Multicentric cohort study to compare efficacy of a single dose of 4-HPV vaccine compared to two & three doses in 10-18 yr old females in India

Partha Basu MD, PhD Dty Head, Early Detection, Prevention & Infections Branch

International Agency for Research on Cancer





Lancet Oncol 2021; 22: 1518–29; further updated

Study designed as a cluster RCT to compare 2 vs. 3 doses of 4-HPV vaccine in 10-18 year old unmarried girls initiated in Sept 2009



Evolution of total Ab response against HPV 16 & 18 over time in the recipients of 1-dose vs. 3-doses (M9ELISA)



HPV 16: 96% of 1 dose recipients had detectable Ab at 10 yrs; Ab titre was 15X higher than natural immunity HPV 18: 97% of 1 dose recipients had detectable Ab at 10 yrs; Ab titre was 10X higher than natural immunity

unpublished data

Evolution of Neutralizing Ab response against HPV 16 & 18 up to 10 years in the recipients of 1-dose vs. 2/3-doses (PBNA)



unpublished data

Cervical sample collection profile of the recruited women by dose groups (till March 2021)

	Single dose recipients	Two-dose recipients	Three-dose recipients	Unvaccinated participants
Participants by dose groups	4949	4980	4348	1484
Eligible for first sample collection	3665 (74%)	3286 (66%)	3041 (70%)	1484 (100%)
1st sample collected of the eligible	3105 (85%)	2373 (72%)	2234 (73%)	1479 (100%)
Eligible for the 2nd sample collection	2673	2273	2107	1479
2nd sample collected	2441 (91%)	1703 (75%)	1687 (80%)	1270 (86%)
Median time between marriage & first	1.3 yrs	1.2 yrs	1.2 Yrs	2.8 Yrs
sample collection (median; IQR)	(1.0-1.8) 1.3 yrs	(1.0-1.7) 1.2 yrs	(1.0-1.7) 1.2 yrs	(2.0-4.2) 1.3 Yrs
collection (median; IQR)	(1.0-1.8)	(1.0-1.7)	(1.0-1.7)	(1.0-2.1)

Analysis of incident HPV infections

Study Group	Women assessed (N)	Incid N (Incident HPV 16/18 infection N (%; 95% CI)		Incident HPV 31/33/45 infection N (%; 95% CI)		Non-targeted HPV inf excluding 31, 33, 45 N (%; 95% CI)	
1- dose	3,125	98	(3.1; 2.6–3.8)	149	(4.8; 4.0-5.6)	537	(17.2; 15.9–18.6)	
3- dose	2,193	65	(3.0; 2.3–3.8)	91	(4.1; 3.4–5.1)	440	(20.1; 18.4–21.8)	
2- dose (D1& 180+)	2,337	61	(2.6; 2.0–3.3)	96	(4.1; 3.3–5.0)	442	(18.9; 17.3–20.6)	
All vaccinated	9,962	303	(3.0; 2.7–3.4)	404	(4.1; 3.7–4.5)	1,748	(17.5; 16·8–18·3)	
Unvaccinated	1,486	144	(9.7; 8.2–11.3)	156	(10.5; 9.0–12.2)	430	(28.9; 26.6–31.3)	

Lancet Oncol 2021; 22: 1518–29 (further updated)

Analysis of persistent HPV infections (N=8.900)

Study Group	Women assessed (N)	Pe 16/ N	Persistent HPV 16/18 infection N (%; 95% CI)		Persistent HPV 31/33/45 infection N (%; 95% CI)		Non-targeted HPV inf excluding 31, 33, 45 N (%; 95% CI)	
1- dose	2454	2	(0.1; 0.0–0.3)	15	(0.6; 0.3–1.0)	85	(3.5; 2.8–4.3)	
3- dose	1460	2	(0.1; 0.0–0.4)	12	(0.7; 0.4–1.3)	65	(3.9; 3.1–5.0)	
2- dose (D 1 & 180+)	1451	2	(0.1; 0.0–0.4)	14	(0.8; 0.5–1.4)	66	(3.9; 3.0–5.0)	
All vaccinated	7,632	10	(0.1; 0.0–0.2)	44	(0.6; 0.4–0.8)	277	(3.6; 3.2-4.1)	
Unvaccinated	1268	34	(2.7; 1.9–3.7)	17	(1.3; 0.8–2.1)	78	(6.2; 4.9–7.6)	

Lancet Oncol 2021; 22: 1518–29; further updated

Vaccine efficacy (Adjusted) against Incident & Persistent HPV 16/18 infections

Endpoint	3-dose	2-dose	Single		
	(Days 1, 60 and 180)	(Days 1 and 180)	dose		
Persistence	93.3%	93.1%	95.4%		
95%CI	(77.5 to 99.7)	(77.3 to 99.8)	(85.0 to 99.9)		
Incidence	66.4%	67.7%	63.5%		
95%CI	(53.6 to 76.3)	(55.2 to 77.2)	(51.2 to 73.1)		

Adjusted for background HPV infection frequency, time between date of marriage and first cervical specimen collection, and number of cervical specimens per participant

Lancet Oncol 2021; 22: 1518–29;

Outcomes of cervical cancer screening

Study Group	Women screened	Screer	ned +ve	CIN detected		CIN 2+ associated with HPV 16/18
	(N)	hrHPV	HPV 16/18	CIN 1	CIN 2+	,
1- dose	1511	59 (3.9%)	2 (0.1%)	4	1	Ο
3- dose	1037	46 (4.4%)	1 (0.1%)	2	0	Ο
2- dose (D 1 & 180+)	1143	61 (5.3%)	4 (0.3%)	5	0	Ο
All vaccinated*	4.819	197 (4.1%)	7 (O.1)	12	1	Ο
Unvaccinated	4626	277 (6.0%)	63 (1.4%)	16	6	3

*Includes 2 dose (0, 60 days) group

To conclude..

- Systematic and rigorous evaluation of infection end-points in the IARC study has established the robust protection offered by a single dose against persistent infection
- The long term protection is well-supported by immunogenicity data
- Possibility of selection bias is extremely low in assigning participants to the three dose groups
- The background risk of getting HPV infection was similar across the dose groups that make them highly comparable
- Early data from screening outcomes is also quite encouraging

