Al₂O₃-Supported Transition Metals for Plasma-Catalytic NH₃ Synthesis in a DBD Plasma: Metal Activity and Insights into Mechanisms
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Abstract: N₂ fixation into NH₃ is one of the main processes in the chemical industry. Plasma catalysis is among the environmentally friendly alternatives to the industrial energy-intensive Haber-Bosch process. However, many questions remain open, such as the applicability of the conventional catalytic knowledge to plasma. In this work, we studied the performance of Al₂O₃-supported Fe, Ru, Co and Cu catalysts in plasma-catalytic NH₃ synthesis in a DBD reactor. We investigated the effects of different active metals, and different ratios of the feed gas components, on the concentration and production rate of NH₃, and the energy consumption of the plasma system. The results show that the trend of the metal activity (common for thermal catalysis) does not appear in the case of plasma catalysis: here, all metals exhibited similar performance. These findings are in good agreement with our recently published microkinetic model. This highlights the virtual independence of NH₃ production on the metal catalyst material, thus validating the model and indicating the potential contribution of radical adsorption and Eley-Rideal reactions to the plasma-catalytic mechanism of NH₃ synthesis.
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1. Introduction

The continuous growth of the world’s population sets a demand for the increased production of food. Nitrogen is one of the key elements in fertilisers required for the plant growth to meet this demand. The paramount step in this process is making the chemically inert atmospheric N₂ into accessible nitrogen. Nitrogen fixation is, therefore, one of the most important tasks of chemical industry.

Since the beginning of the 20th century, when the Haber-Bosch (HB) process was introduced [1], fertilisers based on ammonia (NH₃) have been produced synthetically in quantities which exceed the naturally occurring N₂ fixation by orders of magnitude [2]. Today, approximately half of the world’s population depends on the production of NH₃ via the HB process [3]. Besides the agricultural sector, NH₃ is also used as a base chemical in the production of pharmaceuticals and plastics, in the textile, metallurgical, and automotive industry [1,4,5], as well as a carbon-free fuel [6].
The HB process, while dominating the chemical industry, is currently still unsustainable. It operates at high temperatures and pressures, consumes 1.8% of the global energy supply, and produces large amounts of CO₂ which constitute 1.5% of the global CO₂ emissions [7,8]. Naturally, more sustainable and benign alternatives to the thermocatalytic HB process are under avid investigation. HB electrification, for example, could potentially offer reduced CO₂ emissions and lower overall energy consumption, but does not substantially decrease the need for centralised production, required for HB to be energy efficient [8].

Other approaches which avoid HB altogether have been gaining increased attention over the past decades [9]. Novel bio-technological solutions, together with thermal, photo-, and electro-catalytic processes, have been proposed as greener substitutes for HB [10–12]. Among other techniques, plasma-based N₂ fixation is of high interest due to the flexibility of its use and synergy with sustainable energy sources, i.e., renewable electricity [13,14].

In short, plasma is a partially ionised gas and can be obtained by applying an electric field to gas causing a breakdown, which produces a wide range of reactive species: electrons, ions, radicals, excited species, and photons [13,15]. Various plasma-based processes have been under investigation for NH₃ production in recent years. N₂ fixation was achieved by plasma, plasma-catalytic, and plasma-electrocatalytic processes. Moreover, different pathways leading from N₂ to NH₃ were investigated. Among these are reduction of the plasma-produced NOₓ to NH₃ [16,17], and direct reactions of N₂ with H₂ [18,19] or H₂O [20–22], as also summarised in detail in recent reviews [23,24]. We show the possible pathways of the plasma-driven N₂ fixation in Figure 1. Here, plasma-catalytic synthesis of NH₃ from N₂ and H₂ is the most direct alternative to the energy-intensive HB process. Plasma catalysis (PC) for NH₃ production is of particular interest because of the synergy between the two techniques [25,26]: plasma-enabled excitation and dissociation of N₂ molecules allows NH₃ yields beyond values attainable by thermal catalysis [27].

Dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) plasma reactors are the most common choice for PC NH₃ synthesis [28]. DBD plasma is a type of non-thermal plasma, in which the electrons are at high temperature (10⁴–10⁵ K), while the gas remains at 300–1000 K [13]. DBD produces highly energetic, spatially and temporally non-uniform microdischarges (filaments) [28]. Therefore, the high energy density of the filaments allows excitation of molecules by plasma but limits the overall gas heating, thus reducing the potential thermal NH₃ decomposition [18].

Some of the first reports of PC NH₃ production from N₂ and H₂ in DBD describe reactors with Al₂O₃-coated inner walls of the reactor [29], as well as Ru deposited on the Al₂O₃ inner walls [30]. A decade later, the interest to PC synthesis of NH₃ was re-kindled, when Gomez-Ramirez et al. reported NH₃ production using lead zirconium titanate (PZT) and BaTiO₃ as catalysts in a packed bed [31]. Since then, many catalysts comprised of
various active metals on different supports have been employed, including Au, Pt, Ag, Cu [32,33], Ru-Cs supported on carbon nanotubes [34], RuO$_2$-CsO/MgO [35], Ni/SiO$_2$ [36], etc. Moreover, numerous catalysts supported on Al$_2$O$_3$ beads or pellets were used, e.g., Ni, Co, Ru, Pt, Rh [19,27,37–39]. A summary of the state-of-the-art on plasma-catalytic NH$_3$ synthesis, based on literature reports since 2004 up to 2021, in terms on energy consumption, N$_2$ conversion, NH$_3$ yield, and production rate, along with the corresponding catalysts used and the relevant operating conditions, is shown in a table in the Discussion section below. In general, Al$_2$O$_3$ and other beads are often used as supports for catalysts used in PC. These macrostructures are packed in a catalyst bed with gaps between the beads, thus allowing for the gas in the flow plasma reactor (such as DBD) to pass through without creating overpressure, as, e.g., a powder-packed bed would [28,40].

