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## Introduction

Morse theory: topology of below level sets


Integrable manifolds: topology of level sets


Could help to understand global properties of the system.[McC23]

## Introduction

M: n-dim manifold
$f$ : Morse function on $M$
(For now: $f$ proper, to avoid compactification or issues at infinity)
We are interested in the topology of level sets $f^{-1}(\alpha) \subset M$
No critical points between regular levels $\Rightarrow$ diffeomorphic
What happens when passing critical points?
$\varnothing$


## Introduction

What happens when passing critical points?
(Derive global implications from local properties?)
A. Knauf and N. Martynchuk [KM20]: passing a critical point of index $k \neq n / 2$, passing no other critical points of index $k-1, k+1$, or $n-k$, $\Rightarrow$ change in the homotopy type of the level set.

What happens when passing a single critical point of index $m=n / 2$ ?

## Introduction

- Examples
- Idea / statement
(- Proof)
- Theorem / result


## Basic examples

What happens when passing a critical point of index $m=n / 2$ ?

On 2 dimensional surfaces: $m=1$


## Basic examples

What happens when passing a critical point of index $m=n / 2$ ?
From $m=1$-examples: possibilities determined by orientability?

Example on $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ (orientable, $m=2$ ):

$$
f=\frac{|\alpha|^{2}-|\beta|^{2}}{|\alpha|^{2}+|\beta|^{2}+|\gamma|^{2}}
$$

Symmetry $\Rightarrow$ no topology change.

## Basic examples

When passing a critical point of index $m$
$m=1$ : orientability of $M$ guarantees topology change
$m>2$ : orientability is not enough,
...we need the absence of something in the middle homology group.

## Idea

Level set topology at $L^{ \pm}=f^{-1}( \pm \varepsilon)$
$\ldots$ is determined by the critical level $L=f^{-1}(0)$,
$\ldots$ and $f$ on a neighbourhood of the critical point (known).

Limited possibilities to extend $L$ on this neighbourhood to all of $L$, what are the implications for $L^{ \pm}$?

## Idea

In a neighbourhood $D$ of the critical point (Morse lemma):

$$
f=x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{m}^{2}-\left(x_{m+1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{2 m}^{2}\right)
$$

| $D$ | $=$ | $D^{m}$ | $\times$ | $D^{m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L^{+} \cap D:$ |  | $S^{m-1}$ | $\times$ | $D^{m}$ |
| $L^{-} \cap D:$ |  | $D^{m}$ | $\times$ | $S^{m-1}$ |
| $\partial(L \cap D)=: S$ |  | $S^{m-1}$ | $\times$ | $S^{m-1}$ |

What happens with this product of spheres outside $D$ ?

Idea - example for $m=1$


$$
\partial(L \cap D)=S=S^{0} \times S^{0}
$$

Only two ways to "connect" those



Idea - example for $m=2$, homology coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$


Different things can happen in $\tilde{L}=L \backslash(L \cap D)$ :

## Idea - example for $m=2$, homology coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$

$\partial(L \cap D)=S^{1} \times S^{1}$
Different things can happen in $\tilde{L}=L \backslash(L \cap D)$,
$S^{1} \times S^{1}$ has two independent cycles:

- both persist independently impossible
- both vanish/become trivial/are a boundary impossible
- one of them persists, the other becomes trivial implies a change in homology
- there is a relation between them (...)
implies existence of nontrivial $H_{m}\left(L, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$
s.t. this implies existence of nontrivial $H_{m}\left(M, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$


## Theorem ( $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ version $)$

Let $M$ be a $2 m$-dimensional manifold without boundary, with $f$ a proper Morse function on $M$. Let $L=f^{-1}(0)$ be a critical level set of $f$ with a single critical point $x_{0}$ of index $m$, and let $L^{ \pm}=f^{-1}( \pm \varepsilon)$ be the level sets slightly above and below such that there are no other critical points in between.

## Theorem

Empty middle homology: $H_{m}\left(M, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=0$

$$
\Rightarrow
$$

Topology change: $H_{m}\left(L^{-}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \neq H_{m}\left(L^{+}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$
Change in Betti numbers: $\left|b_{m}\left(L^{+}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)-b_{m}\left(L^{-}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right|=1$

## Proof

Locally, the only difference between $L^{+}$and $L^{-}$is given by which one of the two spheres $S^{+}$and $S^{-}$becomes a boundary in $L^{ \pm} \cap D$. So for global differences in topology, we consider how cycles in $\tilde{L}$ are related to $S^{+}$and $S^{-}$.

The implications can be described by the following Mayer-Vietoris-like exact sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots & \rightarrow H_{k}(S, G) \\
\stackrel{\partial_{k}}{\rightarrow} H_{k}\left(L^{ \pm} \cap D, G\right) \oplus H_{k-1}(S, G) & \rightarrow \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

most of the terms vanish...

## Proof

For $m \geq 2$
$G$ free or a field $\left(G=\mathbb{Z}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$

$$
H_{m}\left(L^{ \pm}, G\right) \cong H_{m}(\tilde{L}, G) / \operatorname{im}\left(i_{m}\right) \oplus \operatorname{im}\left(\partial_{m}\right)
$$

Here, the only difference between $L^{+}$and $L^{-}$can come from the image of $\partial_{m}: H_{m}\left(L^{ \pm}, G\right) \rightarrow H_{m-1}(S, G)$.

