high loading (15 %, 20 % and 25 %). This is also consistent with the morphology results, where bigger NiO



Fig. 5. XPS results of the NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts with varying loadings. (A) Ni 2p region; (B) O 1s region; (C) Proportion of oxygen species for varied loading of Ni on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; (D) Linear relationship between content of chemisorbed oxygen species (O<sub> $\beta$ </sub>) and reaction performance.

particles have been observed at high loading (15 %, 20 % and 25 %).

The XPS profiles of the Ni 2p and O 1s of the NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts with various Ni loadings are shown in Fig. 5A and B, respectively (Al 2p results are shown in Fig. S19). For Ni 2p, three peaks, corresponding to a binding energy at 854.0, 855.8 and 856.9 eV, have been detected. The low binding energy peak (854.0 eV) is assigned to free-NiO species (big NiO particles) [43,44]. The moderate binding energy peak (855.8 eV) is usually attributed to NiO species with WOSI [45]. The high binding energy peak (856.9 eV), however, generally results from NiO species with SOSI or Ni<sup>3+</sup> species [44,46]. On the other hand, the intensity of the satellite peak of Ni<sup>3+</sup> is extremely low (it can nearly be ignored), which means that there is few Ni<sup>3+</sup> species on the catalyst surface, and thus the peak of binding energy at 856.9 eV is mainly attributed to NiO species with SOSI.

Furthermore, at low loading (2, 6 and 10 wt.%), Ni mainly exists as NiO species with SOSI, since the peak of binding energy at 856.9 eV dominates the whole Ni 2p peak. On the other hand, at higher loading (15, 20 and 25 wt.%), Ni mainly exists as NiO species with WOSI and free-NiO, because the peak at 854.0 eV appears and the contribution of the peak at 855.8 eV increases. The surface information obtained by XPS analysis is consistent with the above XRD, TEM and H<sub>2</sub>-TPR results.

The O 1s spectra of NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts presented in Fig. 5B can be fitted into three peaks, corresponding to the lattice oxygen of metal oxide (O<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>), chemisorbed oxygen (O<sub> $\beta$ </sub>), and adsorbed water or OH species (O<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>), with binding energy at 530.9 eV, 532.1 eV and 532.9 eV, respectively [47,48].

As shown in Fig. 5C, upon increasing Ni loading from 2 to 10 wt.%, the proportion of  $O_{\beta}$  species on the catalyst surface rises, and reaches the

highest value (45.6 %) at 10 wt.% loading, and then it decreases. Interestingly, the variation trend of Ni 2p peak of SOSI NiO (Fig. 5A) is synchronous with O 1s of  $O_{\beta}$  species, which means that the chemisorbed oxygen, i.e.,  $O_{\beta}$  species, mainly comes from the SOSI NiO. Lattice oxygen, i.e.,  $O_{\alpha}$  species, are undoubtedly from crystals, i.e.,  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support, free-NiO particles, and big NiO particles with WOSI. Upon increasing Ni loading, the proportion of  $O_{\alpha}$  species, however, firstly decreases and then increases, and the lowest proportion was found at 10 wt.% loading, which means that the defects on the surface of 10 wt.% NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts is much more than those of the other catalysts. The defects have been created by SOSI, and usually, the created defects on metal oxide are not stable. In an oxidizing atmosphere, they tend to combine with oxygen to form chemisorbed oxygen, i.e.,  $O_{\beta}$  species. That is, NiO with SOSI leads to surface chemisorbed oxygen species.

Fig. 5D presents the reaction performance (CH<sub>4</sub> conversion, CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> selectivity) as a function of O<sub>β</sub> content on the catalyst surface. Interestingly, with increasing O<sub>β</sub> species content, both CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity rise linearly, while both CO and CO<sub>2</sub> selectivity decrease linearly. Therefore, it can be reasonably inferred that chemisorbed oxygen, i.e., O<sub>β</sub> species, are the real active sites for CH<sub>4</sub> to CH<sub>3</sub>OH conversion in this study. In contrast, lattice oxygen species, i.e., O<sub>α</sub>, may be the sites leading to deep oxidation to produce CO and CO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. S20).