In the last three years, the growing interest in PC NH$_3$ has led to numerous studies which attempted to discriminate between the plasma and thermal catalytic effects in PC, identify the mechanisms of PC NH$_3$ formation, and formulate the differences between thermal and plasma catalysis [13,18,37]. However, despite the substantial progress in the field of PC, many unknowns still linger. For example, for the NH$_3$ synthesis, by thermal catalysis, the “volcano” plot refers to the relative activity of different metals, with some metals being most active for the NH$_3$ production, thus occupying the peak of the “volcano” [41]. Recent advances in microkinetic computational modelling suggest that, in plasma catalysis, the relative NH$_3$ synthesis rates on different metal catalysts (i.e., the catalytic “volcano” plot as described above) are different from those in thermal catalysis for the same catalysts, due to the interaction of vibrationally excited N$_2$ with the catalyst material [42]. Our previous computational study expanded on this topic, with the results indicating that the presence of radicals in the plasma could activate catalyst materials via radical adsorptions and Eley-Rideal (ER) reactions, on top of Langmuir-Hinshelwood (LH) reactions in thermal catalysis [43]. In practice, radical reactions provided a possible explanation for the high reactivity of both noble and non-noble metals, which was not predicted by thermal trends nor trends induced by vibrationally excited N$_2$ molecules [43].

In the present work, we studied the performance of four different metal catalysts (Ru, Fe, Co, and Cu) supported on γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ beads for the production of NH$_3$ from N$_2$ and H$_2$ in a DBD plasma reactor. These catalysts represent a range of catalyst binding strengths: optimal for thermal HB (Ru), more strongly binding and mostly used in industrial HB (Fe) [5], more weakly binding and predicted to be optimal for the levels of vibrational excitation occurring in DBDs (Co) [42,43], and a noble catalyst predicted to be active only in the presence of radical reactions (Cu) [43]. We evaluated the amount of produced NH$_3$ and the energy consumption (EC) of the process. We also analysed the catalyst surface using scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy in a scanning electron microscope (SEM-EDX), high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM), and N$_2$ physisorption. We evaluated the turnover frequency (TOF) values of the catalysts. Based on the obtained results, we evaluated the possibility of different types of reaction mechanisms in the PC NH$_3$ synthesis.

2. Results

2.1. Catalyst Characterisation

Four 10 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$-supported catalysts were prepared by incipient wetness impregnation of γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ beads. Water soluble salts of the respective metals (Fe, Ru, Co, Cu) were used as precursors. The detailed procedure of catalyst preparation is described in the Materials and Methods section below. The X-ray powder diffractograms (XRPD) of some of the catalysts are shown in Figure S1 in the Supplementary Materials.

In preliminary experiments, we prepared 1 wt% catalysts. They did not show any activity in our plasma-catalytic NH$_3$ synthesis beyond that of the pristine Al$_2$O$_3$ beads. Because the purpose of this work was to study the relative effects of catalyst activity rather than optimising the reaction parameters, we used the beads with 10 wt% of the respective
metals in order to enable the comparison of metal activity. The 10 wt% metal loading clearly had a strong catalytic effect as demonstrated below (see Section 2.2).

The catalysts were also characterised by N\textsubscript{2} physisorption, as shown in Table 1 below. The experimental details of this and other analytical techniques used in this work can be found in Materials and Methods. The catalysts were reduced prior to BET and electron microscopy analyses [44].

Table 1. Active surface area and pore volume of the different 10 wt\% Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-supported metal catalysts, as well as the pristine Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>S\textsubscript{BET} (m\textsuperscript{2}/g)</th>
<th>V (cm\textsuperscript{3}/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt% Fe/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt% Ru/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt% Co/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt% Cu/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The active surface area S\textsubscript{BET} of the material decreased compared to the pristine Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, as anticipated: part of the surface pores was covered with metal particles. The extent of this decrease was similar for all catalysts, although Ru/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} exhibited the lowest (144 m\textsuperscript{2}/g) surface area. Likewise, the pore volume V was found to be similar for all catalysts, with Ru/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} once again having the lowest pore volume (0.29 cm\textsuperscript{3}/g). Nonetheless, the obtained data reveal that both the surface area and pore volume of all materials are in the same order of magnitude. Importantly, the surface area and pore volume of the catalysts did not change upon plasma exposure, as shown on the example of the Co catalyst (Supplementary Materials, Table S1). Due to the non-thermal nature of the DBD plasma, the temperature of the gas during the plasma-catalytic NH\textsubscript{3} synthesis is much lower than in thermal catalysis. However, the localised microscale temperature on the surface of the beads can reach high values due to the direct interaction with the high energy filaments [45]. This could lead to changes of the catalyst surface properties during plasma exposure [46]. Nonetheless, our results suggest that such changes did not occur, or at least not to a large extent, likely because the temperature was below the detrimental values.

Further, the amount of the deposited metal was evaluated using SEM-EDX, which allows accurate estimation of the metal content during elemental analysis, comparably, e.g., to the ICP-AES technique [47]. The 2D SEM images with respective EDX maps are shown in Figure S1 in Supplementary Materials.

The results presented in Table 2 demonstrate that the determined metal loading for the four catalysts was generally in good agreement with the 10 wt\% loading calculated during the preparation. The discrepancies from the expected loading of 10 wt\% arise from the facts that (i) the catalyst beads were powderised for the analysis with possible homogenisation limitations, and (ii) the inherently localised type of analysis (SEM-EDX). Considering these two factors, the analytical results are in good agreement with the value of 10 wt\%, calculated during the catalyst preparation.