Which elements of $<\left[S^{+}\right],\left[S^{-}\right]>$are boundaries in $C_{m}(\tilde{L}, G)$ ?

## Proof



Suppose $\left[g^{+} S^{+}\right.$] is trivial (a boundary) in $H_{m-1}(\tilde{L}, G)$ $\Rightarrow$ cycle in $C_{m-1}\left(L^{-}, G\right)$

If $L^{-}$is $G$-orientable, then by Poincaré duality
$\exists$ cocycle, nonzero on $S^{-}$
$\Rightarrow\left[S^{-}\right]$nontrivial in $H_{m-1}(\tilde{L}, G)$.

## Proof

Suppose $\left[g^{+} S^{+}\right]$is never a boundary, not in $H_{m-1}(\tilde{L}, G)$, but also not in $H_{m-1}\left(L^{+}, G\right)$,
$\Rightarrow$...similar type of argument, somewhat more efford... $\Rightarrow$ (Via implications for integral coefficients, construction of a homomorphism $\varphi: H_{m-1}\left(L^{+}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow G$ which is nonzero on $S^{+}$, and the universal coefficient theorem for cohomology, it is possible to construct a $m-1$-cohomology cycle which is nonzero on $S^{+}$. If $L^{+}$is $G$-orientable, then by Poincaré duality this implies existence of an $m$-cycle which intersects $S^{+}$with nonzero intersection number. Consider its intersection with $S$ and remember that [ $g^{+} S^{+}$] is nontrivial in $H_{m-1}\left(L^{+}, G\right)$.)

$$
\Rightarrow \text { some }\left[g^{-} S^{-}\right] \text {is trivial in } H_{m-1}(\tilde{L}, G)
$$

## Proof



Suppose $\left[g^{+} S^{+}\right]$is nontrivial in $H_{m-1}(\tilde{L}, G)$, then it is possibile that some $\left[g^{\prime+} S^{+}\right]$is still trivial in $H_{m-1}\left(L^{+}, G\right)$.

This then implies the existence of some chain in $\tilde{L}$ with boundary $g^{\prime+} S^{+}+g^{\prime-} S^{-}$.

## Lemma

Suppose both $g^{+} S^{+}$and $g^{-} S^{-}$are not a boundary in $C_{m-1}(\tilde{L}, G)$ for any nonzero $g^{+}, g^{-}$, but there exists $\tilde{D} \in C_{m}(\tilde{L}, G)$ with $\partial \tilde{D}=g^{\prime+} S^{+}+g^{\prime-} S^{-}\left(g^{\prime+}, g^{\prime-} \in G\right.$, nonzero, and still $\left.m \geq 2\right)$.

Then there is a nontrivial homology class of $H_{m}(L, G)$ which can not be represented by a combination of cycles in $C_{m}\left(L^{+}, G\right)$ and $C_{m}\left(L^{-}, G\right)$, and this homology class persists in $H_{m}(M, G)$.

## Proof (of Lemma)

Decompose $L$ into $\tilde{L}$ and $L \cap D$ in this sequence:
$\partial_{m} C_{m}\left(L^{ \pm}, G\right)=0$ while $\partial_{m}\left[\tilde{D}-g^{\prime+} D^{-}-g^{\prime-} D^{+}\right] \neq 0$,
and decompose $M$ into $M^{+} \cup M^{-}$and a small neighbourhood of $L$,
[ $\left.\tilde{D}-g^{\prime+} D^{-}-g^{\prime-} D^{+}\right]$is not in the image of $H_{m}\left(L^{+} \cup L^{-}, G\right)=H_{m}\left(L^{+}\right) \oplus H_{m}\left(L^{-}\right)$,
$\Rightarrow\left[\tilde{D}-g^{\prime+} D^{-}-g^{\prime-} D^{+}\right] \in M_{m}(M, G)$, nonzero.

## Theorem

Let $M$ be a $2 m$-dimensional manifold without boundary, with $f$ a proper Morse function on $M$. Let $L=f^{-1}(0)$ be a critical level set of $f$ with a single critical point $x_{0}$ of index $m$, and let $L^{ \pm}=f^{-1}( \pm \varepsilon)$ be the level sets slightly above and below such that there are no other critical points in between.

## Theorem

Empty middle homology: $H_{m}\left(M, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=0$

$$
\Rightarrow
$$

Topology change: $H_{m}\left(L^{-}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \neq H_{m}\left(L^{+}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$
Change in Betti numbers: $\left|b_{m}\left(L^{+}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)-b_{m}\left(L^{-}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right|=1$
If we additionally assume orientability of the level sets $L^{+}$and $L^{-}$, the theorem holds for homology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$, (or in any field if $m \geq 2$ ).

## Corollary

Let $M$ be a $2 m$-dimensional manifold without boundary with vanishing $m$-th homology group $H_{m}\left(M, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$.

The phenomenon of topology change at critical points is generic for $M$
in the sense that if $f$ is a proper Morse function on $M$ such that its critical points are contained on different critical levels, then the topology (at least the homotopy type) of the regular level sets $f^{-1}(h)$ changes at each of these critical points.

## Example

## Outlook

Multiple critical points

Non-compact level sets

A better description of what happens

Applications

## Thank you for listening
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