TG-MS results (Fig. S21) shows very limited carbon deposition. In addition, the fresh and spent NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (10 wt.%) catalysts were compared by XRD (Fig. S22), H<sub>2</sub>-TPR (Fig. S23) and XPS (Fig. S24), and no evident changes were observed. These results demonstrate the excellent catalytic stability of NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst in CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> NTP for



Fig. 6. OES intensities of (A) CH (431.4 nm), (B) H (656.3 nm), (C) O (777.4 nm) and (D) O (844.7 nm), in the case of plasma alone, plasma +  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> beads, and plasma +(10 wt%) NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, for the same conditions as in Fig. 1.

 $CH_3OH$  production. Some other information of the NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts, i.e., the real loading, surface area and porosity, is shown in Table S6.

# 3.4. NTP diagnostics and reaction mechanism

OES diagnostics were employed to reveal some of the important plasma species playing a role in  $CH_4/O_2$  NTP for  $CH_3OH$  synthesis. As shown in Figs. 6 and S25, CH (431.4 nm), H (656.3 nm) and O (777.4 nm and 844.7 nm) were directly identified, demonstrating the existence of CH, H and O species in the plasma. However, also other reactive species are present in the plasma, which cannot be observed by OES.

Morgan and Erwin stated that CH<sub>4</sub> can be decomposed into CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub> and CH neutral fragments [49,50]. Based on a 1D fluid model, De Bie et al. predicted a probability of producing CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub> and CH radicals in CH<sub>4</sub> DBD plasma of 79 %, 15 % and 5 %, respectively [51]. A similar trend was predicted in a CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> DBD plasma, again by a 1D fluid model [52]. Therefore, we can assume that  $CH_3$  is more abundant than  $CH_2$  and CH in the CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> NTP. The reason why CH<sub>3</sub> was not detected by OES is because its emission lines appear in the infrared region, which is out of the wavelength range of our OES measurements. For the oxidative species, the lines at 777.4 nm and 844.7 nm were detected by OES, attributed to deexcitation of O (3p<sup>5</sup>P) and O (3p<sup>3</sup>P) atoms, respectively [53]. However, the pathways for activation of  $O_2$  through inelastic collisions with energetic electrons, as listed in Fig. S26, indicate that the generation of O (<sup>1</sup>D) is easier than the generation of O (3p<sup>5</sup>P) and O  $(3p^{3}P)$  [54,55]. The reason why we did not detect O (<sup>1</sup>D) by OES is that it is a metastable species with long lifetime, which dissipates its internal energy by chemical reactions, instead of deexcitation. Therefore, there will be abundant CH<sub>3</sub> radicals and O (<sup>1</sup>D) atoms in the CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> NTP, which confirms the reaction pathway in Scheme 1, triggered by O (<sup>1</sup>D) and CH<sub>3</sub>. The above OES results show that, in CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> plasma, there are abundant CH<sub>3</sub>, O (<sup>1</sup>D) and H radical species.

Tang et al. predicted that CH<sub>3</sub>OH synthesis usually proceeds through the Langmuir-Hinshelwood (L-H) mechanism in thermal catalysis [56]. In plasma catalysis, however, CH<sub>3</sub>OH might be formed by both Eley-Rideal (E-R) and L-H mechanisms [32,57,58]. On the NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (10 wt.%) catalyst surface, chemisorbed oxygen is abundant, which has been demonstrated by our XPS results. On the other hand, in the gas-phase, CH3 and O radicals are also abundant, as proven by our OES results. Therefore, it can be reasonably assumed that CH<sub>3</sub>O species can be formed, not only through radical reactions in gas-phase (proven by our modelling results in Scheme 1), i.e., CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>(g)</sub>, but also through reaction between CH<sub>3</sub> in gas phase and chemisorbed oxygen on the catalyst surface, i.e., CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>(ad)</sub>. That is, due to the reactivity of the CH<sub>3</sub> radicals caused by their internal energy, the formation of CH<sub>3</sub>O species through E-R reaction between CH<sub>3</sub> radicals and chemisorbed oxygen will be very fast. Subsequently, the formed CH<sub>3</sub>O species may result in the generation of CH<sub>3</sub>OH through recombination with a H atom generated by CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> plasma (E-R reaction) [32]. Therefore, the reason why the NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (10 wt.%) catalyst shows the best CH<sub>4</sub> conversion may be that it contains the highest content of chemisorbed oxygen. In the case of plasma-catalytic CH4 to CH3OH conversion, the formation and desorption of one CH<sub>3</sub>OH molecule will consume one  $O_\beta$  species (as  $O_\beta$  is the real active site). In conventional heterogeneous catalysis, this may lead to a continuous decrease of the  $O_{\beta}$  content at the catalyst surface, and thus the reaction performance would decline since the catalytic cycle cannot be completed. However, in the case of plasma catalysis, O2 is activated by the plasma into O atoms (either in ground or excited states, e.g., <sup>1</sup>D), which are very reactive, and easily interact with the catalyst surface. So, we believe that these O atoms are capable of interacting with the catalyst surface to rapidly form  $O_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  species, which compensates for the consumption of  $O_{\beta}$  species producing CH<sub>3</sub>OH. In other words, the plasma-generated reactive oxygen species enable the fast catalytic cycle for CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation to CH<sub>3</sub>OH.