Table 2. Metal loading and average size of the particles for the different Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-supported catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Metal Loading 1 (wt%)</th>
<th>Particle Size 2 (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.7 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>11.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>7.5 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>28.8 ± 17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.1 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Determined by SEM-EDX analysis of the homogenised powder obtained by crushing the beads of the respective catalyst. The shown error margins represent the values of the standard deviation obtained from the analyses of different regions of the same sample. 2 Estimated by HAADF-STEM analysis of the powderised beads.
The average particle size (Figure 2, as well as Table 2) was calculated from the particle size distribution data obtained by the HAADF-STEM analysis of the metal catalysts. During quantification, an effective diameter $d_{\text{eff}} = 2\sqrt{\frac{A_p}{\pi}}$ was assumed, where $A_p$ is the measured area of the particle. While the other catalysts consisted mostly of nanoparticles of several nm in size (<10 nm), the Co nanoparticles had a different size distribution, with larger particles (>20 nm). However, using electron tomography (see below), a technique which yields a 3D visualisation of the samples based on a series of 2D images acquired along different viewing directions [48], we estimated the surface-to-volume ratios for the large Co and the small Ru nanoparticles. The two values were found to be quite similar: 1.8 and 2.3 nm$^{-1}$, respectively. Therefore, despite having larger (agglomerated) particles, the 10 wt% Co/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst can be directly compared to the other catalysts used in this work. This is especially important for the comparison of the TOF values discussed below: similar surface-to-volume ratios do not impact the TOFs differently. The representative 2D and 3D tomography images are shown in Figure 3.
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**Figure 2.** Size distribution of metal particles for the four Al$_2$O$_3$-supported catalysts, as determined by HAADF-STEM. (a) 10 wt% Fe/Al$_2$O$_3$, (b) 10 wt% Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$, (c) 10 wt% Co/Al$_2$O$_3$, and (d) 10 wt% Cu/Al$_2$O$_3$. The red curve is added for visualisation purposes and corresponds to the fitting of the experimental data with normal distribution. The numerical values of the mean particle size are presented in Table 2.
We also evaluated the metal coverage of the four catalysts (Table 3). The metal coverage was defined as the area covered by the metal sites, divided by the total area of the analysed material (metal sites and support). The metal coverage values were calculated by averaging the surface ratios based on several recorded 2D HAADF-STEM images. Importantly, we confirmed the applicability of such approach by calculating the coverage from 3D images by using electron tomography. Tilt series for electron tomography were acquired over an angular range of $\pm 75^\circ$ with a tilt increment of $3^\circ$ using a Thermo Fischer Scientific aberration-corrected ‘cubed’ Titan (Waltham, MA, USA) operated at 300 kV. After alignment of the projection images by cross-correlation, stacks of aligned projection images served as an input for 15 iterations of the expectation maximisation reconstruction algorithm implemented in the ASTRA toolbox [49]. The obtained 3D data were first segmented manually. Next, the metal coverage was calculated as follows (Equation (1)):

$$\text{Metal coverage (\%)} = \frac{SA_P}{SA_P + SA_s} \times 100\%,$$

(1)

Figure 3. Typical 2D HAADF-STEM and reconstructed 3D images of the powderised beads of the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$-supported metal catalysts. (a) 2D image of the 10 wt% Ru/$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, (b) reconstructed 3D image of the Ru particles on $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, (c) 2D image of the 10 wt% Co/$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, and (d) reconstructed 3D image of the Co particles on $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$. 
where $SA_p$ is the surface area of the particles, and $SA_s$ is the surface area of the support. Figure 4 shows representative 2D and 3D images obtained by electron tomography, for the example of the 10 wt% Fe/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst.

Table 3. Metal coverage, defined as ratio of the metal surface area to the total surface area, of the four different 10 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$-supported metal catalysts, obtained from 2D imaging and 3D processing by HAADF-STEM imaging.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Average Metal Coverage (%)</th>
<th>Extracted from 2D Projection</th>
<th>Extracted from 3D Reconstruction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 wt% Fe/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt% Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt% Co/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt% Cu/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The 3D tomography data could not be obtained due to the observed sensitivity of the sample to the electron beam irradiation at prolonged acquisition periods.

The data shown in Table 3 indicate that the results extracted from 2D and 3D imaging by HAADF-STEM are comparable, confirming the applicability of this analytical approach albeit with precision limitations. We further used the obtained values of the metal coverage in the calculation of the TOFs for the different metals, as shown below in Section 2.2. We acknowledge that the used TOFs are only approximate here. Nevertheless, they are used to obtain semi-qualitative trends, rather than to compare exact values, as shown below.

2.2. Plasma-Catalytic NH$_3$ Formation: Performance of the Al$_2$O$_3$-Supported Catalysts

The PC NH$_3$ experiments were conducted in a cylindrical DBD reactor, operated with an AC current at 23.5 kHz frequency. The high voltage (HV) electrode covered a section of the dielectric barrier (ceramic tube), inside of which a ground electrode (stainless steel rod) was positioned.

The catalytic materials (Al$_2$O$_3$ beads impregnated with catalytically active metals) were introduced inside the gap between the dielectric barrier and the ground electrode, until the volume corresponding to the HV electrode length was filled with them. The plasma discharge occurred within the packed catalyst bed volume. The electrical characteristics of the plasma were monitored using voltage and current probes. The detailed description of the plasma setup is given in the Materials and Methods section below.
The schematic representation of the setup, including the reactor packed with catalyst beads, is shown in Materials and Methods. The electrical characteristics of the plasma, including the current and voltage profiles, and the Lissajous figures, are shown in Supplementary Materials, Figures S2 and S3. The plasma power was calculated from the Lissajous figures, i.e., from the charge-voltage characteristics, by integrating the area enclosed under the charge-voltage curves as described in literature [50,51]. The figures showed general similarity, not only for all catalysts but also for the three feed gas ratios (3:1, 1:1, 1:3 H$_2$:N$_2$), when using the same catalyst (Figure S3). The current and voltage profiles were similar for all Al$_2$O$_3$-supported catalysts and the pristine Al$_2$O$_3$, with the exception of Fe/Al$_2$O$_3$ for which the current waveform was different (Figure S2). This is in agreement with literature, where Fe catalysts were also found to be altering the characteristics of the discharge current [19]. However, the important finding was that the plasma power remained virtually identical for all experimental conditions investigated, i.e., for all supported catalysts independently of the metal, as well as for the empty reactor and the pristine support (Figure 5). This is also in good agreement with literature [52] and allows comparison of product yields and EC values between the various catalysts.

Figure 5. Concentration of NH$_3$ obtained from N$_2$ and H$_2$ in the plasma-catalytic reaction within the DBD reactor, for an empty reactor and a packed reactor with pristine Al$_2$O$_3$ beads, and Al$_2$O$_3$ beads impregnated with 10 wt% Fe, Ru, Co, or Cu catalyst. The empty reactor was used with two different feed gas flow rates: 385 and 100 mL/min, to have the same residence time and same mass flow rate, respectively, as the packed reactor. The experiments were performed with three H$_2$:N$_2$ ratios: (a) 3:1; (b) 1:1; (c) 1:3. The error bars denote the standard deviation between three independent experiments.
The performance of the catalysts was assessed for a total feed gas flow rate of 100 mL/min. Preliminary experiments showed that flow rates higher than 100 mL/min can cause a small decrease in EC (ca. 5% lower EC at a flow rate of 200 mL/min). At the same time, the reduced residence time due to the higher flow rate results in a dramatic decrease of the N\textsubscript{2} conversion and NH\textsubscript{3} yield. Therefore, in this work, we chose 100 mL/min as the flow rate, to ensure a reasonable concentration of NH\textsubscript{3} (around 1 vol%): we previously showed that the NH\textsubscript{3} production only becomes industrially feasible if the produced NH\textsubscript{3} concentrations are \geq 1 vol% [16].

