KU LEUVEN

Resources for Flemish ASR: what is needed ?

ELG workshop on resources for Luxemburgish and Flemish

Hugo Van hamme KU Leuven, PSI, Dept ESAT Leuven, 8 July 2021

Progress in ASR technology Enabling factors

- Algorithms: GMM to DNN
- Computational resources
 x10000 since 1993
- Data in training

DL revolution: data

Example from a research paper Google (English)

- Arun Narayanan, et al., "Recognizing Long-Form Speech Using Streaming End-to-End Models", 2019
- The training sets include data from four domains: anonymized and hand-transcribed utterances representative of ...

Application	Total	Mean	Median
Domain	(hours)	(sec.)	(sec.)
Search	56k	6.2	4.8
Farfield	38k	3.9	3.5
Telephony	4k	4.4	3.0
YouTube	190k	5.9	4.5
Total	288k		

- Multi-domain corpus
- Compare to CGN:
 - 0.25kh Flemish and 0.5kh Dutch
- Need MUCH more data

More data for Flemish ASR - cause Bottom-up demand

- Flemish/Dutch is lagging behind compared to English
 - E.g. captions in Google Meet
- Wait for FAGMA ?
 - cfr. vaccination ...
 - Relevant for digital economy, services, inclusion, ...
- Interest from Flemish industry cfr. meetings organised by EWI
 - Ambition to make a 10kh annotated corpus @ I mio EURO

Where to get data for a large CGN ?

Scale up from CGN				
		Scaling	Legal	Coverage
•	Call centers	\odot	$\overline{\mbox{$\odot$}}$	\odot
•	Parliament, city council	\odot	\odot	\bigcirc
•	Talkshows	\odot	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
•	Soaps and movies	\odot	\bigcirc	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$
•	Audio books	\odot	\bigcirc	$\overline{\mathfrak{S}}$
•	Lecture recordings		$\overline{\mathbf{i}}$	
•	Crowd sourcing		\odot	\odot
•	Face-to-face meetings	$\overline{\mbox{$\odot$}}$	\odot	\odot
 Coverage: dialects, (non-natives), age 				
	- •	. –		
			5	

Legal constraints

Life is more complicated today

GDPR: restrictions

- Informed consent not trivial:
 - Call centres ...
 - Talk shows, lectures, ...
- Pseudonyms not trivial for voice data
- Limitations on use
- Limitations in time
- Geographical limitations
- Recall contributions

Author rights Creative content (soap, movie, play, ...) Actors, commedians, moderators



How different are we ? Use of Factorized Hierarchical Variational Auto Encoder

 Unsupervised learning of speaker and 	α_b	α_c	Dialect acc	Gender acc
speech representations	0	0	0.980	0.993
 Trained on CGN 	10	10	0.946	0.997
	10	0	0.872	0.980
 Single-layer classifier 	10	10	0.946	0.997
 Tested on segments of 20s 	10	100	0.999	0.994
-	0	10	0.910	0.955
	10	10	0.946	0.997
Seem to be very different acoustically !	100	10	0.843	0.993

Master thesis by Robrecht Meersman, 2019

Should we team up with The Netherlands ? Test with CGN corpus – E2E technology					
	Train	Test VL – WER (%)	Test NL – WER (%)		
	VL	22.3	41.4		
	NL	34.0	27.8		
	VL + NL	20.4	26.5		
Conclusion • It does help a little Thanks to Steven Vander Eeckt, 2020					



Doing away with labels Principles

Unsupervised training

- Mask out present speech and predict it from past and future
- No labels required
- Other options
- Self-supervised training
 - Label your training set with your ASR system
 - Then train ASR system again

Speech representation learning: amount of data Using unlabeled Flemish data

10h 30h 50h 100h 150h 250h 350h 500h 700h 31.87 20.85 16.76 15.55 15.74 14.76 14.34 14.73 13.52	Thanks to Jakob Poncelet, 2021
(a) Unlabelled data for pre-training a base wav2vec 2.0 model (no	
finetuning), large ASK DNN.	
Baselines: • MECC 15 10% W/ER (standard Kaldi – hybrid DNN/HMN	1)
 Using 4.5k Dutch parliament data instead of Flemish: 16.3 	32% WER
Conclusion:	
 More data beyond 700h likely to help 	
 Language needs to match 	
Analysis is limited to small wav2vec model	

· Ambition should still be thousands of hours

7

Speech representation learning: finetuning Using labeled Flemish data 0h 1h 10h 30h 90h 150h 250h 20h 50h Thanks to Jakob Poncelet, 2021 27.75 13.84 12.08 11.32 11.19 10.71 10.61 10.53 10.50 (b) Labelled data for finetuning XLSR-53, small ASR DNN. Trained unsupervisedly on 56k hours of 53 languages, incl. 1.6kh Dutch **Baselines:** • MFCC 15.10% WER (standard Kaldi) · Using small unsupervised model on Flemish data: • No fine-tuning 13.52% WER • With fine-tuning: 11.76% WER Conclusion: • More unsupervised data helps Fine-tuning effective, saturates at 150h • Domain/language/dialect/age match/transfer in pre-training and fine-tuning ?



Ambition based on science

Data needs for Flemish

- Thousands of hours
- Domain coverage
 - Meetings (parliament, city council, lectures, ...)
 - Read speech and interviews (news broadcast, audiobooks, ...)
 - Spontaneous (soaps, ...)
 - Call centres and voice bots
- Dialect/age coverage

Track I: Unsupervised and self-supervised approaches More, cheaper, scalable

- Research project
 - weakly supervised learning
 - self-supervised learning
 - unsupervised learning
- GDPR and author rights:
 - usage for research is less restricted
 - data not published
- Serve industry and government through derivatives
 - ASR models for open source toolkits

Track 2: Public data

- More future-proof
- Data published by owner
 - Can specify legal constraints
 - If further clearance needed: direct link between user and provider
 - Universities help in formatting and (automatic/manual) annotation
- Al community will work on it

Thanks you for your attention Thanks to Robrecht Meersman, Steven Vander Eeckt & Jakob Poncelet

Questions ?