The produced CH<sub>3</sub>OH molecule usually strongly adsorbs on the

catalyst surface, making desorption difficult and resulting in deep oxidation, which is the key factor inhibiting the CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity, and it is the issue many researchers are concerning. As reported by Lustemberg, water molecules can be activated by Ni/CeO2 catalyst with strong metal-support interactions, and then the activated H<sub>2</sub>O molecule can promote CH<sub>3</sub>OH desorption [37]. In addition, Water molecular can act as a site blocker, which can preferentially occupy the active Ce sites at the CeO<sub>2</sub>-Cu<sub>2</sub>O catalyst interface and hinder methane overoxidation to CO and CO<sub>2</sub>, meanwhile, it can also act as an active center where the active \*OH was produced at interfacial Ce sites to promote methanol synthesis [15]. In the stepwise process using copper-exchanged zeolites, H<sub>2</sub>O molecule also plays an essential role in promoting CH<sub>3</sub>OH formation and desorption [59,60]. Chemical kinetics modeling result (Fig. S13) shows that  $H_2O$  molecules are abundant in the  $CH_4/O_2$  NTP. The measured products selectivity based on hydrogen (Fig. S9) shows that the selectivity of H<sub>2</sub>O reached 29.1 %, demonstrating that H<sub>2</sub>O molecules are abundant in CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> NTP. As demonstrated by our XPS results (Fig. 5), caused by SOSI, the defects are also abundant at the interface between NiO particles and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support, especially for the  $NiO/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst with 10 wt.% loading. Therefore, we believe that the H<sub>2</sub>O molecule produced by CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> plasma can also be activated by  $NiO/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst with SOSI, and the activated H<sub>2</sub>O molecule may promote desorption of CH<sub>3</sub>OH, which may be the reason why the NiO/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (10 wt.%) catalyst shows the best CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity. The role of plasma and Ni-based catalyst in SOMTM has been summarized in Scheme 2.

#### 4. Conclusion

We demonstrated the selective oxidation of methane to methanol (SOMTM) in CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> plasma, promoted by Ni-based catalysts, with excellent catalytic stability. 76 % liquid oxygenates selectivity with 42 % CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity are achieved in plasma alone, and the selectivities are further enhanced to 81 % and 50 %, respectively, when adding NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst with 10 wt.% loading. The energy efficiency by plasma catalysis is improved with 84 % comparing to plasma alone (from 0.76 to 1.4 mol/kWh).

In addition, chemical kinetics modelling shows that within the plasma, CH<sub>3</sub>OH is mainly produced through radical reactions, i.e., CH<sub>4</sub> + O(<sup>1</sup>D)  $\rightarrow$  CH<sub>3</sub>O + H, followed by CH<sub>3</sub>O + H + M  $\rightarrow$  CH<sub>3</sub>OH + M and CH<sub>3</sub>O + HCO  $\rightarrow$  CH<sub>3</sub>OH + CO. The catalyst characterization shows that the further improvement in CH<sub>3</sub>OH production by plasma catalysis is attributed to the highly dispersed NiO phase with SOSI. This causes an improvement of chemisorbed oxygen species, which catch CH<sub>3</sub> radicals from the plasma to form CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>ad</sub> species. The latter can form CH<sub>3</sub>OH through the ER reaction with H atoms from the plasma. Furthermore, H<sub>2</sub>O molecules produced by CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> plasma may also be activated by NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst with SOSI, and the activated H<sub>2</sub>O molecules may promote desorption of CH<sub>3</sub>OH. The highest content of chemisorbed oxygen species can explain why the NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst with 10 wt.% loading shows both the best CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and the best CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity.