Besides the supported metal catalysts, we also performed plasma experiments with pristine Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} beads, and in an empty reactor. The empty reactor experiments were done with two different feed gas flow rates: 100 mL/min and 385 mL/min. In terms of mass flow, the flow rate of 100 mL/min in the empty reactor is directly comparable to the packed bed experiments. However, because the plasma reactor was operated in a flow rather than a batch mode, the residence time of the gas within the plasma is affected due to the presence of the packing beads, and the plasma in the reactor extending outside the catalyst bed. The beads occupy most of the reactor volume, effectively reducing the volume through which the gas can pass. Hence, the residence time of the feed gas within the reactor, i.e., the time which the feed gas spends within the catalyst bed/plasma discharge region, is also reduced. In an approximation, a dense packing can be assumed, with the volume of the spheres occupying ca. 74% of the gap volume [53]. Therefore, the mass flow rate of 100 mL/min with the packing beads corresponds to the same residence time as a mass flow rate of 385 mL/min in the empty reactor. We acknowledge that the shape of the reactor and the catalyst bed may not allow perfectly dense packing. Besides, the residence time of the gas in the reactor is also affected by adsorption/desorption processes on the beads. Nonetheless, we believe that, in a first approximation, the two used mass flow rates (100 and 385 mL/min) present the so-called “envelope” conditions: the residence time of the gas in an empty reactor, which corresponds to the residence time of the gas in a packed reactor (operated at 100 mL/min), lies within these conditions. For clarity, in the case of the empty reactor, we refer to the flow rates of 100 mL/min and 385 mL/min as having “the same gas flow rate” and “the same residence time”.

The results of the PC NH\textsubscript{3} experiments are shown in Figures 5 and 6. Besides the concentration of NH\textsubscript{3} (measured by NDIR; see Materials and Methods for details), we also evaluated the NH\textsubscript{3} production rate and energy consumption (EC) for the different catalysts and H\textsubscript{2}:N\textsubscript{2} ratios: these two are the key factors in the evaluation of a process, which could be an alternative to the existing industrial technologies [14,16,26]. Here, the production rate and the EC were calculated as shown in Equations (2) and (3), respectively:

\[
\text{NH}_3 \text{ production rate (mg h}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{Mass flow rate}_{\text{NH}_3} (\text{mL min}^{-1})}{24 \left( \frac{\text{L}}{\text{min}} \right)} \times 17 \left( \frac{\text{g mol}^{-1}}{\text{mol}} \right) \times 60 \left( \frac{\text{min}}{\text{h}} \right), \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{EC (MJ mol}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{Plasma power (W)}}{\text{Mass flow rate}_{\text{NH}_3} (\text{mL min}^{-1})} \times 10^{-6} \left( \frac{\text{MJ}}{\text{J}} \right), \tag{3}
\]

where Mass flow rate\textsubscript{NH}_3 is the partial flow rate (in mL/min) of NH\textsubscript{3} in the reaction mixture after the plasma reactor and is calculated as

\[
\text{Mass flow rate}_{\text{NH}_3} (\text{mL min}^{-1}) = \frac{(\text{Mass flow rate}_{\text{inlet H}_2} + \text{Mass flow rate}_{\text{inlet N}_2}) (\text{mL min}^{-1}) \times \frac{\text{C}_{\text{NH}_3} (\text{ppm})}{10^6}}{1 + \frac{\text{C}_{\text{NH}_3} (\text{ppm})}{10^6}} \tag{4}
\]

to account for gas contraction due to the stoichiometry of formation of NH\textsubscript{3} from H\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2}. 

\[
\text{EC (MJ mol}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{Plasma power (W)}}{\text{Mass flow rate}_{\text{NH}_3} (\text{mL min}^{-1})} \times 10^{-6} \left( \frac{\text{MJ}}{\text{J}} \right), \tag{3}
\]

where Mass flow rate\textsubscript{NH}_3 is the partial flow rate (in mL/min) of NH\textsubscript{3} in the reaction mixture after the plasma reactor and is calculated as

\[
\text{Mass flow rate}_{\text{NH}_3} (\text{mL min}^{-1}) = \frac{(\text{Mass flow rate}_{\text{inlet H}_2} + \text{Mass flow rate}_{\text{inlet N}_2}) (\text{mL min}^{-1}) \times \frac{\text{C}_{\text{NH}_3} (\text{ppm})}{10^6}}{1 + \frac{\text{C}_{\text{NH}_3} (\text{ppm})}{10^6}} \tag{4}
\]
Figure 6. Energy consumption of NH$_3$ formation (left y-axis) and NH$_3$ production rate (right y-axis), obtained from N$_2$ and H$_2$ in the plasma-catalytic reaction within the DBD reactor, for an empty reactor and a packed reactor with pristine Al$_2$O$_3$ beads, and Al$_2$O$_3$ beads impregnated with 10 wt% Fe, Ru, Co, or Cu catalyst. The empty reactor was used with two different feed gas flow rates: 385 and 100 mL/min, to have the same residence time and same mass flow rate, respectively, as the packed reactor. The experiments were performed with three H$_2$:N$_2$ ratios: (a) 3:1; (b) 1:1; (c) 1:3. The error bars denote the standard deviation between three independent experiments.

When the empty reactor was used, the concentrations of the produced NH$_3$ were extremely low with all three used H$_2$:N$_2$ ratios. For the empty reactor experiments with the same apparent gas residence time as the reactor packed with beads, the maximal concentration of NH$_3$ did not exceed 600 ppm, while, under conditions with the same gas flow rate as the packed reactor, it reached a maximum of ca. 2400 ppm, for the 3:1 H$_2$:N$_2$ ratio (Figure 5). These low values resulted in very high ECs, with the minimal one being around 300 MJ/mol, again, for the 3:1 H$_2$:N$_2$ ratio (Figure 6a). Under these conditions, the production rate of NH$_3$ was also expectedly low, ca. 10 mg/h at maximum. The other H$_2$:N$_2$ ratios gave even lower NH$_3$ concentrations and production rates, as well as much higher ECs.

