# Use of real-world data for HPV vaccine trial follow-up in the Nordic region and

# Real world effectiveness of HPV vaccination

against cervical cancer and non-cervical anogenital high-grade lesions and cancer in women

Susanne Krüger Kjaer Professor, MD, DMSc

## Disclosures

Has received research grant through my institution from Merck

**Long-term follow-up** study of women who received 4vHPV at enrollment in

the clinical trial (FUTURE 2) in the Nordic countries (n ~ 2,700)





## **Optimal conditions for follow-up studies in the Nordic countries**

All citizens have a unique personal identification number - used universally in society



Population-based surveillance is possible – based on individual-level data and with virtually no loss to follow-up

### Long-term follow-up studies in the Nordic countries of the 4vHPV vaccine



Total follow-up of 4vHPV vaccine 20+ years

#### Unique features of the Nordic follow-up studies

- ✓ Existence of unique personal identifiers
- ✓ Organized cervical cancer screening programs in the Nordic countries
- Universal registration (collection of Pap test results, biopsy and definitive therapy results) and existence of tissue banks
- ✓ Results organized by unique personal identifiers
- ✓ Legislation allows these registry data to be used for research

### **Clinical trial data**



Estimated long-term effectiveness

## Incidence of HPV 16/18 CIN2 + in the 4vHPV vaccine study

- based on 14 years of follow-up

Endpoint	n	Number of outcomes	Person Years at Risk	Incidence Rate per 100 Person-Years at Risk	Vaccine Effectiveness⁺ (%)		
HPV 16/18-Related CIN 2 or Worse	2084	0	13,794.9	0.0	100		
By time since 1 <sup>st</sup> vaccination							
< 4 years	1930	0	803.5	0.0			
>4-6 years	2083	0	4119.9	0.0			
>6-8 years	2037	0	3978.7	0.0			
>8-10 years	1914	0	3393.1	0.0			
>10-12 years	1333	0	1479.0	0.0			
>12-14 years	124	0	20.6	0.0			
By Lesion Type							
CIN 2	2084	0	13,794.9	0.0			
CIN 3 or Worse	2084	0	13,794.9	0.0			
CIN 3	2084	0	13,794.9	0.0			
AIS	2084	0	13,794.9	0.0			
Cervical Cancer	2084	0	13,794.9	0.0			
<sup>†</sup> Vaccine effectiveness measures the relative reduction of the disease incidence in vaccine recipients compared to the baseline incidence rate of 0.0287 per 100 person-years established from the incidence rate in an unvaccinated cohort and under the assumption vaccine efficacy is 90%.							

Register-based data in relation to the assessment of real world population effectiveness



*Clinic*-based vaccination program

### Information on HPV vaccination and different outcomes



	Person-years	No. of events	IRR (adjust.)	(95% CI)	
<u>Unvaccinated</u>	2,884,778	325	1.0		Risk
<u>Vaccinated</u>					reduction
at age ≤ 16 years	1,643,967	6	0.14	(0.04–0.40)	→ 87%
at age 17–19 years	174,679	5	0.29	(0.08–1.01)	→ 71%
at age 20–30 years	841,231	168	1.15	(0.88–1.50)	



Studies stratified by outcome	Country	Adjusted risk ratios stratified by age at vaccinatio				
		<17 years	17–19 years			
Cervical cancer						
Lei et al, 2020	Sweden	<b>0.12</b> (0.00–0.34)	_			
Kjaer et al, 2021	Denmark	<b>0.14</b> (0.04–0.40)	<b>0.29</b> (0.08–1.01)			
Falcaro et al, 2021	England	<b>0.66</b> (0.59–0.75) <sup>a</sup>	_			

# Real world data can be used to perform longterm follow-up of HPV vaccination trials

Register-based effectiveness studies can provide evidence for population-based real world impact Thank you for the attention