Further work will be focused on enhancing the plasma-catalyst synergy through modifying the NiO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst by electronic promoters (multi-component catalysts), which should allow to enhance the adsorption capacity towards reaction intermediates (CH<sub>3</sub>O, etc.) and the desorption of favorable target products, aiming to further improve the CH<sub>4</sub> conversion and CH<sub>3</sub>OH selectivity.

## Author contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript. Notes The authors declare no competing financial interest.



Scheme 2. Suggested reaction pathways of CH<sub>3</sub>OH formation in CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> plasma promoted by NiO/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst with SOSI (see text).

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

Yanhui Yi: Conceptualization, Validation, Formal analysis, Resources, Data curation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition. Shangkun Li: Conceptualization, Validation, Formal analysis, Resources, Data curation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Zhaolun Cui: Validation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing - original draft. Yingzi Hao: Validation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing - original draft. Yang Zhang: Resources, Data curation. Li Wang: Validation, Formal analysis, Resources, Data curation. Xin Tu: Resources, Data curation. Xin Hongchen Guo: Resources, Data curation. Annemie Bogaerts: Formal analysis, Resources, Data curation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgements

We acknowledge financial support from the PetroChina Innovation Foundation [grant ID: 2018D-5007-0501], the Young Star Project of Dalian Science and Technology Bureau [grant ID: 2019RQ042], the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant ID: 21503032] and the TOP research project of the Research Fund of the University of Antwerp [grant ID: 32249].

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.120384.

#### References

- A. Caballero, P. Perez, Methane as raw material in synthetic chemistry the final frontier, Chem. Soc. Rev. 42 (2013) 8809–8820.
- [2] J. Xie, R. Jin, A. Li, Y. Bi, Q. Ruan, Y. Deng, Y. Zhang, S. Yao, G. Sankar, D. Ma, J. Tang, Highly selective oxidation of methane to methanol at ambient conditions by titanium dioxide-supported iron species, Nat. Catal. 1 (2018) 889–896.
- [3] X. Meng, X. Cui, N.P. Rajan, L. Yu, D. Deng, X. Bao, Direct methane conversion under mild condition by thermo-, electro-, or photocatalysis, Chemistry 5 (2019) 1–30.