When the Al$_2$O$_3$ beads were introduced into the reactor, we observed a prominent increase in NH$_3$ concentration and production rate and a respective decrease in EC compared to the empty reactor (even with the same flow rate). This was especially the case for the 1:1 H$_2$:N$_2$ ratio, where the EC decreased, and the NH$_3$ production rate increased, by a factor of ca. 2.5, to values of 280 MJ/mol and 15 mg/h, respectively (compared to 670 MJ/mol and 6 mg/h with the empty reactor for the same mass flow rate). In practice, the addition of beads induces two effects. Firstly, the almost exclusively gas phase reactions (in the empty reactor) become largely, or even predominantly, reactions involving the surface species, regardless of whether the reaction progresses via the Langmuir-Hinshelwood,
or the Eley-Rideal [43] mechanism, as mentioned above. Secondly, the packing beads change the dielectric properties of the whole reactor. This results in an increased local electric field [54] and, thus, a higher electron energy and more efficient inelastic collisions, ultimately producing higher NH3 yields and lower EC.

When the 10 wt% M/Al2O3 catalysts were used, the production of NH3 increased even more. As in thermal catalysis, this may be ascribed to the presence of active metals, which could: (i) lead to additional adsorption sites for the reactive species, resulting in a local increase of their concentration; and (ii) facilitate the dissociative adsorption of N2. Among the four screened supported metal catalysts, no dramatic differences were observed in terms of the NH3 concentration and production rate. The values of EC. varied in the range between 99 MJ/mol (Co; Figure 6b) to around 120 MJ/mol for all other catalyst and conditions, with the exception of 10 wt% Fe/Al2O3 at the 3:1 H2:N2 ratio, where it was 174 MJ/mol (Figure 6a). Overall, the 10 wt% Co/Al2O3 catalyst performed slightly better than Fe, Ru, and Cu. Here, the maximal values of NH3 concentration and production rate reached ca. 10000 ppm (or 1 vol%) and 42 mg/h, respectively, at the 1:1 H2:N2 ratio, which corresponded to the minimal achieved EC of 99 MJ/mol (Figures 5b and 6b).

An important finding here was that, under all conditions with packing beads (pristine Al2O3, and 10 wt% M/Al2O3 for all catalysts), the best results were not obtained with the stoichiometric ratio 3:1 of H2:N2 (such as with an empty reactor, where nearly all reactions occur homogeneously in the gas phase) but instead 1:1. The shift towards the optimal ratio 1:1 could be due to the ‘competition’ between H2 and N2 molecules for active sites on the catalyst surface of the beads. Therefore, a higher N2 fraction would favour the production of NH3, especially because the dissociation energy of N2 (9.76 eV) is higher than that of H2 (4.48 eV), making the activation of N2 the rate-determining step [19]. Although N2 molecules can also be activated by vibrational or electronic excitation [25,26,55], a higher relative amount of N2 would still result in a larger number of excited N2 molecules. On the other hand, an even lower H2:N2 ratio (e.g., 1:3) would result in a smaller number of plasma-excited H2 molecules and, hence, a lower NH3 formation. Besides, a high H2:N2 ratio (3:1) could lead to the excess of plasma-excited hydrogen species, which facilitate the decomposition of NH3 [19,39].

We need to mention, however, the speculative nature of this discussion. The available literature contrastingly reports empirical data which describe this effect [32], as well as the opposite ones, i.e., the H2:N2 ratios optimal for NH3 production by plasma catalysis being, e.g., 3:1 [56] or 1:4 [35]. Therefore, the optimal H2:N2 ratio likely depends on the experimental conditions, e.g., reactor geometry, plasma power, temperature, parameters, type of the catalyst bed, etc.

2.3. TOFs and Implications with Respect to Reaction Mechanisms

For the comparison of the catalyst performance and validation of the microkinetic model predictions [43], we calculated the TOFs on 10 wt% Al2O3-supported Fe, Ru, Co and Cu catalysts at the H2:N2 gas ratios of 3:1, 1:1, and 1:3. The TOFs were calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{TOF} (s^{-1}) = \frac{\text{Rate}_{M/Al2O3} \left( \frac{\text{mol}}{s} \right) - \text{Rate}_{Al2O3} \left( \frac{\text{mol}}{s} \right)}{\text{Quantity of metal adsorption sites (mol)}} = \frac{\text{Rate}_{M/Al2O3} \left( \frac{\text{mol}}{s} \right) - \text{Rate}_{Al2O3} \left( \frac{\text{mol}}{s} \right)}{\text{Metal surface area (m}^2\text{)} \times \text{Site density (mol/m}^2\text{)}}, \quad (5)$$

for which we used the NH3 production rate data presented in Figure 6. The metal surface area was defined as the fraction of the total catalyst surface (total catalyst surface × metal coverage; Tables 1 and 3). The total catalyst surface was calculated from $S_{BET}$ × amount of catalyst (in g), with the assumption of similar physisorption of N2 by both metal sites and Al2O3 sites:

$$\text{Metal surface area (m}^2\text{)} = S_{BET} \left( \frac{m^2}{g} \right) \times m_{\text{beads}} (g) \times \text{Metal coverage.} \quad (6)$$
Lastly, the obtained metal surface area was multiplied by the site density (number of sites per square meter), for which we assumed an estimated theoretical value of $10^{19}$ sites/m$^2$ (which is generally representative of metal surfaces and used for calculations [28,57]). This corresponds to $1.66 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/m$^2$, as used in Equation (5).

NH$_3$ production is not only determined by the amount of catalyst loaded onto the Al$_2$O$_3$ beads. A different dispersion of the metal particles can change the active surface area and, thus, the catalytic reaction rate. For a correct comparison of the metal catalyst activity, therefore, it is important to compare the process TOFs. As formulated in Equation (5), in the defined TOFs we subtracted the values of the background NH$_3$ produced in the gas phase, over the Al$_2$O$_3$ beads and at the electrodes (denoted together as Rate(Al$_2$O$_3$) in Equation (5)), and only account for the production at the active metal surface area. This allows comparing the unique contributions of each metal catalyst separately.

