Trust, regulation and compliance in the COVID-19 crisis

Per Lægreid University of Bergen

What kind of crises is this?

- A creeping mega crises
- Complexity
 - Transboundary, crossing policy areas and administrative levels
- Uncertainty
 - Uncertain means-end relations? What works?
- Ambiguity
 - Contested values: Life and health, individual/civil rights, economy
- Urgency
 - Important decisions have to be taken under strong time pressure
- A stress test for the governmental crises management
 - Tests the limits of what public bureaucracies are organized to handle

Some reflections on crises management

- Governance capacity and governance legitimacy
 - Not only capacity but also legitimacy matter for crises management
 - · Regulative, delivery, coordinating, analytical capacity. Input, throughput, output legitimacy
- The importance of trust
 - Trust among citizens, trust in government, trust in experts, mutual trust relations
 - Mass polarization, uncooperative society and uncooperative politcal elite
- The importance of meaning-making and effective crisis communication with the public
 - A convincing, and reliable an effective communication from credible executives
- Compliance
 - Hard (mandatary) measures soft measures (advices, reccomendations)
- Time
 - Regulation, de-regulation, re-regulation
 - Timing (front runners versus laggards)
- Logic of action
 - Consequenciality appropriateness
- Difficult trade-offs
 - Life and death individual rights/civil rights economy (unemployment and bankruptcy)

Crisis management strategies

- Mitigation or surpression
- The precautionary principle «better safe than sorry»
- A pragmatic strategy. Experimentation and experiencial learning
- A collaborative or a confrontational strategy
- Managerial/functional versus political strategies
- Building walls versus international collaboration
- No best strategy
 - context matter: regulation, deregultion, re-regulation
- Need for more robust governance strategies that allow for adaptive and flexible adjustments

How to strengthen the effectiveness and legitimacy of national crises response?

- Making sense of the crises under deep uncertainty
 - Improved response capacity, improved preparation
- Better inter-organizational collaboration and coordination
 - More fruitful relations between political leader and experts
 - Beter relations between central and local level
- Better knowledge about the relations between governance capacity and governance legitimacy
 - Crafting a response that is both effective and legitimate
 - Maintaining solidarity through crisis communication. Increased crisis communication skills
 - Better knowledge about how long the crisis can last without loosing legitimacy
 - Centralization is important but it creates legitimacy problems
 - Crossboundary coordination is neccessary, but it requires high level of trust