- [4] R.A. Periana, D.J. Taube, E.R. Evitt, D.G. Loffler, P.R. Wentrcek, G. Voss, T. Masuda, A. Mercury-Catalyzed, High-yield system for the oxidation of methane to methanol, Science 259 (1993) 340–343.
- [5] R.A. Periana, D.J. Taube, S. Gamble, H. Taube, T. Satoh, H. Fujii, Platinum catalysts for the high-yield oxidation of methane to a methanol derivative, Science 280 (1998) 560–564.
- [6] M. Muehlhofer, T. Strassner, W.A. Herrmann, New catalyst systems for the catalytic conversion of methane into methanol, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41 (2002) 1745–1747.
- [7] M.V. Parfenov, E.V. Starokon, L.V. Pirutko, G.I. Panov, Quasicatalytic and catalytic oxidation of methane to methanol by nitrous oxide over FeZSM-5 zeolite, J. Catal. 318 (2014) 14–21.
- [8] C. Hammond, M.M. Forde, M.H. Ab Rahim, A. Thetford, Q. He, R.L. Jenkins, N. Dimitratos, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, N.F. Dummer, D.M. Murphy, A.F. Carley, S. H. Taylor, D.J. Willock, E.E. Stangland, J. Kang, H. Hagen, C.J. Kiely, G. J. Hutchings, Direct catalytic conversion of methane to methanol in an aqueous medium by using copper-promoted Fe-ZSM-5, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 51 (2012) 5129–5133.
- [9] M.H. Groothaert, P.J. Smeets, B.F. Sels, P.A. Jacobs, R.A. Schoonheydt, Selective oxidation of methane by the bis(μ-oxo)dicopper core stabilized on ZSM-5 and mordenite zeolites, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127 (2005) 1394–1395.
- [10] S. Grundner, M.A. Markovits, G. Li, M. Tromp, E.A. Pidko, E.J. Hensen, A. Jentys, M. Sanchez-Sanchez, J.A. Lercher, Single-site trinuclear copper oxygen clusters in mordenite for selective conversion of methane to methanol, Nat. Comm. 6 (2015) 7546.
- [11] D.K. Pappas, E. Borfecchia, M. Dyballa, I.A. Pankin, K.A. Lomachenko, A. Martini, M. Signorile, S. Teketel, B. Arstad, G. Berlier, C. Lamberti, S. Bordiga, U. Olsbye, K. P. Lillerud, S. Svelle, P. Beato, Methane to methanol: structure-activity relationships for Cu-CHA, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139 (2017) 14961–14975.
- [12] N. Agarwal, S. Freakley, R.U. McVicker, S.M. Althahban, N. Dimitratos, Q. He, D. J. Morgan, R.L. Jenkins, D.J. Willock, S.H. Taylor, C.J. Kiely, G.J. Hutchings1, Aqueous Au-Pd colloids catalyze selective CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation to CH<sub>3</sub>OH with O<sub>2</sub> under mild conditions, Science 358 (2017) 223–227.
- [13] J. Shan, M. Li, L.F. Allard, S. Lee, M. Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, Mild oxidation of methane to methanol or acetic acid on supported isolated rhodium catalysts, Nature 551 (2017) 605–608.
- [14] Z. Zuo, P.J. Ramirez, S.D. Senanayake, P. Liu, J.A. Rodriguez, Low-temperature conversion of methane to methanol on CeO<sub>x</sub>/Cu<sub>2</sub>O catalysts: water controlled activation of the C-H bond, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138 (2016) 13810–13813.
- [15] Z. Liu, E. Huang, I. Orozco, W. Liao, R.M. Palomino, N. Rui, T. Duchon, S. Nemsak, D.C. Grinter, M. Mahapatra, P. Liu, J.A. Rodriguez, S.D. Senanayake, Waterpromoted interfacial pathways in methane oxidation to methanol on a CeO<sub>2</sub>-Cu<sub>2</sub>O catalyst, Science 368 (2020) 513–517.
- [16] Z. Jin, L. Wang, E. Zuidema, K. Mondal, M. Zhang, J. Zhang, C. Wang, X. Meng, H. Yang, C. Mesters, F. Xiao, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Hydrophobic zeolite modification for in situ peroxide formation in methane oxidation to methanol, Science 367 (2020) 193–197.
- [17] M. Ravi, M. Ranocchiari, J.A. van Bokhoven, The direct catalytic oxidation of methane to methanol-a critical assessment, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 56 (2017) 16464–16483.
- [18] X. Cui, H. Li, Y. Wang, Y. Hu, L. Hua, H. Li, X. Han, Q. Liu, F. Yang, L. He, X. Chen, Q. Li, J. Xiao, D. Deng, X. Bao, Room-temperature methane conversion by graphene-confined single iron atoms, Chemistry 4 (2018) 1902–1910.
- [19] P. Tomkins, M. Ranocchiari, J.A. van Bokhoven, Direct conversion of methane to methanol under mild conditions over Cu-Zeolites and beyond, Acc. Chem. Res. 50 (2017) 418–425.