We found that the highest TOFs correspond to reactions with the 10 wt% Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$ and Cu/Al$_2$O$_3$. In general, for any of the studied H$_2$:N$_2$ ratios in the feed gas, the TOF values were in the following order: Fe < Co < Ru < Cu. As also seen from the data shown in Figures 5 and 6, the 1:1 gas ratio showed slightly higher TOFs than the other gas ratios. However, the most important result is not the actual value or even a numerical trend of TOF between the catalysts, but the fact that the calculated TOFs are all in roughly the same order of magnitude for each of three feed gas ratios (Figure 7). In other words, although some differences between metals are apparent, no discernible chemical trend of a “volcano” type (which is mostly plotted on a log scale) is observed.

![Figure 7. Calculated TOF values in PC NH$_3$ synthesis with the 10 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$-supported Fe, Ru, Co, and Cu catalysts in the DBD reactor. The TOFs were calculated for the three H$_2$:N$_2$ ratios: 3:1; 1:1; and 1:3.](image)

### 3. Discussion

We studied the chemical activity of four different transition metal catalysts (10 wt% Fe, Ru, Co, and Cu on Al$_2$O$_3$ beads) for plasma-catalytic NH$_3$ synthesis.

In terms of plasma performance, our results with 10 wt% Co/Al$_2$O$_3$ and 1:1 ratio of H$_2$:N$_2$ showed the lowest EC of 99 MJ/mol, corresponding to an NH$_3$ yield of 1% (calculated as shown in Equation (7)) and a production rate of 42 mg/h (Figures 5b and 6b), which is of preparative interest.

\[
NH_3 \text{ yield(\%)} = N_2 \text{ conversion (\%)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \text{ Mass flow rate}_{NH_3} \left( \frac{ml}{min} \right)}{\text{Mass flow rate}_{N_2}^{inlet} \left( \frac{ml}{min} \right)} \times 100\%. \quad (7)
\]
Table 4 gives a comparative overview of the results of PC NH₃ synthesis reported in literature, with a focus on the best EC values in each work: indeed, the EC remains the main characteristic of a process by which its competitiveness with HB is evaluated [26]. We compare the values of NH₃ yield and not NH₃ concentration due to the availability of the data in the source works. Of course, the yield and the concentration of NH₃ are directly related as shown in Equations (4) and (7). While other works report lower EC values, the respective NH₃ yields are often also very low (from <0.1 to 0.7%) and correspond to similarly low values of NH₃ concentrations (<1 vol%), as seen e.g., in [18,35,46,56] In our previous work, we demonstrated that a process of NH₃ production becomes plausible only if the NH₃ concentration in the reaction mixture is ≥1 vol% due to the ‘hidden’ additional energy costs required for product separation [16]. In this regard, our value of ca. 1 vol% (or 10,000 ppm; see Figure 5) falls within the desired range. Furthermore, in some of the listed works, the overall PC NH₃ production efficiency was due to the combination of thermal catalysis and plasma catalysis [35]. In our study, the temperature was substantially lower (<120 °C), suggesting that the contribution of thermal catalytic effects was likely negligible. However, it must be noted that the reactive system here was not specifically optimised due to the fundamental nature of this study. Moreover, the amount of catalyst used in this work (12 g) was chosen to fill the volume in the reactor corresponding to the discharge region between the two electrodes (see Materials and Methods). In any case, the production rate of NH₃ (in mg/(h × gcat)) obtained in this study was in the range of those reported in literature (see Table S2 in Supplementary Materials for details). Further work including optimisation of the reactor design geometry, as well as plasma properties and operating conditions (e.g., power, frequency, feed gas flow rate), can likely lead to lower EC.

Table 4. Summary of studies on NH₃ production from N₂ and H₂ in DBD plasma reactors, as well as comparison with our work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source 1</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>Plasma Power (W)</th>
<th>H₂:N₂ Ratio</th>
<th>Energy Consumption (MJ/mol)</th>
<th>N₂ Conversion/NH₃ Yield (%)</th>
<th>NH₃ Production Rate (mg/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[30]</td>
<td>Ru/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[31]</td>
<td>PZT</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[58]</td>
<td>DLC-coated Al₂O₃</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[59]</td>
<td>Ru-Cs-K-Ba/Si-MCM-41</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[35]</td>
<td>RuO-MgO/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>Ni/SiO₂ + BaTiO₃</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[33]</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[42]</td>
<td>Co/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[38]</td>
<td>Ni/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[37]</td>
<td>Co/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[60]</td>
<td>Ni-MOF-74</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4:1</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>Ru/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[46]</td>
<td>Ru/MgO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>Plasma Power (W)</th>
<th>H₂:N₂ Ratio</th>
<th>Energy Consumption (MJ/mol)</th>
<th>N₂ Conversion/ NH₃ Yield (%)</th>
<th>NH₃ Production Rate (mg/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[56]</td>
<td>alkaline Al₂O₃</td>
<td>&gt;105</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[61]</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[19]</td>
<td>Rh/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[62]</td>
<td>Ru/C</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>Ru-K/MgO</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>this work</td>
<td>Co/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>&lt;120</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The catalysts, experimental details, and the calculated values of NH₃ production and N₂ conversion correspond to the lowest energy consumption (EC) reported in the respective publication. 2 Not available: the data were absent, and the absence of the necessary experimental details did not allow us to calculate the numerical values.

We also compared the performance of the four used metals: Ru as optimal for thermal catalysis, Fe as mostly used in the industrial HB process, Co as predicted to be optimal in the case of vibrational excitation reactions, and Cu as predicted to be active only in the case of radical reactions. For this, we calculated the TOFs for three gas phase ratios, 3:1, 1:1, and 1:3 H₂:N₂. As opposed to thermal catalysis expectations, the obtained results do not showcase any particular chemical trend and the performance of the different transition metals is remarkably similar. The observed TOFs only show random deviations that cannot be explained by thermal activity of the catalysts or chemical activity of vibrationally excited molecules, as predicted by previous works [42,43].