- Y. Yi et al.
- [20] M.H. Mahyuddin, Y. Shiota, K. Yoshizawa, Methane selective oxidation to methanol by metal-exchanged zeolites: a review of active sites and their reactivity, Catal. Sci. Technol. 9 (2019) 1744-1768.
- [21] J.P. Lange, V.L. Sushkevich, A.J. Knorpp, J.A. van Bokhoven, Methane-to-methanol via chemical looping economic potential and guidance for future research, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 58 (2019) 8674-8680.
- [22] L. Wang, Y. Yi, H. Guo, X. Tu, Atmospheric pressure and room temperature synthesis of methanol through plasma-catalytic hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub>, ACS Catal. 8 2017) 90-100.
- [23] J. Sentek, K. Krawczyk, M. Młotek, M. Kalczewska, T. Kroker, T. Kolb, A. Schenk, K.-H. Gericke, K. Schmidt-Szałowski, Plasma-catalytic methane conversion with carbon dioxide in dielectric barrier discharges, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 94 (2010) 19-26
- [24] D. Li, V. Rohani, F. Fabry, A. Parakkulam Ramaswamy, M. Sennour, L. Fulcheri, Direct conversion of CO2 and CH4 into liquid chemicals by plasma-catalysis, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 261 (2020), 118228.
- [25] L. Wang, Y. Yi, C. Wu, H. Guo, X. Tu, One-Step Reforming of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> into high-value liquid chemicals and fuels at room temperature by plasma-driven Catalysis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 56 (2017) 13679–13683.
- [26] C.E. Stere, J.A. Anderson, S. Chansai, J.J. Delgado, A. Goguet, W.G. Graham, C. Hardacre, S.F. Rebecca Taylor, X. Tu, Z. Wang, H. Yang, Non-thermal plasma activation of gold-based catalysts for low-temperature water-gas shift catalysis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 56 (2017) 5579–5583.
- [27] R. Snoeckx, A. Bogaerts, Plasma technology a novel solution for CO2 conversion? Chem. Soc. Rev. 46 (2017) 5805-5863.
- [28] T. Nozaki, A. Ağıral, S. Yuzawa, J.G.E. Han Gardeniers, K. Okazaki, A single step methane conversion into synthetic fuels using microplasma reactor, Chem. Eng. J. 166 (2011) 288-293.
- [29] A. Indarto, H. Lee, J.W. Choi, H.K. Song, Partial oxidation of methane with yttriastabilized zirconia catalyst in a dielectric barrier discharge, Energy Sources Part A: Recovery Util. Environ. Eff. 30 (2008) 1628-1636.
- [30] P. Chawdhury, D. Ray, C. Subrahmanyam, Single step conversion of methane to methanol assisted by nonthermal plasma, Fuel Process. Technol. 179 (2018) 32-41
- [31] P. Chawdhury, D. Ray, T. Vinodkumar, C. Subrahmanyam, Catalytic DBD plasma approach for methane partial oxidation to methanol under ambient conditions. Catal. Today 337 (2019) 117-125.
- [32] P. Chawdhury, Y. Wang, D. Ray, S. Mathieu, N. Wang, J. Harding, F. Bin, X. Tu, C. Subrahmanyam, A promising plasma-catalytic approach towards single-step methane conversion to oxygenates at room temperature, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 284 (2021), 119735.
- [33] B. Loenders, Y. Engelmann, A. Bogaerts, Plasma-catalytic partial oxidation of methane on Pt(111): a microkinetic study of the role of different plasma species. J. Phys. Chem. C 125 (2021) 2966-2983.
- [34] H. Puliyalil, D. Lašič Jurković, V.D.B.C. Dasireddy, B. Likozar, A review of plasmaassisted catalytic conversion of gaseous carbon dioxide and methane into valueadded platform chemicals and fuels, RSC Adv. 8 (2018) 27481-27508.
- [35] D.W. Larkin, L.L. Lobban, R.G. Mallinson, The direct partial oxidation of methane to organic oxygenates using a dielectric barrier discharge reactor as a catalytic reactor analog, Catal. Today 71 (2001) 199–210.
- [36] L.M. Zhou, B. Xue, U. Kogelschatz, B. Eliasson, Partial oxidation of methane to methanol with oxygen or air in a nonequilibrium discharge plasma, Plasma Chem. Plasma Process. 18 (1998) 375-393.
- [37] P.G. Lustemberg, R.M. Palomino, R.A. Gutiérrez, D.C. Grinter, M. Vorokhta, Z. Liu, P.J. Ramírez, V. Matolín, M.V. Ganduglia-Pirovano, S.D. Senanavake, J. A. Rodriguez, Direct conversion of methane to methanol on Ni-Ceria surfaces: metal-support interactions and water-enabled catalytic conversion by site blocking, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140 (2018) 7681-7687.
- [38] A.J. Knorpp, A.B. Pinar, M.A. Newton, V.L. Sushkevich, J.A. van Bokhoven, Copper-exchanged omega (MAZ) zeolite: copper-concentration dependent active sites and its unprecedented methane to methanol conversion, ChemCatChem 10 (2018) 5593-5596.

- Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 296 (2021) 120384
- [39] S. Pancheshnyi, B. Eismann, G.J.M. Hagelaar, L.C. Pitchford, Computer Code ZDPlasKin, University of Toulouse, LAPLACE, CNRS-UPS-INP, Toulouse, France, 2008. http://www.zdplaskin.laplace.univ-tlse.fr.
- [40] X. Zhu, P. Huo, Y.-p. Zhang, D. Cheng, C. Liu, Structure and reactivity of plasma treated Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst for CO<sub>2</sub> reforming of methane, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 81 (2008) 132–140.
- D. Hu, J. Gao, Y. Ping, L. Jia, P. Gunawan, Z. Zhong, G. Xu, F. Gu, F. Su, Enhanced [41] investigation of CO methanation over Ni/Al2O3 catalysts for synthetic natural gas production, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 51 (2012) 4875-4886.
- A. Alihosseinzadeh, B. Nematollahi, M. Rezaei, E.N. Lay, CO methanation over Ni [42] catalysts supported on high surface area mesoporous nanocrystalline  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for CO removal in H<sub>2</sub>-rich stream, Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 40 (2015) 1809–1819. [43] https://xpssimplified.com/elements/nickel.php.
- [44] S. Kirumakki, B. Shpeizer, G. Sagar, K. Chary, A. Clearfield, Hydrogenation of naphthalene over NiO/SiO2-Al2O3 catalysts: structure-activity correlation, J. Catal. 242 (2006) 319–331.
- [45] C.P. Li, A. Proctor, D.M. Hercules, Curve fitting analysis of ESCA Ni 2p spectra of nickel-oxygen compounds and Ni/AI2O3 catalysts, Appl. Spectrosc. Rev. 38 (1984) 880-886.
- [46] P. Salagre, J.L.G. Fierro, F. Medina, J.E. Sueiras, Characterization of nickel species on several y-alumina supported nickel samples, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 106 (1996) 125-134.
- [47] J. Jongsomjit, B. Jongsomjit, Catalytic ethanol dehydration to ethylene over nanocrystalline  $\chi$ - and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts, J. Oleo Sci. 66 (2017) 1029–1039.
- [48] Y. Jian, T. Yu, Z. Jiang, Y. Yu, M. Douthwaite, J. Liu, R. Albilali, C. He, In-depth understanding of the morphology effect of α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on catalytic ethane destruction, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 11 (2019) 11369-11383.
- [49] D.A. Erwin, J.A. Kunc, Electron-impact dissociation of the methane molecule into neutral fragments, Phys. Rev. A 72 (2005), 052719.
- [50] D.A. Erwin, J.A. Kunc, Dissociation and ionization of the methane molecule by nonrelativistic electrons including the near threshold region, J. Appl. Phys. 103 (2008), 064906.
- [51] C. De Bie, B. Verheyde, T. Martens, J. van Dijk, S. Paulussen, A. Bogaerts, Fluid modeling of the conversion of methane into higher hydrocarbons in an atmospheric pressure dielectric barrier discharge, Plasma Process. Polym. 8 (2011) 1033-1058.
- [52] C. De Bie, J. van Diik, A. Bogaerts, The dominant pathways for the conversion of methane into oxygenates and syngas in an atmospheric pressure dielectric barrier discharge, J. Phys. Chem. C 119 (2015) 22331-22350.
- [53] R.E. Walkup, K.L. Saenger, G.S. Selwyn, Studies of atomic oxygen in O<sub>2</sub> + CF<sub>4</sub> rf discharges by two-photon laser-induced fluorescence and optical emission spectroscopy, J. Chem. Phys. 84 (1986) 2668-2674.
- [54] B. Eliasson, U. Kogelschatz, Electron impact dissociation in oxygen, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 19 (1986) 1241-1247.
- [55] P.C. Cosby, Electron-impact dissociation of oxygen, J. Chem. Phys. 98 (1993) 9560-9569.
- [56] Q. Tang, Z. Shen, L. Huang, T. He, H. Adidharma, A.G. Russell, M. Fan, Synthesis of methanol from CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation promoted by dissociative adsorption of hydrogen on a Ga<sub>3</sub>Ni<sub>5</sub>(221) surface, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 19 (2017) 18539-18555
- [57] M. Shirazi, E.C. Neyts, A. Bogaerts, DFT study of Ni-catalyzed plasma dry reforming of methane, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 205 (2017) 605-614.
- [58] S. Li, R. Ahmed, Y. Yi, A. Bogaerts, Methane to methanol through heterogeneous catalysis and plasma catalysis, Catalysts 11 (2021) 590.
- [59] V.L. Sushkevich, D. Palagin, M. Ranocchiari, J.A. van Bokhoven, Selective anaerobic oxidation of methane enables direct synthesis of methanol, Science 356 (2017) 523-527.
- [60] K. Narsimhan, K. Ivoki, K. Dinh, Y. Roman-Leshkov, Catalytic oxidation of methane into methanol over copper-exchanged zeolites with oxygen at low temperature, ACS Cent. Sci. 2 (2016) 424-429.