Under thermal conditions, the metal performance follows the Sabatier principle, which gives rise to so-called “volcano”-behaviour. For NH₃ synthesis the top of the volcano (i.e., the best performance) lies in between Fe and Ru, and the catalyst activity drops steeply (exponentially) on both the noble and the non-noble side of the volcano [42]. By means of microkinetic modelling, Mehta et al. predicted that, in plasma catalysis, the peak of the TOF volcano would shift towards more noble catalysts (with a maximum around Ni and Co) due to interactions of the transition metal with vibrationally excited N₂ [42]. However, their model still predicted the same steep slopes on both flanks of the volcano and, thus, could not explain why Fe, Ru, and Cu perform virtually equally well (and in the cases of Ru and Cu, even better).

We have previously studied possible effects of plasma-generated radicals by extending the Mehta model with radical adsorption and Eley-Rideal reactions, and adopting plasma phase densities of radicals and vibrational distribution functions from plasma kinetics models [28,43]. We calculated the NH₃ TOFs from microkinetic modelling of an H₂/N₂ plasma in contact with various transition metal catalysts, at 400 K and 1 bar, which are typical operating conditions for a DBD (including the setup presented in this work). Kinetic input data was based on scaling relations obtained from DFT to allow a large screening of the activity of different transition metal catalysts. The conclusion of the computational work was that these unique reactions have the potential to break the Sabatier principle and render the “volcano” trend inapplicable, as appears to be the case (Figure 8).

The fact that the NH₃ concentrations seem to be largely independent from the actual transition metal used might be explained by the contributions of plasma-generated radicals interacting with the metal surface [43]. While vibrational excitations of N₂ are expected to increase the performance of catalysts, such as Co and Ni (which are slightly more noble than the thermally optimal Ru), they cannot explain a similarity in performance between them and the Cu catalyst, nor the Fe and Ru catalysts. The computational results suggested that radical adsorption reactions could significantly activate the noble catalysts (e.g., Cu), while ER reactions are expected to activate the non-noble catalysts (e.g., Fe). Therefore,
under such conditions, the thermally observed “volcano” behaviour would be cancelled and the transition metals would behave similarly. At the same time, the equal performance of the different transition metals cannot be explained by homogeneous plasma reactions, reactions at the reactor walls, or activity of the support because the empty reactor and the reactor filled with pure Al$_2$O$_3$ beads perform significantly worse (Figures 5 and 6). Additionally, the TOFs reported in Figure 8 exclude the contribution of background NH$_3$ synthesis, proving the activity of each metal under plasma-catalytic conditions.

![Comparison between the NH$_3$ TOF values obtained in our experiments, as well as in literature, and predicted by the microkinetic computational model (described in detail elsewhere [43]). TOFs are showcased as a function of the N* binding energy on the metal terrace sites.](image-url)

Figure 8. Comparison between the NH$_3$ TOF values obtained in our experiments, as well as in literature, and predicted by the microkinetic computational model (described in detail elsewhere [43]).

We want to highlight that catalyst activities are expected to differ by several orders of magnitude in thermal catalysis and in plasma catalysis, if they are governed by vibrational excitations. However, the results presented here and the results reported in previous experimental works showcase much smaller deviations. We compiled the results from other experimental works, together with our own data, and compare them with the trends predicted computationally [43], as shown in Figure 8. We plotted the results with the 1:3 H$_2$:N$_2$ ratio from our experiments because it compares best with the data from other works which used high N$_2$ contents. In any case, the difference between the results obtained with the 3:1, 1:1, and 1:3 H$_2$:N$_2$ ratios in our work is very small when put on a logarithmic scale. Besides the two works by Wang et al. [38] and Mehta et al. [42] describing the performance of different catalytic metals in DBD reactors, we also added a dataset obtained by Shah et al. in a low-pressure radiofrequency plasma [63], which interestingly exhibited the same properties (i.e., the absence of the “volcano” trend), thus indirectly suggesting that the implications of our model may reach beyond atmospheric pressure plasma conditions.

We specifically note that this comparison is aimed merely at highlighting the qualitative trends of TOFs as a function of the catalyst material. A quantitative comparison between observed and calculated TOFs is beyond the level of detail that computational modelling can provide.

We acknowledge that a DBD can perform both in a filamentary and uniform regime [28,38]. However, it is most commonly filamentary (i.e., consisting of microdischarges and their afterflows). Therefore, the modelling results in Figure 8 are shown for both the microdischarges and their afterglows of a filamentary plasma. For both conditions, results were calculated for a plasma phase containing vibrationally excited N$_2$ and plasma-generated radicals, as well as a plasma phase containing only vibrationally excited N$_2$ (denoted “vib. only” in the legend of Figure 8).

The comparison of the experimental trends and the modelling results clearly shows that the experimental data align much better with the model results containing radical
reactions than with the model results accounting only for vibrational excitation. It is clear that none of the experiments showcase true “volcano” behaviour (which would be predicted by the reaction pathways from vibrational excitation only, as illustrated in Figure 8). Instead, they exhibit the same trend as our calculated TOFs with the full model, including the effect of radicals and ER reactions. Each of the experimental works predicts certain catalyst materials to perform slightly better than others, but the differences are small, and no consistent chemical differences are noticeable.

While this comparison does not provide definitive conclusions on reaction mechanisms, it strongly suggests the potential contribution of radical adsorption and ER reactions (rather than LH reactions) in PC NH\textsubscript{3} synthesis.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Preparation of Catalyst Beads

\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3-supported catalysts were prepared as follows. Metal precursors were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA): Co(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}·6H\textsubscript{2}O (99.5%), Cu(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}·3H\textsubscript{2}O (≥99%), Fe(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}·9H\textsubscript{2}O (99.5%), RuCl\textsubscript{3}·xH\textsubscript{2}O (40 wt% Ru). The supported metal catalysts were prepared using γ-\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 beads supplied by Gongyi Tenglong Water Treatment Material Co. Ltd., Gongyi, China (>99%) with a diameter 1.4–1.8 mm, based on literature [38]. \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 beads were first calcined at 400 °C in a muffle furnace (Lenton ECF 12/6) in air for 3 h, and let cool down. Then, a solution of the respective metal precursor in de-ionised water was used for incipient wetness impregnation of the γ-\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 beads. For this, a solution of a respective salt was slowly added to the beads until full absorption of liquid. The volume of solution (0.75 mL per 1 g of beads) was chosen empirically as the maximal volume adsorbed by the beads. Further, the beads were left drying at room temperature for 12 h, then dried at 120 °C in a drying oven (Memmert UF55, Schwabach, Germany) for 8 h, and, finally, calcined in air at 540 °C for 6 h. Before plasma experiments, the catalysts were reduced in plasma operated with an Ar/H\textsubscript{2} gas mixture (1:1) for 8 h [44]. The amounts and concentrations of the precursor solutions were calculated so that the amount of the adsorbed metal salt would correspond to a 10 wt% loading of the respective metals.

4.2. Catalyst Characterisation

The specific surface area of the samples was measured using a nitrogen adsorption-desorption technique (Micromeritics TriStar II, Norcross, GA, USA) at −196 °C. Before the measurement, the samples (0.1500 g) were degassed at 350 °C for 4 h. The surface area was calculated based on the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) approach. The total pore volume of the samples was measured at a relative pressure (P/P\textsubscript{0}) of 0.99.

The structural properties of the samples were investigated by XRPD, conducted using a Rigaku SmartLab 9 kW diffractometer (Tokyo, Japan) with Cu Kα radiation (240 kV, 50 mA). The samples were scanned from 5° to 80° at a step of 0.01° with the scanning speed of 10 °/min. The catalyst beads were powderised prior to analysis.

The metal loading was measured using energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) in a Quanta 250 FEG scanning electron microscope (Hillsboro, OR, USA) operated at 30 kV.

The size distribution of the metal particles was measured by high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) using a Thermo Fischer Scientific Osiris TEM (Waltham, MA, USA) operated at 200 kV. Prior to the measurements, the specimens were crushed and suspended in ethanol and then drop-cast onto the TEM grid. An in-house made molybdenum holey carbon grid was used in the analysis.

4.3. Plasma Setup

The feed gas flow (H\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2}, total flow rate 100 mL/min) was supplied using mass flow controllers (Bronkhorst, Ruurlo, The Netherlands) connected to H\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2} gas cylinders (both 99.999%, Praxair, Danbury, CT, USA). The feed gases H\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2} were mixed using a T-connector, and subsequently introduced into the DBD plasma reactor shown in Figure 9. The cylindrical DBD reactor consisted of an inner ground electrode (stainless
steel rod, diameter 8 mm) surrounded by a hollow ceramic cylinder (dielectric barrier) with an inner diameter 17 mm and outer diameter 22 mm. Both ends of the reactor were held in place with stainless steel caps, which were also connected to the inner electrode (Figure 9). The outer electrode (stainless steel mesh, 100 mm length, thickness ca. 1 mm) was positioned around the outer walls of the ceramic cylinder. As a result, the inner (ground) electrode and the outer (high voltage) electrode were separated by a ceramic cylinder with a wall thickness of 2.5 mm, and a gap of ca. 4.5 mm. This gap was filled with catalyst beads (12 g, ca. 20 mL volume), held on both sides with glass wool.

Figure 9. Schematic representation of the DBD plasma reactor for plasma-catalytic NH\textsubscript{3} synthesis.

The reactor was positioned on a plastic support inside a grounded Faraday cage. No additional insulation was applied to the reactor.

The discharge was driven by a high frequency power supply unit (PSU) AFS G10S-V (AFS GmbH, Delmenhorst, Germany) connected to a transformer. The electrical characteristics were monitored with a digital oscilloscope (Pico Technology PicoScope 6402D, St. Neots, UK) using a high voltage probe (Tektronix P6015A, Beaverton, OR, USA), and a current monitor (Pearson Electronics Inc. 4100, Palo Alto, CA, USA). An additional voltage probe was connected to an external capacitor to obtain the Lissajous figures. The PSU was operated at a frequency of 23.5 kHz, and a power of 100 W. The set PSU power of 100 W allowed a power deposition into the plasma of ca. 65 W, as calculated from the Lissajous figures obtained from the current and voltage parameters. The temperature of the outer walls of the ceramic tube was 104 °C, as measured with a thermocouple connected to a temperature data logger (RS Pro 1384, Corby, UK). The temperature of the gas inside the reactor was calculated to be around 108 °C using a computational model described elsewhere [64]. We acknowledge that the values obtained this way are only approximate, although a large difference with the computational results is unlikely. In any case, we report the temperature here being <120 °C for simplicity.

The concentration of the produced NH\textsubscript{3} in the gas outlet from the DBD reactor was analysed with a non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) sensor (Emerson, Rosemount\textsuperscript{TM} X-stream Enhanced XEGP Continuous Gas Analyzer, St. Louis, MO, USA). The quantitative analysis was performed when the concentration of NH\textsubscript{3} reached a steady-like state, i.e., did not vary by more than 100–200 ppm within a period of at least 15 min. The NDIR was calibrated using a calibration gas (4.89 vol% NH\textsubscript{3} in He) purchased from Praxair.

Each experiment was conducted in triplicate. The error bars on the graphs show a standard deviation between the three obtained values.
5. Conclusions

In this work, we explored the relative activity of four different Al$_2$O$_3$-supported transition metal catalysts (Fe, Ru, Co, and Cu) in plasma-catalytic NH$_3$ synthesis. Our experimental results showed some difference between catalyst activity, albeit incremental: no classic “volcano” plot of metal activity (typical in thermal catalysis) was observed. When compared to the predictions obtained by a computational model, the obtained data indicate a good agreement with the calculations based on the interactions of radicals rather than vibrationally excited molecules. Specifically, this good agreement indicates the importance of radical adsorption reactions and Eley-Rideal reaction mechanisms in plasma-catalytic NH$_3$ synthesis. The relative indifference of the nature of the transition metal to be used as catalyst suggests that cheaper and more abundant metals are quite feasible for this process, which naturally increases the overall cost-effectiveness. Although not absolutely definitive, these insights can help in developing cheaper catalysts for the plasma-catalytic synthesis of NH$_3$.

Certainly, plasma-based processes of NH$_3$ production are not fully capable of replacing the large-scale centralised production facilities, such as those used in the Haber-Bosch process. However, this is also an advantage of plasma technology: it can be operated on a small scale for decentralisation [26]. Small scale plasma reactors are compliant with the policies seeking to make the production of essential chemicals more benign by being able to operate on renewable electricity [13], and allowing production of fertilisers on site, thus reducing the transport costs and increasing the fertiliser availability in remote areas [65,66]. Therefore, plasma-catalytic NH$_3$ synthesis is an indispensable auxiliary technology in the world-wide fertiliser production.
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